Introduction CT PET scan with fluorine-18 (F-18) fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG), is a increasingly common investigation in the evaluation and management of several malignant and non-malignant conditions. The usefulness of this technique in diagnosing incidental gastrointestinal lesions in literature is scanty. The purpose of this study was to assess the usefulness of PET scan in detecting incidental significant gastrointestinal disease.

Methods 696 PET scans were undertaken in Doncaster and Bassetlaw NHS Trust from 2009 to 2012. The principal indications were malignancy (lung 57%, GI tract 16%, head and neck 7%, haematological 4%, breast 2%) and nonmalignant 11%, unknown indication 3%. Of these, 36 cases (males 61%, median age 70) of incidental increased focal FDG uptake in gastrointestinal tract were detected. All patients underwent endoscopic procedure (Gastroscopy 10, Flexible sigmoidoscopy 10 and colonoscopy 24).

Results 21 of 44 (48%) had polyps (malignant n = 3, tubulovillous adenoma n = 11, hyperplastic n = 6, not retrieved n = 1). Other pathologies included vascular lesions, inflammation, and diverticular disease. 11 patients had a false positive PET scan. The overall correlation between PET scanning and Endoscopic findings were found to be 75%.

Conclusion PET scan is a valuable tool in localising incidental gastrointestinal pathological and a positive incidental finding merits further follow up endoscopy. The technique detected 5% new gastrointestinal lesions of which nearly half were polyps and two-thirds of these were malignant or adenomatos.
from the endoscopy documentation, and a patient comfort score was completed by the patient in the recovery area. The endoscopists and nurses were unaware that the comfort data was going to be studied. The Wilcoxon matched pairs signal rank statistical test was used to look for comfort score differences between the groups.

Results A total of 139 procedures were included in the analysis. The OGD mean comfort scores were: endoscopist 1.3 (SEM, 0.1), nurse 1.6 (SEM, 0.1), patient 1.4 (SEM, 0.1). A significant difference was found between the endoscopist and nurse comfort scores (p < 0.01). No significant difference was found for FS comfort scores: endoscopist 1.8 (SEM, 0.1), nurse 1.5 (SEM, 0.1), and patient 1.8 (SEM, 0.1). For colonoscopy, the mean scores were: endoscopist 1.7 (SEM, 0.1), nurse 2.1 (SEM, 0.2), patient 1.6 (SEM, 0.2). A significant difference was found between patient and nurse comfort scores (p < 0.01), but not between the patient and endoscopist comfort scores.

Conclusion Endoscopy nurses gave a higher comfort score (more discomfort) than patients and endoscopists for OGD and colonoscopy, with no difference between the groups for FS comfort scores. These results suggest that the perception of procedure related discomfort differs between these three groups, particularly between endoscopists and nurses. As patient experience is a key aspect of endoscopy service quality, it is important to recognise that there are differences between the perceived comforts levels between the endoscopist, the nurse and the patient.
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PWE-042 ASSOCIATION BETWEEN MIDAZOLAM DOSE AND CAECAL INTUBATION RATE AT COLONOSCOPY
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Introduction Midazolam is a short acting benzodiazepine that is commonly used for sedation during colonoscopy. There is no standard dose of midazolam; however, British Society of Gastroenterology guidelines suggest a maximum of 5 mg with lower doses for elderly patients. Caecal intubation rate (CIR) is a commonly used performance indicator for colonoscopy. Data exploring the relationship between midazolam dose and CIR is limited.

Methods A retrospective cohort study of all patients who had undergone a colonoscopy at Milton Keynes General hospital between January 2010 and December 2012. Patients were identified from the Endoscopy Unit database and their records were reviewed. Patient details, midazolam dose and depth of insertion were extracted into a standardised form. Caecal intubation was defined as insertion of the colonoscope to a point proximal to the ileocaecal valve so that the entire caecum could be visualised.

Results 6200 patients were included for analysis. The median age was 62 years and 49.4% were male. The mean midazolam dose was 1.9 mg. 1004 patients had a low dose of midazolam (<2 mg), 4618 a standard dose (2 mg) and 578 a high dose (>2 mg). The CIR in the low dose cohort was 83.6%, in the standard dose cohort was 91.3% and in the high dose cohort was 78.7%. Procedural discomfort was significantly greater in the high dose cohort. When patients with poor bowel preparation were removed from the cohort (n = 5534), CIR was 85.2% in the low dose cohort vs. 92.1% in the standard dose cohort. Patients who received doses of midazolam <2 mg or > 2 mg
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Thirty-six patients had 37 sessile polyps which underwent primary resection by EMR (n = 26) or surgery (n = 11). Polyp diameter was larger in the surgery group with mean polyp diameter of 40.4 vs. 28.0 mm (p < 0.05).

EMR enbloc resection rate was 11.5% (n = 3 out of 26). Completeness of excision was 38.4% (n = 10) at 3 months and 92.3% (n = 24) at 1 year. EMR complications included 1 perforation, 1 post polypectomy syndrome and 1 bleed.

Surgical resection included: anterior resection in 2, TEMS excision in 7 and right hemicolecotomy in 3.

Conclusion Sessile polyps ≥2 cm are relatively uncommon in an asymptomatic bowel cancer screening programme (37 in 2182 colonoscopies). They can be successfully resected by EMR without recurrence in 92.3% at 1 year providing a 3 month site check is performed in all piecemeal polypectomies.
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