Methods In August 2013 an electronic survey containing 20 questions was sent to the 18 RCPCH-approved National GRID paediatric gastroenterology training centres who also act as regional referral centres. The questionnaire was completed online by either the departmental clinical or endoscopy lead and results collated by the study centre. Descriptive statistics were used to present results.

Results Sixteen centres responded, representing the experience of 65.6 whole-time equivalent (WTE) consultant paediatric gastroenterologists. Half of centres provided out-of-hours cover for GI emergencies in their region, with surgeons providing the majority (69%) of out-of-hours support, often in conjunction with GI specialists (44%), for acute upper GI bleeds. 11/16 centres dealt with <20 endoscopies for upper GI bleeding annually with 3 centres dealing with >40/year. 63% of tertiary centres had a GI haemorrhage protocol available in electronic format (online/shared-drive), but 53% were not aware of a similar protocol in their respective DGHs; only 31% of centres provided ‘at-risk’ patients with emergency cards/advice. 88% of centres had access to interventional radiology in-hours and 63% out-of-hours. The injection of vasoconstrictor/sclerosants/thrombotic agents and endoclips were available in all but one centre, however only 19% of centres (with between 3–4.6 WTE consultants) stated that all their consultants were competent in managing upper GI bleeds, with the same percentage stating that no consultant was competent in management; very few procedures were carried out by trainees. Only half of centres were undertaking regular case review of paediatric bleeding cases. All respondents were keen to be involved in a detailed review of UK practice.

Conclusion Our national survey of tertiary paediatric GI unit experiences of acute upper GI bleeding demonstrates that a large proportion of centres do not have protocols in place in their own centre or referring units, with most centres performing fewer than 20 therapeutic endoscopic procedures for upper GI bleeding annually. The majority of centres have a small number of consultants competent in upper GI haemorrhage management with limited opportunities for training. There is also limited development of managed clinical networks that would allow more ready access to expert endoscopy provision.
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we need a more specific target audience, excluding certain patient groups (variceal surveillance and diabetics) and prioritising others (2 week waits). Variceal surveillance patients had high DNA rates and would be more appropriate on a daytime list where a DNA can be replaced by an inpatient. Diabetics would benefit from morning lists, both for easier glycaemic control and to reduce food bolus (with a longer overnight fast). Improved patient fasting information is essential with specific guidance for pre-fasting meals, with focus on reducing ‘heavy’ foods, particularly rice based meals. Further work is needed to identify other areas for improvement and refine the service, and ultimately produce a protocol for evening endoscopy that is generalisable to UK endoscopy departments.
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Introduction Patients admitted out of hours or at weekends may have an excess mortality due to the fact that they undergo emergency endoscopy by junior staff. We retrospectively looked at the predictors of mortality in patients undergoing emergency endoscopy for severe bleeding in Leeds.

Methods The survival of patients with the most significant upper GI bleeding lesions who underwent emergency endoscopy in Leeds between end of April 2008 and middle of December 2011 were selected for retrospective analysis using data from our endoscopy reporting system and hospital records.

Results A total of 1663 emergency endoscopies were carried out during this period. From this number, 390 examinations (23% of total) were selected for more careful scrutiny as the following significant bleeding lesions had been recorded; 136 duodenal ulcers, 84 gastric ulcers, 134 oesophageal varices, 25 gastric varices, 11 Dieulafoy’s and 9 other bleeding lesions.

After 23% of procedures (91/390), the patient died within 30 days. As expected, patients who died had a significantly higher Rockall score (7.5 vs. 5.9 p < 0.0001), a higher ASA level (3.5 vs. 2.8 p < 0.001) and a lower systolic BP at the time of the examination (95 vs. 102 p = 0.025). Patients who died following endoscopy for bleeding ulcers were significantly older than those who survived (76.6 vs. 67.2 yrs, p = 0.006). There was no significant difference in mortality with the type of bleeding lesion, Hb (7.9 vs. 8.0) or heart rate (100 vs. 102 bpm) at the time of the endoscopy between those who survived and those who died.

Furthermore, undergoing an emergency gastroscopy at night or during the weekend or bank holiday was not associated with an increased risk of death (P = 0.24 and p = 0.53 respectively).

Whether the examination was carried out by an SpR or a Consultant made no difference to the survival of the patient. The only link between endoscopic intervention and patient outcome was the finding that patients with varices requiring balloon tamponade where significantly more likely to die (15/36 vs. 16/98 p = 0.03).

Conclusion Our study had the statistical power to detect all the recognised risk factors for death following admission with an acute upper GI bleed including advancing age, increasing comorbidity and hypotension. We found no evidence that undergoing an emergency endoscopy at night or during the weekend or a bank holiday had any adverse effect on outcomes. Similarly, the level of seniority of the endoscopist did not affect outcomes.
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Introduction Initial data from the UK BCSP demonstrated variation in referrals to surgery and management for complex polyps encountered in colonoscopy. Associated factors included local availability of operator skills and expertise with endoscopic resection, lack of a structure for discussion and standardised management at the Local assessment centres (LAC). Inappropriately high