

nature of incident. Trained QA assessors and mentors are required to provide detailed observation of performance in the context of colonoscopist's usual working environment, using validated DOPS methodology (incorporating discussion around decision-making). The final step involves the colonoscopist agreeing a tailored training plan based on feedback from BSW QA advisors, assessors and mentors. This states 1) the nature of the concerns identified; 2) specific goals to be achieved; 3) timetabling and 4) tools to be employed to measure progress. Where there is serious concern for participant safety the BSW Colonoscopy Panel may consider suspension pending appropriate investigation and training. The outcome of training will be reviewed by this Panel to determine ongoing suitability to perform as a screening colonoscopist.

Conclusion A pragmatic Performance Management Framework for BSW Colonoscopists has been developed. It seeks to provide a safe and supportive environment for both patients and colonoscopists respectively undergoing and performing complex therapeutic interventions, aiming to provide early identification of problems through central data analysis and specific, targeted training interventions where required.

Disclosure of Interest None Declared.

PWE-051 BOWELSCOPE: EARLY RESULTS FROM THE PILOT SITES

^{1,2}R Bevan*, ³C Nickerson, ³J Patnick, ⁴R Loke, ⁵B Saunders, ⁶J Stebbing, ⁷R Tighe, ⁸A Veitch, ²J Painter, ^{2,9,10}CJ Rees. ¹Northern Region Endoscopy Group, Newcastle; ²South of Tyne and Wear BCSC, Gateshead, UK; ³NHS Cancer Screening Programmes, Sheffield, UK; ⁴West Kent and Medway BCSC, Tunbridge Wells, UK; ⁵St Marks BCSC, London, UK; ⁶Surrey BCSC, Guildford, UK; ⁷Norwich BCSC, Norwich, UK; ⁸Wolverhampton BCSC, Wolverhampton, UK; ⁹Durham University, Stockton on Tees, UK; ¹⁰Northern Region Endoscopy Group, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK

10.1136/gutjnl-2014-307263.311

Introduction UK population colorectal cancer (CRC) screening has been successfully implemented with Bowel Cancer Screening Programme (BCSP) faecal occult blood testing biannually from age 60–75.

A large UK study of once-only flexible sigmoidoscopy (FSIG) demonstrated a reductions in CRC incidence of 33% and death rates of 43% (1). This, with the screening centre infrastructure developed for the FOB programme, allowed provision of a new arm of BCSP, offering FSIG to 55 year olds in England, known as BowelScope screening.

BowelScope screening began May 2013, with 6 pilot sites performing FSIGs in the first 7 months.

Methods We aim to describe procedural data from the early months of BowelScope screening.

Data were obtained from The Bowel Cancer Screening System (BCSS) database for all participants invited and participating

in BowelScope FSIGs May-Dec 2013. Procedural data were recorded, including insertion depth, FSIG length, adenoma detection rates (ADR), cancer detection, discomfort levels, entonox usage and colonoscopy conversion rates.

Results 13927 people were invited or opted in to BowelScope screening at 6 centres. Overall uptake is 43.5% (range 37.0–51.9%). 4 cancers were detected. Polyps were detected in 16.4–23.8% of FSIGs (mean 20.7%). Mean ADR 8.4%. One centre has a significantly higher ADR than the other five sites ($p < 0.05$) (see Table 1).

Most (53%) procedures took 6–10 min.

79% of procedures were reported as causing no or minimal pain only, with only 34 procedures (1%) reporting severe pain.

Conclusion Uptake has varied between centres, but is lower than for the FOB arm of BCSP. Average ADR is 8.4% (range 6.1–12.1%), lower than in the UK flexible sigmoidoscopy screening trial (12.1%¹) although the age range studied in the trial differs from the cohort described here.

Further work will be required to investigate the variation in uptake rates and to improve these rates. ADR variations may also need to be addressed; further analysis of patient groups may explain these differences.

REFERENCE

¹ Atkin *et al.* *Lancet* 2010;375:1624–1633

Disclosure of Interest None Declared.

PWE-052 BOWELSCOPE SCREENING – THE SOUTH OF TYNE AND WEAR EXPERIENCE

^{1,2}R Bevan*, ¹H Miller, ¹D Thapa, ¹M Ritchie, ¹J Painter, ^{1,3,4}C Rees. ¹South of Tyne and Wear BCSC, Gateshead, UK; ²Northern Region Endoscopy Group, Newcastle, UK; ³Northern Region Endoscopy Group, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK; ⁴Durham University, Stockton on Tees, UK

10.1136/gutjnl-2014-307263.312

Introduction The National Bowel Cancer Screening Programme has been extended to include a one-off flexible sigmoidoscopy (FSIG) to all aged 55, after a large UK study demonstrated reduction in colorectal cancer incidence and death rates when FSIG is performed and adenomas removed.

BowelScope screening is being piloted in 6 centres – South of Tyne and Wear (SOTW), Norwich, St Mark's, Surrey, Kent and Medway, and Wolverhampton. SOTW was the first centre to offer screening.

Methods We describe delivery of BowelScope at SOTW.

The Bowel Cancer Screening System (BCSS) database was interrogated for procedural data. A study was performed recording timings of lists. Patients complete a satisfaction survey the morning after the procedure; anonymised results are provided to the centre.

Abstract PWE-051 Table 1 Outcomes by anonymised centre

Screening centre	Invitees*	Attended*	Uptake*%	FSIG with adenomas	ADR%	Cancer	Colonoscopy required (%)
1	3125	1128	(51.9)	100	8.9%	1	39 (3.5)
2	1866	524	37.0	64	12.1%	0	23 (4.4)
3	3779	1070	40.9	90	8.4%	0	50 (4.7)
4	986	311	46.6	25	8.0%	0	12 (3.9)
5	1970	625	47.4	38	6.1%	2	21 (3.4)
6	2181	479	37.2	30	6.2%	1	18 (3.8)
Total	13927	4135	43.5	347	8.4%	4	163 (3.9)

*as of 20.12.13.

[†]Uptake is calculated from invitees invited ≥ 16 weeks before 20.12.13 to allow time to respond to invitations and attend for screening.