OPEN ACCESS

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2014-307891>).

¹Gastrointestinal Unit, Centre for Molecular Medicine, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, UK

²Centre for Immunity, Infection and Evolution, Ashworth laboratories, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Correspondence to Professor Jack Satsangi, Gastrointestinal Unit, Western General Hospital, Crewe Road South, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK; j.satsangi@ed.ac.uk

Received 23 June 2014

Revised 9 October 2014

Accepted 23 October 2014

Published Online First

4 December 2014

Open Access
Scan to access more
free content

CrossMark

To cite: Kalla R, Ventham NT, Kennedy NA, et al. *Gut* 2015;**64**:504–517.

MicroRNAs: new players in IBD

R Kalla,¹ N T Ventham,¹ N A Kennedy,¹ J F Quintana,² E R Nimmo,¹ A H Buck,² J Satsangi¹

ABSTRACT

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs, 18–23 nucleotides long, which act as post-transcriptional regulators of gene expression. miRNAs are strongly implicated in the pathogenesis of many common diseases, including IBDs. This review aims to outline the history, biogenesis and regulation of miRNAs. The role of miRNAs in the development and regulation of the innate and adaptive immune system is discussed, with a particular focus on mechanisms pertinent to IBD and the potential translational applications.

INTRODUCTION

The IBDs, Crohn's disease (CD) and UC affect an estimated 2.5–3 million people in Europe, with the associated annual healthcare costs amounting to approximately €4.6–5.6 billion.¹ The increasing incidence of early onset disease in the developed world and of disease in all ages in the developing world has catalysed studies attempting to characterise pathogenic mechanisms. In the last two decades, international collaborations have been successful in identifying susceptibility genes for IBD through genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and subsequently meta-analysis of GWAS and Immunochip data (<http://www.ibdgenetics.org>).² These studies have been important in highlighting mechanistic pathways, notably autophagy and innate immunity in CD and epithelial barrier dysfunction in UC and have provided clues into new therapeutic strategies.

There is now increasing interest in exploring epigenetic mechanisms in common diseases, with notable progress in studies of DNA methylation, histone modifications, long intergenic non-coding RNAs and in characterising the contribution of microRNAs (miRNAs). miRNAs are short strands of non-coding RNA (~22 nt long) encoded in genomic DNA which post-transcriptionally regulate expression. The field of miRNA research is expanding rapidly with the number of miRNA-related citations increasing almost exponentially (figure 1) and miRNAs have been implicated in neurological diseases, cardiovascular diseases, autoimmune diseases and cancer.³ With such a wealth of data now available, reviews have been published on individual miRNAs in health and disease. miR-21 is perhaps the most compelling miRNA involved in IBD, with associations between miR-21 and IBD being replicated in several studies, functional relevance in mouse models, as well as being highly expressed in other diseases including cancer. Key miRNAs, such as miR-21, are the focus of anti-miR therapeutic development.^{4–8}

Well-designed high-impact publications have established functional interactions between miRNAs and key mechanisms implicated by GWAS

in IBD, notably T helper cell (Th)17 mediated inflammation and autophagy.^{9–10} The review aims to outline the history, biogenesis and regulation of miRNAs. The important role of miRNAs in the development and regulation of an innate and adaptive immune system is discussed, with a particular focus on IBD pathogenesis and other immune-mediated diseases. The review will also provide an insight into the translational applications of miRNAs as biomarkers and the potential therapeutic miRNA application.

MicroRNAs: a historical perspective

miRNAs were first identified in 1993 in the nematode model organism (*Caenorhabditis elegans*) using a genetic screen to identify defects in postembryonic development.^{11–13} It became evident that lin-4, which emerged as the first described miRNA, was able to downregulate a nuclear protein called lin-14, thereby initiating the second stage in larval development.^{13–14} By the turn of the century a second miRNA, let-7, was identified in *C. elegans* that appeared to be highly conserved among species including humans.^{15–16} At the time of writing 35 828 mature miRNAs occurring across all species have been registered in miRbase (<http://mirbase.org>, Release 21, accessed June 2014).¹⁷

Biogenesis of microRNAs

miRNA genes are located throughout the genome, either within intronic sequences of protein-coding genes, within intronic or exonic regions of non-coding RNAs, or set between independent transcription units (intergenic).¹⁸ Some miRNAs have their own promoters and are transcribed independently, some share promoters with host genes,¹⁹ while others are co-transcribed as a single primary miRNA transcript.²⁰ The biogenesis of miRNAs from transcription in the nucleus to generation of the mature miRNA in the cytoplasm is described in figure 2.

In plants, fully complementary binding occurs when the 'seed' region (located near the 5' end) of the miRNA binds to the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of the target mRNA and this is sufficient for mRNA degradation to occur. In contrast, in humans, miRNAs bind to mRNA targets with incomplete complementarity, which results in mRNA destabilisation and translational inhibition.⁵³ Other regions of the mRNA can also contain functional miRNA binding sites, including the 5'UTR and the amino acid coding sequence. Furthermore, beyond seed site pairing, the centre and the 3' end of the miRNA sequence can contribute to target recognition.^{54–56} Under certain conditions such as cell cycle arrest, miRNAs can alter their regulatory role from translational inhibition to upregulation of translation of target mRNAs.⁵⁷ Studies have also shown that

Figure 1 Pubmed microRNA (miRNA) citations in Gastroenterology and Inflammatory Bowel Diseases (IBD). Search terms used were as follows: *Gastroenterology*: (miRNA OR MicroRNA) AND (Gastroenterology OR IBD OR Inflammatory Bowel Disease OR Crohn's Disease OR Ulcerative Colitis OR Colon OR Stomach OR Intestine OR Oesophagus OR Oesophagus OR Rectum) NOT mirna[author]; *IBD*: (miRNA OR MicroRNA) AND (IBD OR Inflammatory Bowel Disease OR Crohn's Disease OR Ulcerative Colitis) NOT mirna[author]; *miRNA*: (miRNA OR MicroRNA) NOT mima[author]; Each search was run for print publication dates for each year from 2001 to 2014. Citations were normalised to the total number of Pubmed indexed articles during the same time period (nb, the term microRNA was introduced in 2001).

miRNAs influence gene expression at the post-transcriptional level, and may interfere with the process of transcription.⁵⁸

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in pre-miRNA sequences are rare, occurring in only 10% of all human pre-miRNAs, and less than 1% of miRNAs have SNPs in their functional seed region.⁵³ Therefore functional mutations in miRNAs are unlikely to be tolerated and negative selection may occur at these loci.

miRNAs affect gene expression

It is estimated that miRNAs regulate more than 60% of protein coding mRNAs.⁵⁹ Each miRNA can target hundreds of mRNAs resulting in mRNA destabilisation and/or inhibition of translation. Generally, the overall effect on target protein levels is subtle and can be thought of as 'fine-tuning' of cellular mRNA expression within a cell.^{60 61} The combinatorial targeting of genes by miRNAs in this fashion makes them interesting therapeutic candidates that in theory may reduce resistance in diseases such as cancer.⁶²

miRNAs regulate important cellular functions such as differentiation, proliferation, signal transduction and apoptosis and exhibit highly specific regulated patterns of gene expression.⁶³ A number of applications have been developed to predict mRNA/miRNA interactions and aid in understanding specific miRNA targets.⁶⁴

miRNA regulation

At various stages in miRNA biogenesis, several factors can influence the development of the mature miRNA. Figure 2 depicts the various steps of biogenesis that are subject to regulation. These include regulation of transcription, cleavage of the stem loop structures by Drosha and Dicer enzymes, editing as well as regulation of miRNA turnover. The regulatory mechanisms occurring at each stage have been reviewed elsewhere.^{18 65} Each of these mechanisms acts as part of a signalling network that modulates gene expression in response to cellular or environmental changes.

miRNA gene regulatory networks

Over 5400 miRNAs have now been identified with each miRNA possessing the ability to target multiple gene transcripts. miRNAs are members of complex gene regulatory networks (GRNs) and figure 3 summarises these GRNs, comprising of feedback and feed-forward loops.^{66 67 69} Certain subcircuits are evolutionarily favoured and are termed network motifs.⁶⁷ Coordinated transcriptional and miRNA-mediated gene regulation is a recurrent network motif and fortifies gene regulation in mammalian genomes.⁶⁶ Inflammation driven miRNA circuits are described in the literature and examples include nuclear factor- κ B (NF κ B) and hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 α circuits.^{70 71} Within the NF κ B circuitry, transient activation of Src oncoprotein triggers an NF κ B mediated inflammatory response by downregulating let-7a and upregulating its direct target interleukin (IL)-6.⁷⁰ This forms a stable positive feedback circuit across many cell divisions.⁷⁰ Similarly the hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 α circuit consists of miR-124, IL6R, STAT3, miR-24 and miR-629 and is essential for liver development and hepatocyte function.⁷¹ Several other examples of miRNAs involved in GRNs are summarised in a recent review.⁷²

Regulation of miRNAs through epigenetic mechanisms

Emerging evidence suggests miRNA expression can be regulated by epigenetic mechanisms such as DNA methylation, histone modifications and circular RNAs (circRNAs).⁷³⁻⁷⁶ DNA methylation, the addition of methyl groups at CpG islands by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs), is associated with transcriptional repression. Similarly, acetylation or deacetylation of histones may alter transcriptional activity.⁷⁷ The recently established EpimiR database has collected 1974 regulations between 19 types of epigenetic modifications and 617 miRNAs across seven species.⁷⁸ Aberrant DNA methylation of miRNAs has been demonstrated in various cancers, including lymphoid, gastric

Figure 2 miRNA biogenesis and regulation. (A) Processing begins in the nucleus where primary miRNA transcripts (pri-miR) are transcribed by RNA polymerase II or RNA polymerase III.^{21–22} (B) Nuclear cleavage of pri-miRNA is performed by a protein complex consisting of the RNase-III-type enzyme Drosha and DGCR8 (DiGeorge critical region 8), which generates a 60–70 nucleotide sequence called pre-miRNA. Drosha cleavage generates a 2 nucleotide 3' overhang which appears to be a key biogenesis step.²³ DGCR8 acts as an anchor on the stem loops of the target miRNA,²⁴ allowing Drosha to correctly position on the pri-miRNA.²⁵ Mirtrons are similar in structure but do not undergo Drosha/DGCR8 processing. (C) pre-miRNA is transported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm by the Exportin-5 (Exp5) — RanGTP complex. Correct binding of the double stranded stem and 3' regions to the RanGTP structure stabilises the miRNA, preventing degradation and facilitating the correct transport of pre-miRNA.^{26–28} (D) Final cleavage of the hairpin loop is performed by Dicer (RNase III like enzyme) with co-factors: Tar RNA binding protein (TRBP); and protein activator of double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase (PACT). (E) The remaining 22 nucleotide RNA duplex is incorporated with Ago proteins, forming a pre-RNA induced silencing complex (pre-RISC). The duplex is separated within Ago proteins into a single stranded mature miRNA and its passenger strand. The mature miRNA strand is retained to form RISC which is eventually destined for mRNA repression/cleavage while its passenger strand undergoes degradation.^{29–30} miRNA recognises its target via 6–8 nucleotide sequence at the 5' end of the miRNA however the binding site can vary. **Examples of regulatory elements in miRNA biogenesis.** **Transcriptional regulation** Transcription factors can influence miRNA expression by binding directly to promoter elements. Examples include c-Myc binding and upregulating miR-17–92 cluster and p53 interaction with miR-34.^{31–34} **miRNAs and argonaute (Ago) proteins as regulators** mature miRNAs can act as regulators of miRNA processing either as an auto-regulatory loop or for other miRNAs (e.g. the biogenesis of let-7).³⁵ **RNA editing** Once transcribed, miRNAs can undergo editing, which can influence miRNA target specificity.^{36–39} RNA editing occurs in ~6% of human miRNAs with some studies reporting higher levels of RNA editing (50%).^{37–40} RNA editing is miRNA gene- and tissue-specific (e.g. A to I edited members of the miR-376 family specifically within the human cortex).^{38–40} **Drosha/DGCR8** The Drosha-DGCR8 complex can undergo post-transcription self-regulation, which allows circulatory negative feedback once sufficient microprocessor activity is available.^{41–43} Cross-regulation between Drosha and DGCR8 may assist in homeostatic control of miRNA biogenesis.⁴² **miRNA processing factors** Specific proteins can either directly or indirectly up-regulate or downregulate the maturation of select miRNAs. A nucleo-cytoplasmic protein with dual functionality is heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A1 (hnRNP-A1) which facilitates nuclear pri-miR-18a processing.^{44–47} **Physical activity** Physiological changes such as exercise can induce modifications in the miRNA biogenesis machinery. Following 3 hours of endurance exercise in an untrained male, there is upregulation of Drosha, Dicer and Exp5 mRNA levels.⁴⁸ **DNA damage** - DNA damage can promote post transcriptional processing of primary and precursor miRNAs which play a role in the initiation, activation and maintenance of the DNA damage response.⁴⁹ DNA damage accelerates nuclear export of pre-miRNAs via Exp5- nucleopore-Nup153 interaction.⁵⁰ **mRNA binding proteins** - mRNA binding proteins bind to the 3-UTR elements of the target mRNA and can either enhance or reverse translational repression by influencing mRNA-miRNA complex interaction.^{51–52}

and colorectal malignancies.^{79–81} Up to 10% of miRNAs are tightly controlled by DNA methylation as seen in cell lines deficient in DNMT1 and DNMT3b.⁸² Time-dependent miRNA regulation has also been described. In murine models, partial hepatectomy results in downregulation of miR-127 as early as 3 h post partial hepatectomy with significant downregulation seen at 24 h.⁸³ DNA methylation has also been shown to alter chromatin signatures and influence miRNA expression in cancer.⁷³ Within the context of IBD, our group has studied

epigenome-wide whole-blood DNA methylation profiles in treatment-naïve children with CD and healthy controls using the Illumina 450 K platform.⁷ Sixty-five differentially methylated CpG sites achieving epigenome-wide significance were identified. The most significantly differentially methylated region in patients with CD involves the transcription start site for miR-21. Hypomethylation of the miR-21 locus in cases correlated with increased primary miR-21 expression in leucocytes and in inflamed intestinal mucosa.⁷

Figure 3 Examples of miRNA circuits. Tsang and Milo describe two distinct circuits, Type I and Type II that incorporate miRNAs in their regulatory machinery.^{66 67} (A) In Type I circuits, upstream transcription factors will positively coregulate miRNA and their target mRNA.⁶⁶ One such example is the repression of E2F1 by miR-17-5p, both of which are activated by the transcription factor c-Myc.⁶⁸ It has been suggested that the function of such circuits is to define and maintain target-protein homeostasis, especially in cells that are ultrasensitive to target mRNA abundance.⁶⁶ (B) Type II circuits allow transcriptional activation or repression (positive or negative feedback loop) of a target gene by an upstream factor with associated synergistic miRNA expression.⁶⁶ If an mRNA is to be repressed, transcription factors will downregulate the mRNA directly and also upregulate the relevant miRNA. If however a mRNA is to be upregulated, this would occur directly by the transcription factor with synergistic miRNA repression.

There appears to be a complex interplay between DNA binding proteins, chromatin modifications and miRNA expression. miR-155 assists in the differentiation and cytokine expression of Th17 cells as miR-155 deficient Th17 cells exhibit increased expression of Jarid2 which actively recruits polycomb repressive complex 2 to chromatin. Binding of polycomb repressive complex 2 to chromatin along with H3K27 histone methylation results in downregulation of cytokines IL-9, IL-10, IL-22 and Atf3.⁸⁴

Recently circRNAs have been identified as regulators of miRNA expression. These endogenous RNAs can operate as

miRNA sponges and are abundant within the human transcriptome.⁸⁵ Hansen *et al*⁷⁶ identified circRNA sponge for miR-7 as a potent inhibitor of miR-7 activity that is abundant in the mouse brain. circRNA sponge for miR-7 contains 70 highly selective miRNA target sites, strongly associated with AGO proteins and is highly resistant to miR-7 mediated destabilisation. They also identified testis specific sex determining region Y (Sry) circRNA as a miR-138 sponge indicating that the sponge effects of circRNAs are a general phenomenon.

miRNA regulation

- ▶ miRNAs are an integral part of GRN and modulate gene expression in response to cellular or environmental changes.
- ▶ Epigenetic mechanisms such as DNA methylation, histone modifications and circRNAs regulate the expression of miRNAs adding a layer of complexity to the regulation of gene expression.

MIRNA AND THE IMMUNE SYSTEM

miRNAs are integral in differentiation, regulation and cell signalling, in the innate and adaptive immune system.^{86 87} Maladaptation within these processes may result in acute or perpetuating inflammation, which characterises inflammatory disorders including IBD. Here key findings of the role of miRNAs in the innate and adaptive immune system are summarised, focusing on the most extensively investigated pathways.

miRNAs and activation of the innate immune system

The innate immune system is the first defence against pathogens and relies primarily on early antigen recognition and this is initiated by pathogen associated molecular patterns. Pathogen associated molecular patterns trigger extracellular receptors termed toll-like receptors (TLRs) or intracytoplasmic nucleotide-binding oligomerisation domain-containing protein (NOD)-like receptors and promote downstream signalling cascades through pathways including NFκB, mitogen activated protein kinase and interferon (IFN) regulatory factors.⁸⁸ miRNAs actively regulate these processes.

NOD-like receptors

Most relevant within the context of IBD is *NOD2*, part of the NOD-like receptors family. *NOD2* has been the strongest single genetic susceptibility locus in CD.⁸⁹ The miRNA-NOD2 interaction has been studied and miRNAs including miR-192, miR-122, miR-29 and miR-146a may be implicated in IBD.^{9 90-92} The interaction of miR-192 and NOD2 may be relevant in the pathogenesis of IBD as a SNP rs3135500 in the 3'UTR region of NOD2 reduces the ability of miR-192 to inhibit NOD2.⁹² Polymorphisms in *NOD2* can also impair the ability of dendritic cells (DCs) to express miR-29, resulting in exaggerated IL-23 induced inflammation.⁹ miR-122 has also been shown to target NOD2 expression upon LPS stimulation, albeit in a different cell line (HT-29 cells).⁹⁰ Finally, miR-146a may regulate NOD2 derived gut inflammation in IBD and promote proinflammatory cytokines in MDP activated macrophages.⁹¹

Toll-like receptors

miRNAs have been shown to target a vast array of molecules within the TLR signalling pathway.⁹³ miR-146a/b and miR-155

are the most relevant miRNAs in this field and their important regulatory activity is supported by their respective knockout (KO) mice phenotypes.^{94 95} Mice deficient of miR-146a develop autoimmune disorders, myeloid cell proliferation and tumorigenesis while mice deficient of miR-155 display an impaired DC function and are unable to mount an adaptive immune response to pathogens.^{94 95} The induction of the miR-146 family and miR-155 is nuclear factor κ light chain enhancer of activated B cells (κ B) dependent and these miRNAs form negative feedback circuits to fine-tune the inflammatory response upon bacterial infection.^{96–99}

While miR-146 targets MyD88 adaptor proteins: tumour necrosis factor receptor associated factor 6 and IL-1 receptor-associated kinase 1, miR-155 on the other hand targets signalling proteins: suppressor of cytokine signalling 1 and TAK1-binding protein 2.^{96 100 101} Cells use miR146 to attain tolerance to subinflammatory doses of LPS, however when exposed to proinflammatory doses of LPS, miR-155 is also activated to broadly limit inflammation.¹⁰² The process of miR-146a expression appears dynamic and during early phases of the inflammatory response in macrophages, there is transient reversal of miRNA mediated repression of inflammatory cytokines through AGO2 phosphorylation.¹⁰³ LPS stimulation of TLR4 also activates the regulatory PI-3K/Akt circuit which consists of let-7e and miR-155 and its targets TLR4 and suppressor of cytokine signalling 1.¹⁰⁴ Macrophages deficient of Akt suppress let-7e and overexpress miR-155 resulting in a hyper-responsive phenotype to LPS.^{104 105} miRNAs have also been implicated in other infections such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection promoting miR-302b expression in order to limit the pulmonary inflammatory response and BCG triggered miR-12 expression.^{106 107}

It must be borne in mind that the implicated miRNAs in the innate immune response are cell-specific. In human monocytes and neutrophils, TLR4 activated NF κ B induces the expression of miR-9 however in murine macrophages, the NF κ B feedback circuit is governed by miR-210.^{108 109}

Adaptive immune system

Within the immune system, an intricate network of signalling facilitates maturation of the adaptive immune system. The appropriate development and function of these immune cells (T and B cells) is crucial when distinguishing foreign antigens from self. Recent studies have shown that miRNAs are involved in various stages of T cell and B cell maturation and activation (figure 4).

miRNAs and T cell regulation

The differentiation and maturation of T cells is influenced by miRNAs (figure 4). Specific deletion of Dicer or Drosha in T cell lineages results in aberrant differentiation and cytokine production with a marked bias towards Th1 development and IFN- γ production.^{132 133} During positive and negative selections within the thymus gland, self-reactive T cells are first removed (negative selection) before T cells with functional receptors are selected (positive selection). The miR-181 family plays an important role in this process by altering T cell receptor sensitivity and may also contribute to diminished vaccine responses seen in the elderly.^{110 111}

miRNAs and Th1 and Th2 differentiation

miRNAs contribute to Th1 and Th2 cell differentiation. Several miRNAs including miR-146a, miR-29, miR-155, miR-17-92 cluster, miR-128 and miR-27b have been shown to influence Th1 differentiation and function.^{112–115} Overexpression of miR-155 influences CD4+ T cells to differentiate into Th1 cells

while deficiency in miR-155 shows a bias towards Th2 differentiation.^{94 99} Similarly, miR-17-92 promotes Th1 differentiation by upregulating IFN- γ production and suppressing regulatory T cell (Treg) differentiation.¹¹⁶ Of particular interest is the role of miR-21 expression in T cells. miR-21 has been shown to promote Th2 cell differentiation and as described previously, its dysregulation has been implicated in IBD.^{5 8 117}

Several miRNAs have been shown to play a regulatory role by targeting transcription factors known to be involved in Th1 cell gene expression.¹¹⁴ These include miR-29 targeting T-bet and comosodermin, transcription factors known to regulate IFN- γ production and miR-146a that targets signal transducer and activator transcription 1 in Treg cells, a transcription factor that controls Treg mediated regulation of Th1 responses.^{113 114}

miRNAs and Th17 differentiation

The Th17 pathway has been widely researched in the context of IBD.¹³⁴ Recent studies determining the effect of miRNAs on the differentiation and function of Th17 pathway have identified direct and indirect regulatory mechanisms. Using murine models with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis, studies have shown that miR-326, miR-10a, miR-155 directly regulate Th17 differentiation and/or function while miR-301a is an indirect enhancer of Th17 differentiation.^{118–121} Of these miRNAs, miR-155 seems relevant to IBD as it directly upregulates Th17 differentiation and indirectly influences the regulation of pro-Th17 cytokines from DCs.^{121 122} Furthermore, miR-155 KO mice are protected from dextran sulfate sodium(DSS) induced experimental colitis compared with wild type mice.¹²³ miRNAs may also regulate hypoxia-induced Th17 differentiation by overexpressing miR-210 and promoting a negative feedback circuit with Hif1a, a key transcription factor of Th17 polarisation.¹²⁴

miRNAs and T regulatory cells

Studies have identified the role of miRNAs in Treg cell development and function by promoting the differentiation of CD4⁺ T cells into Treg cells in the thymus and maintaining their immune homeostatic function.¹³⁵ It has been shown in vivo that CD4⁺ T cells that fail to express miRNAs develop spontaneous autoimmunity.¹³⁵ Furthermore, conditional Dicer or Drosha deletion in Foxp3⁺Treg cells can alter the expression of several Treg specific markers including Foxp3, resulting in early fatal autoimmune disease.^{133 136} Several miRNAs including miR-155, miR146a, miR-10a and miR-17-92 have been shown to maintain Treg cell function by modulating different signalling pathways.^{100 113 125 126} miR10a in selective Treg cells assists in maintaining high Foxp3 levels but does not influence the number or phenotype of Treg cells.¹²⁵ miR-155 has been shown to regulate mature Treg cell homeostasis via the IL-2 signalling pathway while miR-146a regulates Treg cell function to limit inflammation.^{100 113} The miR17-92 cluster has also been implicated in Treg cell function but these studies are conflicting. miR-17-92 Treg cell KO mice develop an exacerbated experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis,¹²⁶ however Jiang *et al* showed that certain miRNAs within this cluster such as miR17 and miR-19b inhibit Treg cell differentiation and promote Th1 responses.¹¹⁶

miRNAs and other immune cells

miRNAs have been implicated in other immune cell maturation such as B cells and T follicular helper cells. The miR-17-92 cluster helps regulate T follicular helper cell differentiation as well as B cell maturation while other miRNAs such as miR-10a and miR-181a have also been shown to regulate these processes.^{119 127–131}

Figure 4 MicroRNAs (miRNAs) and the adaptive immune system. This diagram displays a developmental flow chart of the adaptive immune system, mainly T cells. The miRNAs highlighted in black promote the differentiation and/or function of their respective T cell populations while those highlighted in red are inhibitors of these processes. Cytokines released by each T cell subtype are also summarised. ^{94 99 100 110-131} MHC, major histocompatibility complex; Th, T helper cell; Tfh, T follicular helper cell; Treg, regulatory T cell; IL, interleukin; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; IFN, interferon; TGF, transforming growth factor.

miRNAs and the immune system

- ▶ miRNAs play important roles in the development and differentiation of the innate and adaptive immune system.
- ▶ The innate immune response to bacterial infection is regulated by an intricate network of miRNA circuits that fine-tune the inflammatory response.
- ▶ miRNA expression is highly cell specific and miRNA dysregulation especially in Th17 cells has been implicated in IBD.

MIRNA PROFILING IN IBD

Following numerous studies determining miRNA expression profiles in human health and disease, researchers are now beginning to explore the functional actions of miRNAs. The various experimental techniques are used to investigate miRNAs and have been reviewed recently.¹³⁷ Early studies used quantitative PCR (qPCR), following which microarrays have been used to study miRNAs. Microarrays work by hybridisation of the mature miRNA to complementary probe sequences immobilised on a chip or beads, with a detection mechanism usually involving labelling of the 3' end of the miRNA.¹³⁷ Most recently next generation sequencing (NGS) has been used to study small

RNAs. NGS is potentially advantageous over microarray techniques as it provides greater coverage, demonstrates sequence independence and has the potential to identify novel miRNAs. Confirmatory techniques to validate these findings use standard techniques such as qPCR and northern blotting.

Results from early studies exploring the profile of miRNAs expressed in tissues of patients with IBD have been somewhat conflicting and difficult to interpret. Many of the miRNA related IBD studies have been underpowered and the need for large cohorts to perform well-powered studies has been demonstrated by the Cancer Genome Atlas consortia.¹³⁸ There has also been a lack of uniformity of the comparator group, with controls consisting of healthy individuals in some studies and 'symptomatic control' patients in others and furthermore many of these studies used different methods to normalise miRNA data. There has been difficulty identifying suitable housekeeping genes as a reference for qPCR. Microarray and NGS studies have used different techniques for normalisation; either

normalising against total miRNA or using approaches such as scaling and quantile normalisation.^{139–141}

Other specific issues include difficulties deciphering whether differentially expressed miRNAs are causal, a consequence of disease or related non-specifically to inflammation, and miRNA levels may vary with disease duration and can be influenced by therapy.^{142 143} Moreover, every cell type possesses its own unique epigenetic signature therefore interpreting the relevance of miRNAs detected in heterogeneous samples (eg, whole blood, intestinal biopsies) is challenging and complicated by the fact that many miRNAs can target the same gene. Recent publications have demonstrated a shift in focus from generating exhaustive tissue and blood miRNA screens (see online supplementary table S1) to carefully designed functional experiments that elaborate actions of individual miRNAs in known pathogenetic pathways in IBD as implicated by GWAS. The most consistent evidence to date links miRNAs and autophagy in CD and in NOD2-induced Th17-mediated disease (table 1).

Table 1 Functional studies on micro RNAs (miRNAs)

First author	Study model	miRNA of interest	mRNA/ pathway target	Findings
Nguyen ¹⁴⁴	AIEC infection in T84 cells and mouse enterocytes. Translational studies in ileal CD biopsies	miR-30C and miR-130A	ATG5 ATG16L1	Adherent <i>Escherichia coli</i> upregulate miRNAs, reduce levels of ATG5 and ATG16L1 and inhibit autophagy
Zhai ¹⁴⁵	Jurkat T cells Colonic epithelial cells	miR-142-3p	ATG16L1	Reduced ATG16L1 mRNA and protein levels, regulating autophagy in CD.
Lu ¹⁴⁶	HCT116, SW480, HeLa and U2OS cell lines. Colonic biopsies from CD and healthy controls	miR106B, miR93	ATG16L1	Reduced levels of ATG16L1 and autophagy
Brest ¹⁰	HEK-293 cells Colonic biopsies	miR-196	IRGM	A risk variant of <i>IRGM</i> alters the binding site for miR-196 and causes deregulation of <i>IRGM</i> -dependent xenophagy in CD
Brain ⁹	Dendritic cell line, miR-29 KO murine models	miR-29	IL-12p40 (direct target) IL-12p19 (indirect target)	<i>NOD2</i> induces miR-29 release and limits IL-23 release <i>NOD2</i> polymorphism alters the expression of miR-29 and contributes to pathogenesis in CD.
Xue ¹⁴⁷	IL-10 KO mice MyD88 KO mice RAG KO mice Murine dendrite cells	miR-10a	IL-12/IL-23p40	miR-10a expression is regulated by the intestinal microbiota and targets the Th17 pathway. This miRNA may play a role in intestinal homeostasis
Koukos ¹⁴⁸	HCT-116 colonocyte cells, murine models and colonic biopsies from patients with UC	miR-124	STAT3	Downregulation of miR-124 results in proinflammatory response in UC
Shi ⁵	miR-21 KO DSS model and wild type mouse models	miR-21	RhoB	miR-21 is overexpressed in inflammation and tissue injury. miR-21 KO improves survival in DSS colitis mouse model
Yang ¹⁴⁹	Caco-2 cells, colonic biopsies from UC and healthy controls			Targeting RhoB impairs the tight junction integrity and decreases transepithelial resistance and increases inulin permeability
Nata ¹⁵⁰	IL-10 deficient mice	miR-146b	siah2	miR-146b improves intestinal inflammation and epithelial barrier by activating NFκB
Chuang ⁹²	HCT116 colonic epithelial cells	miR-192, miR-495, miR-512, miR-671	NOD2	Downregulates NOD2 expression, suppresses NFκB, inhibits <i>IL8</i> and <i>CXCL3</i> expression
Chen ¹⁵¹	Intestinal epithelial cells Intestinal biopsies	miR-200b	TGFβ	miR-200b promotes the growth of intestinal epithelial cells by inhibiting epithelial-mesenchymal transition via TGFβ
Feng ¹⁵²	UC intestinal biopsies HCT116 cells HT29 cells	miR-126	IκBα	Promotes NFκB mediated inflammation by targeting IκBα, a known inhibitor of the NFκB pathway.
Nguyen ¹⁵³	Caco2-BBE cells Mouse epithelial cells Colonic CD tissues	miR-7	CD98 expression	miR-7 regulates the expression of CD98. CD98 expression upregulated and miR-7 decreased in actively inflamed CD tissues.
Singh ¹²³	miR-155 KO mice	miR-155	Th17 pathway	miR-155 KO mice models and demonstrated that these mice are protected from experimental colitis compared with wild type mice.
Wu ⁸	miR-21 KO TNBS and T cell transfer model of colitis miR-21 KO DSS colitis model	miR-21	Th1 pathway	miR-21 KO results in reduced DSS induced colitis but exacerbated inflammation in TNBS and T cell transfer model of colitis

AIEC, adherent invasive *Escherichia coli*; CD, Crohn's disease; DSS, dextran sulfate sodium; IL, interleukin; IRGM, immunity related GTPase family M protein; KO, knockout; miR, microRNA; NFκB, nuclear factor-κB; NOD2, nuclear oligomerisation domain-containing protein 2; TGFβ, transforming growth factor β; TNBS, trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid.

miRNA profiling in IBD

- ▶ There is a need to perform large-scale multicentre miRNA profiles in IBD with a well-defined 'healthy control' population using NGS techniques.
- ▶ miRNA levels can vary with disease duration and therapies.
- ▶ Every cell possesses its own epigenetic signature, therefore understanding the relevance of miRNA profiles in whole blood and intestinal biopsies can be challenging.

FUNCTIONAL STUDIES IN IBD

miRNAs and autophagy in CD

Autophagy is a cellular process that involves self-digestion of unwanted materials such as damaged mitochondrial products (mitophagy) and pathogenic microbes (xenophagy). A process such as xenophagy requires the coordinated action of a multitude of proteins including, vimentin, NOD2, immunity related GTPase family M protein (IRGM) and a multiprotein complex which includes ATG16L1 and ATG5-ATG12.^{154 155} In understanding molecular signalling and its effect on autophagy, several groups have investigated the role of miRNAs in these processes (figure 5).

During periods of starvation or hypoxia, mammalian target of rapamycin is inhibited within cells, activating autophagy. Hypoxia-induced autophagy results in upregulation of miR-155 that targets multiple components of mammalian target of rapamycin signalling.¹⁵⁶ All genes currently described in the regulation of different stages of autophagy are influenced by miRNAs.¹⁵⁷ Several autophagy genes have also been associated with susceptibility to CD, notably *IRGM* and *ATG16L1*.¹⁵⁸ Interestingly, autophagy regulates miRNA production by targeting miRNA-processing enzymes Dicer and AGO2 through the autophagy receptor nuclear dot protein 52 kDa and gem-associated protein 4.¹⁵⁹ Future challenges include understanding the genetic control of miRNA biogenesis including its own transcriptional activators and repressors.

Immunity related GTPase family M protein

A common exonic synonymous SNP (c.313C>T) in *IRGM* is associated with CD.¹⁶⁰ Although this SNP does not alter the *IRGM* protein sequence, it is located in the 'seed' region where mRNA and miRNA form a RNA induced silencing complex.¹⁰ Further analysis revealed that this SNP altered the binding site for miR-196. miR-196 was also shown to be overexpressed in inflamed tissues of patients with CD suggesting that this defective miRNA-mRNA interaction deregulates *IRGM*-dependent xenophagy in CD.¹⁰

ATG16L1

GWAS identified *ATG16L1* polymorphism (T300A) as a risk variant in CD. Further studies revealed that this variant results in ineffective xenophagy of pathogens such as *Salmonella typhimurium*.¹⁶¹ Several studies have identified miRNAs that target ATG16L1, although each study associates a different set of miRNAs which may relate to miRNA cell line specificity. In HeLa cell lines, adherent invasive *Escherichia coli* infection results in overexpression of miR-93 and miR106B and downregulation of ATG5 and ATG16L1 thereby disrupting the autophagy pathway and bacterial clearance.¹⁴⁶ In adherent invasive *Escherichia coli* infected T84 cells however, miR-30C and

miR-130A were upregulated.¹⁴⁴ Both studies were able to replicate their findings in endoscopic biopsies from patients with CD. Finally, miR-142-3p has also been shown to target ATG16L1 and autophagy using a different cell line.¹⁴⁵

Th17 pathway

Th17 driven inflammation plays an important role in IBD and studies have shown how miRNAs are used by DCs to regulate the inflammatory response. Brain *et al*⁹ demonstrated that NOD2 mediates its effects through miRNAs in DCs, in particular miR-29. The gene most strongly regulated by miR-29 is *IL12B* (encoding IL-12/23 p40) while *IL23A* (encoding IL-23 p19) is indirectly targeted through suppression of its transcription factor ATF2 and mice deficient of this miRNA develop a more severe Th17 driven colitis on DSS exposure.⁹ Microbiota can also impact on DC miRNA expression. In vivo models have demonstrated the commensal bacteria can negatively regulate miR-10a in DCs.¹⁴⁷ Furthermore miR-10 directly targets IL-12/23p40 to limit Th17 driven inflammation and the expression of this miRNA may be regulated in order to maintain intestinal homeostasis.¹⁴⁷

Other inflammatory pathways

The role of the NFκB pathway in IBD has been well described and studies have shown that this pathway is also regulated by miRNAs.¹⁶² miR-126 promotes NFκB mediated inflammation by directly targeting IκBα mRNA, an important inhibitor of NFκB signalling pathway. These findings were replicated in colonic biopsies in patients with active UC.¹⁵² Conversely, NFκB has also been shown to play an anti-inflammatory role in IBD as demonstrated by the differential expression of miR-146b in IL-10 deficient mice models.¹⁵⁰ Administering miR-146b vectors intraperitoneally in DSS colitis mice ameliorated intestinal inflammation via activation of the NFκB mediated pathway.¹⁵⁰

Other pathways that have been studied include signal transducer and activator transcription 3 (STAT3) and acetylcholine.^{148 163 164} Koukos *et al*¹⁴⁸ demonstrated downregulation of miR-124 and upregulation of STAT3 in colonic biopsies of patients with active UC. These findings were translated in cell lines and murine experimental models suggesting a role of STAT3 expression in promoting intestinal inflammation. Finally, vagal secretion of acetylcholine suppresses peripheral inflammation by interrupting cytokine production and miR-132 has been shown to target acetylcholine esterase thereby potentiating anti-inflammatory effects.¹⁶⁴

Epithelial barrier integrity

Dysfunctional epithelial barrier has been implicated in the pathogenesis of UC.^{165 166} GWAS data demonstrated IBD associated genes that play a role in maintaining intestinal epithelial barrier integrity and examples include *LAMB-1* that regulates basement membrane stability and *CDH-1* that regulates stability of adherens junctions via E-cadherin.¹⁶⁶ Recent studies have investigated miRNAs in epithelial barrier function, in particular miR-21 and miR-200B.^{5 149 151} Murine miR-21 KO models with experimental DSS colitis survive longer and have less tissue inflammation than wild type mice and this miRNA targets *Rhob*, a target gene involved in regulating intestinal permeability.^{5 149} Similarly miR-200b has been shown to help maintain epithelial barrier integrity by targeting transforming growth factor β1 and inhibiting epithelial-mesenchymal transition, a process that promotes loss of intestinal epithelial cells and contributes to the pathogenesis in IBD.¹⁵¹

Figure 5 MicroRNAs (miRNAs) and autophagy (adapted with permission from Ventham *et al*, *Gastroenterology*). This diagram summarises the influence of miRNAs within different components of autophagy. Altered sequence in the immunity related GTPase family M protein (IRGM) gene results in an impaired binding site for miR-196.¹⁰ The consequent reduction in miR-196 activity results in IRGM upregulation and causes ineffective bacterial clearance of adherent invasive *Escherichia coli* (AIEC) in the intestinal cells of patients with Crohn's disease. ATG16L1 has also been shown to be a target of a host of miRNAs. miR-106B and miR-93 repress *ATG16L1* mRNA translation, thereby disrupting the autophagy pathway and bacterial clearance of AIEC.¹⁴⁶ miR-30C and miR-130A have also been shown to directly target *ATG16L1* and *ATG5*.¹⁴⁴ Similarly, miR-142-3p has also been shown to negatively regulate *ATG16L1* and autophagy.¹⁴⁵ Finally, NOD2 has been shown to induce the expression of miR-29 to limit IL-23 release, indirectly influencing the Th17 pathway in human dendritic cell lines.⁹ Polymorphisms in *NOD2* impair the ability to express miR-29 resulting in exaggerated IL-23 induced inflammation. Recently, a set of miRNAs that directly target *NOD2* expression, miR-192, miR-495, miR-512 and miR-671 have also been described albeit in a different cell line (colonic epithelial HCT116 cells).⁹²

miRNA studies in IBD

- ▶ miRNAs have been shown to regulate several pathways involving susceptibility loci found in IBD by GWAS.
- ▶ Recent data implicate miRNAs in the dysregulation of autophagy and Th17 signalling in CD.
- ▶ Increased expression of miR-21 is the most consistently replicated finding and represents a novel therapeutic target.
- ▶ miRNAs have also been shown to regulate intestinal barrier integrity in UC.

TRANSLATION TO CLINICAL PRACTICE: LESSONS LEARNED FROM OTHER DISEASES

miRNAs as disease biomarkers

Insights from contemporary cancer research highlight the exciting potential of miRNAs as biomarkers. Research in this area was stimulated by the initial finding that miRNA profiles can accurately differentiate between different cancer lineages and successfully classify poorly differentiated cancers based on tissue profiling.¹⁶⁷ In 2008, miRNAs were also discovered to be present in serum in a cell-free state, sparking excitement about their potential use as non-invasive biomarkers.^{168–170} Extracellular miRNAs have now been found in most biological fluids including serum, urine, tears, saliva and breast milk.^{171–172} Packaged in vesicles consisting of microparticles, lipoproteins or RNA binding proteins, these miRNAs are very stable and protected from degradation.¹⁶⁸ Their profiles have

been studied in various diseases including cardiovascular diseases, cancer and neurological diseases.^{173–175}

Despite the optimism that miRNAs may represent robust biomarkers, the results should be treated with some circumspection; recent reviews showed that up to 58% (n=47) of the reported tumour related miRNAs are not disease specific.¹⁷⁶ Only 33% of the reported miRNAs in non-neoplastic diseases (n=139) were deemed biologically plausible and represented non-ubiquitous miRNA expression in disease-appropriate cell types.¹⁷⁷

The therapeutic application of miRNA modulation

miRNA related therapeutic intervention may involve either miRNA antagonists or miRNA mimics. Antagomirs, an example of miRNA antagonists, can be applied to allow gain of function within diseased states by introducing a chemically modified RNA that binds to the active miRNA of interest to inhibit its activity and rescue the repression of its target. Conversely miRNA mimics are used to restore a loss of function by the introduction of miRNAs into diseased cells to mimic a healthy cell state.¹⁷⁸

Within the GI literature, there are several studies highlighting the potential therapeutic application of specific miRNAs including miR-155 and miR-210.^{123–124} Recently, miR-141 has been shown to play a critical role in colonic leucocyte trafficking by targeting CXCL12 β . Treatment with pre-miR141 protects mice against the development of trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid and IL-10KO colitis. In contrast, anti-miR141 aggravates trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid-induced colitis through CXCL12 β suppression.¹⁷⁹

Several miRNA-based therapies are either in the preclinical phase or the clinical trial phase, with 'miravirsin' miR-122 targeting in HCV being the most developed therapy.¹⁸⁰ miR-122 is

highly liver specific and well conserved across human and other vertebrate species.^{181 182} The interaction between HCV and miR-122 is intriguing. The survival and replication of HCV RNA within the liver is propagated by miR-122 through two binding sites at the 5' UTR of the HCV genome.¹⁸³ Inhibiting this miRNA results in viral suppression, identifying it as a potential therapeutic target in HCV infection.¹⁸³ Its antiviral effects have been demonstrated across all HCV genotypes.¹⁸⁴ Data from the first Phase 2a clinical trial using miravirsin for the treatment of HCV (genotype 1) in 36 treatment-naïve patients with chronic HCV demonstrated dose-dependent reductions in viral RNA levels with no evidence of viral resistance or adverse events during an 18-week follow-up period.¹⁸⁰ Combination therapies that include miravirsin with other known agents such as telaprevir and ribavirin are also currently in Phase 2 clinical trials.¹⁸⁵ Several other miRNA therapies in development have been summarised in a recent review.¹⁸⁶

Challenges to therapeutic translation

There are several issues associated with miRNA therapeutics. First, there appears to be functional redundancy exhibited by miRNAs. Studies have shown that genetic deletion of miRNAs does not alter phenotypes or disease processes nor does it result in lethality in the vast majority of miRNAs. For some miRNAs that exist within 'families', this may be explained by intrafamilial redundancy however for others this may represent target sharing by several distinct miRNAs.^{187 188} Temporary inhibition of miRNAs however seems to have an effect, as shown by the inhibition of miR-21.^{189 190} The discrepancy in effect between permanent deletion and temporary inhibition of miRNAs may be a result of 'off-target' influences or could be explained by adaptive compensation by cells to chronic loss of functional miRNAs over time. Interestingly miRNAs may be particularly important under conditions of stress, such that miRNA deficient developmental phenotypes in controlled laboratory environments may not always be expected.^{191 192}

Uptake of miRNAs beyond the target organ poses a potential challenge when developing miRNA therapies aimed at overexpressing miRNA. For example miR-26a suppresses hepatocellular carcinoma but has also been shown to have pro-oncogenic properties in glioma formation by repressing its target, phosphatase and tensin homolog.^{62 193} Second, miRNA-based drug delivery to the relevant cells must take into account the high rates of degradation by RNases in blood.¹⁹⁴ Finally, owing to a wide repertoire of several target genes, each miRNA-based therapy has the potential to cause varied side effects. Examples include germ line deletion of the oncogenic miR-17-92 cluster resulting in skeletal and growth defects in humans.¹⁹⁵ As such the long-term inhibition of target miRNAs must be rigorously tested. Studies have shown that although short-term inhibition of miR-122 has beneficial effects on circulating cholesterol synthesis and repressing HCV replication in the liver, long-term inhibition of miR-122, as seen in KO mice models, results in an age-dependent increase in hepatocellular carcinoma and steatohepatitis.^{196 197} These studies further emphasise the need to rigorously test short-term and long-term side effects of miRNA-based therapies.¹⁹⁵

Delivery of miRNA therapies to their target organ has also been difficult. While antagomirs can be delivered systemically, the delivery of miRNA mimics has been challenging, similar to the difficulties encountered with small interfering RNA therapeutics. As single RNA strands are >10 times less effective in vitro and in vivo, miRNA mimics are delivered as synthetic duplexes.^{198 199}

There are however several issues that should be highlighted with this conformation. As mentioned earlier, cellular uptake can occur even in tissues that do not express the relevant miRNA, potentiating undesired effects. In addition, these RNA duplexes can also stimulate the innate immune system through TLRs.²⁰⁰ Finally, the passenger strands of these duplexes can incorporate themselves into miRNA induced silencing complexes and act as antagomirs with undesired side effects. Improvements in delivery strategies and RNA chemistries may combat some of these issues and miRNA replacement therapies for cancer using miRNA mimics have advanced to Phase 1 clinical trials.^{201 202}

There has also been much interest in studying innovative methods to deliver synthetic miRNAs. Studies have used lenti, adeno or adeno associated virus vectors to restore activity, however delivery using viral vectors certainly poses safety concerns.^{62 203–205} The mechanisms of extracellular miRNAs packaged in vesicles are also being studied. Examples include exosomal delivery of small interfering RNAs to the mouse brain by systemic injection and exosomal delivery of let-7a to target epidermal growth factor receptor in RAG (–/–) mice.^{206 207}

Translational application of miRNAs

- ▶ There are emerging data from human diseases studying miRNAs as novel biomarkers in diagnosing and predicting disease course and response to therapy.
- ▶ miRNA-based therapeutic technologies have been restricted by difficulties in delivery to the target organ in order to minimise side effects.
- ▶ Several miRNA-based therapies are now in clinical or preclinical trials with 'miravirsin' being the most developed therapy.

CONCLUDING REMARKS: THE IMMEDIATE RESEARCH AGENDA

The field of miRNA research has advanced dramatically with strong data associating miRNAs in IBD, notably in functional studies of autophagy and Th17 regulation. However in order to understand the role of miRNAs in disease pathogenesis, translational studies that take into account their plasticity and cellular specificity is critical. Novel miRNA biomarker discoveries are on the horizon, with studies using the dynamic properties of miRNAs to generate expression profiles in different stages of IBD and disease phenotype, or in response to immunomodulatory therapy.

Studies are now exploring miRNA regulatory and extracellular transport biology with a view to devising novel therapeutic targets that are cell specific and alter gene expression in target cells. The in vivo application of miRNA-based therapies packaged in genetically engineered extracellular vesicles provides a glimpse of the future translational potential of miRNA-based research in chronic inflammatory diseases.

Contributors RK wrote the initial draft of the manuscript and subsequently all authors have been involved with the amendments and final report. The authors thank B H Ramsahoye for reviewing the manuscript.

Competing interests None.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

REFERENCES

- 1 Burisch J, Jess T, Martinato M, et al. The burden of inflammatory bowel disease in Europe. *J Crohns Colitis* 2013;7:322–37.
- 2 Jostins L, Ripke S, Weersma RK, et al. Host-microbe interactions have shaped the genetic architecture of inflammatory bowel disease. *Nature* 2012;491:119–24.
- 3 Esteller M. Non-coding RNAs in human disease. *Nat Rev Genet* 2011;12:861–74.
- 4 Xu W-D, Pan H-F, Li J-H, et al. MicroRNA-21 with therapeutic potential in autoimmune diseases. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 2013;17:659–65.
- 5 Shi C, Liang Y, Yang J, et al. MicroRNA-21 knockout improve the survival rate in DSS induced fatal colitis through protecting against inflammation and tissue injury. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e66814.
- 6 Wang Y, Gao X, Wei F, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic value of circulating miR-21 for cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gene* 2014;533:389–97.
- 7 Adams AT, Kennedy NA, Hansen R, et al. Two-stage genome-wide methylation profiling in childhood-onset Crohn's disease implicates epigenetic alterations at the VMP1/MIR21 and HLA loci. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2014;20:1784–93.
- 8 Wu F, Dong F, Arendovich N, et al. Divergent influence of microRNA-21 deletion on murine colitis phenotypes. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2014;20:1972–85.
- 9 Brain O, Owens BMJ, Pichulik T, et al. The intracellular sensor NOD2 induces microRNA-29 expression in human dendritic cells to limit IL-23 release. *Immunity* 2013;39:521–36.
- 10 Brest P, Lapaquette P, Souidi M, et al. A synonymous variant in IRGM alters a binding site for miR-196 and causes deregulation of IRGM-dependent xenophagy in Crohn's disease. *Nat Genet* 2011;43:242–5.
- 11 Ambros V. A hierarchy of regulatory genes controls a larva-to-adult developmental switch in *C. elegans*. *Cell* 1989;57:49–57.
- 12 Chalfie M, Horvitz HR, Sulston JE. Mutations that lead to reiterations in the cell lineages of *C. elegans*. *Cell* 1981;24:59–69.
- 13 Lee RC, Feinbaum RL, Ambros V. The *C. elegans* heterochronic gene *lin-4* encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to *lin-14*. *Cell* 1993;75:843–54.
- 14 Ruvkun G, Guisto J. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* heterochronic gene *lin-14* encodes a nuclear protein that forms a temporal developmental switch. *Nature* 1989;338:313–19.
- 15 Reinhart BJ, Slack FJ, Basson M, et al. The 21-nucleotide *let-7* RNA regulates developmental timing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* 2000;403:901–6.
- 16 Pasquinelli AE, Reinhart BJ, Slack F, et al. Conservation of the sequence and temporal expression of *let-7* heterochronic regulatory RNA. *Nature* 2000;408:86–9.
- 17 Griffiths-Jones S, Grocock RJ, van Dongen S, et al. miRBase: microRNA sequences, targets and gene nomenclature. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2006;34:D140–4.
- 18 Libri V, Miesen P, van Rij RP, et al. Regulation of microRNA biogenesis and turnover by animals and their viruses. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2013;70:3525–44.
- 19 Oszlak F, Poling LL, Wang Z, et al. Chromatin structure analyses identify miRNA promoters. *Genes Dev* 2008;22:3172–83.
- 20 Saini HK, Griffiths-Jones S, Enright AJ. Genomic analysis of human microRNA transcripts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007;104:17719–24.
- 21 Lee Y, Kim M, Han J, et al. MicroRNA genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase II. *EMBO J* 2004;23:4051–60.
- 22 Borchert GM, Lanier W, Davidson BL. RNA polymerase III transcribes human microRNAs. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2006;13:1097–101.
- 23 Heo I, Ha M, Lim J, et al. Mono-uridylation of pre-microRNA as a key step in the biogenesis of group II *let-7* microRNAs. *Cell* 2012;151:521–32.
- 24 Han J, Lee Y, Yeom K-H, et al. Molecular basis for the recognition of primary microRNAs by the Drosha-DGCR8 complex. *Cell* 2006;125:887–901.
- 25 Han J, Lee Y, Yeom K-H, et al. The Drosha-DGCR8 complex in primary microRNA processing. *Genes Dev* 2004;18:3016–27.
- 26 Zeng Y, Cullen BR. Structural requirements for pre-microRNA binding and nuclear export by Exportin 5. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004;32:4776–85.
- 27 Yi R, Qin Y, Macara IG, et al. Exportin-5 mediates the nuclear export of pre-microRNAs and short hairpin RNAs. *Genes Dev* 2003;17:3011–16.
- 28 Lund E, Güttinger S, Calado A, et al. Nuclear export of microRNA precursors. *Science* 2004;303:95–8.
- 29 Bernstein E, Caudy AA, Hammond SM, et al. Role for a bidentate ribonuclease in the initiation step of RNA interference. *Nature* 2001;409:363–6.
- 30 Gregory RI, Chendrimada TP, Cooch N, et al. Human RISC couples microRNA biogenesis and posttranscriptional gene silencing. *Cell* 2005;123:631–40.
- 31 Bommer GT, Gerin I, Feng Y, et al. p53-mediated activation of miRNA34 candidate tumor-suppressor genes. *Curr Biol* 2007;17:1298–307.
- 32 Chang T-C, Wentzel EA, Kent OA, et al. Transactivation of miR-34a by p53 broadly influences gene expression and promotes apoptosis. *Mol Cell* 2007;26:745–52.
- 33 He L, He X, Lim LP, et al. A microRNA component of the p53 tumour suppressor network. *Nature* 2007;447:1130–4.
- 34 Eulalio A, Huntzinger E, Izaurralde E. Getting to the root of miRNA-mediated gene silencing. *Cell* 2008;132:9–14.
- 35 Zisoulis DG, Kai ZS, Chang RK, et al. Autoregulation of microRNA biogenesis by *let-7* and Argonaute. *Nature* 2012;486:541–4.
- 36 Katoh T, Sakaguchi Y, Miyauchi K, et al. Selective stabilization of mammalian microRNAs by 3' adenylation mediated by the cytoplasmic poly(A) polymerase GLD-2. *Genes Dev* 2009;23:433–8.
- 37 Breving K, Esquela-Kerscher A. The complexities of microRNA regulation: mirandering around the rules. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2010;42:1316–29.
- 38 Kawahara Y, Zinshteyn B, Sethupathy P, et al. Redirection of silencing targets by adenosine-to-inosine editing of miRNAs. *Science* 2007;315:1137–40.
- 39 Blow MJ, Grocock RJ, van Dongen S, et al. RNA editing of human microRNAs. *Genome Biol* 2006;7:R27.
- 40 Yang W, Chendrimada TP, Wang Q, et al. Modulation of microRNA processing and expression through RNA editing by ADAR deaminases. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2006;13:13–21.
- 41 Yeom K-H, Lee Y, Han J, et al. Characterization of DGCR8/Pasha, the essential cofactor for Drosha in primary miRNA processing. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2006;34:4622–9.
- 42 Han J, Pedersen JS, Kwon SC, et al. Posttranscriptional crossregulation between Drosha and DGCR8. *Cell* 2009;136:75–84.
- 43 Winter J, Jung S, Keller S, et al. Many roads to maturity: microRNA biogenesis pathways and their regulation. *Nat Cell Biol* 2009;11:228–34.
- 44 Michlewski G, Guil S, Semple CA, et al. Posttranscriptional regulation of miRNAs harboring conserved terminal loops. *Mol Cell* 2008;32:383–93.
- 45 Guil S, Cáceres JF. The multifunctional RNA-binding protein hnRNP A1 is required for processing of miR-18a. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2007;14:591–6.
- 46 Michlewski G, Cáceres JF. Antagonistic role of hnRNP A1 and KSRP in the regulation of *let-7a* biogenesis. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2010;17:1011–18.
- 47 Paroo Z, Ye X, Chen S, et al. Phosphorylation of the human microRNA-generating complex mediates MAPK/Erk signaling. *Cell* 2009;139:112–22.
- 48 Russell AP, Lamon S, Boon H, et al. Regulation of miRNAs in human skeletal muscle following acute endurance exercise and short-term endurance training. *J Physiol* 2013;591:4637–53.
- 49 Wan G, Mathur R, Hu X, et al. miRNA response to DNA damage. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2011;36:478–84.
- 50 Wan G, Zhang X, Langley RR, et al. DNA-damage-induced nuclear export of precursor microRNAs is regulated by the ATM-AKT pathway. *Cell Rep* 2013;3:2100–12.
- 51 Bhattacharyya SN, Habermacher R, Martine U, et al. Stress-induced reversal of microRNA repression and mRNA P-body localization in human cells. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 2006;71:513–21.
- 52 Keddes M, Agami R. Interplay between microRNAs and RNA-binding proteins determines developmental processes. *Cell Cycle* 2008;7:899–903. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18414021>
- 53 Saunders MA, Liang H, Li W-H. Human polymorphism at microRNAs and microRNA target sites. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007;104:3300–5.
- 54 Tay Y, Zhang J, Thomson AM, et al. MicroRNAs to Nanog, Oct4 and Sox2 coding regions modulate embryonic stem cell differentiation. *Nature* 2008;455:1124–8.
- 55 Shin C, Nam J-W, Farh KK-H, et al. Expanding the microRNA targeting code: functional sites with centered pairing. *Mol Cell* 2010;38:789–802.
- 56 Helwak A, Kudla G, Dudnakova T, et al. Mapping the human miRNA interactome by CLASH reveals frequent noncanonical binding. *Cell* 2013;153:654–65.
- 57 Vasudevan S, Tong Y, Steitz JA. Switching from repression to activation: microRNAs can up-regulate translation. *Science* 2007;318:1931–4.
- 58 Kim DH, Saetrom P, Snøve O, et al. MicroRNA-directed transcriptional gene silencing in mammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008;105:16230–5.
- 59 Friedman RC, Farh KK-H, Burge CB, et al. Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome Res* 2009;19:92–105.
- 60 Fabian MR, Sonenberg N. The mechanics of miRNA-mediated gene silencing: a look under the hood of miRISC. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2012;19:586–93.
- 61 Arvey A, Larsson E, Sander C, et al. Target mRNA abundance dilutes microRNA and siRNA activity. *Mol Syst Biol* 2010;6:363.
- 62 Kota J, Chivukula RR, O'Donnell KA, et al. Therapeutic microRNA delivery suppresses tumorigenesis in a murine liver cancer model. *Cell* 2009;137:1005–17.
- 63 Ambros V. The functions of animal microRNAs. *Nature* 2004;431:350–5.
- 64 Peterson SM, Thompson JA, Ufkink ML, et al. Common features of microRNA target prediction tools. *Front Genet* 2014;5:23.
- 65 Kim VN, Han J, Siomi MC. Biogenesis of small RNAs in animals. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2009;10:126–39.
- 66 Tsang J, Zhu J, van Oudenaarden A. MicroRNA-mediated feedback and feedforward loops are recurrent network motifs in mammals. *Mol Cell* 2007;26:753–67.
- 67 Milo R, Shen-Orr S, Itzkovitz S, et al. Network motifs: simple building blocks of complex networks. *Science* 2002;298:824–7.
- 68 O'Donnell KA, Wentzel EA, Zeller KI, et al. c-Myc-regulated microRNAs modulate E2F1 expression. *Nature* 2005;435:839–43.
- 69 Shen-Orr SS, Milo R, Mangan S, et al. Network motifs in the transcriptional regulation network of *Escherichia coli*. *Nat Genet* 2002;31:64–8.

- 70 Iliopoulos D, Hirsch HA, Struhl K. An epigenetic switch involving NF- κ B, Lin28, Let-7 MicroRNA, and IL6 links inflammation to cell transformation. *Cell* 2009;139:693–706.
- 71 Hatziaepostolou M, Polyarchou C, Aggelidou E, et al. An HNF4 α -miRNA inflammatory feedback circuit regulates hepatocellular oncogenesis. *Cell* 2011;147:1233–47.
- 72 Herranz H, Cohen SM. MicroRNAs and gene regulatory networks: managing the impact of noise in biological systems. *Genes Dev* 2010;24:1339–44.
- 73 Mannaerts I, Eysackers N, Onyema OO, et al. Class II HDAC inhibition hampers hepatic stellate cell activation by induction of microRNA-29. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e55786.
- 74 Miao C, Yang Y, He X, et al. New advances of microRNAs in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis, with a focus on the crosstalk between DNA methylation and the microRNA machinery. *Cell Signal* 2013;25:1118–25.
- 75 Suzuki H, Takatsuka S, Akashi H, et al. Genome-wide profiling of chromatin signatures reveals epigenetic regulation of MicroRNA genes in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* 2011;71:5646–58.
- 76 Hansen TB, Jensen TI, Clausen BH, et al. Natural RNA circles function as efficient microRNA sponges. *Nature* 2013;495:384–8.
- 77 Ventham NT, Kennedy NA, Nimmo ER, et al. Beyond gene discovery in inflammatory bowel disease: the emerging role of epigenetics. *Gastroenterology* 2013;145:293–308.
- 78 Dai E, Yu X, Zhang Y, et al. EpimiR: a database of curated mutual regulation between miRNAs and epigenetic modifications. *Database (Oxford)* 2014;2014:bau023.
- 79 Wang LQ, Liang R, Chim CS. Methylation of tumor suppressor microRNAs: lessons from lymphoid malignancies. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 2012;12:755–65.
- 80 Zhang Y, Wang X, Xu B, et al. Epigenetic silencing of miR-126 contributes to tumor invasion and angiogenesis in colorectal cancer. *Oncol Rep* 2013;30:1976–84.
- 81 Suzuki R, Yamamoto E, Nojima M, et al. Aberrant methylation of microRNA-34b/c is a predictive marker of metachronous gastric cancer risk. *J Gastroenterol* 2013;49:1135–44.
- 82 Han L, Witmer PD, Casey E, et al. DNA methylation regulates MicroRNA expression. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2007;6:1284–8.
- 83 Pan C, Chen H, Wang L, et al. Down-regulation of MiR-127 facilitates hepatocyte proliferation during rat liver regeneration. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:e39151.
- 84 Escobar TM, Kanellopoulou C, Kugler DG, et al. miR-155 activates cytokine gene expression in Th17 cells by regulating the DNA-binding protein Jarid2 to relieve polycomb-mediated repression. *Immunity* 2014;40:865–79.
- 85 Salzman J, Gawad C, Wang PL, et al. Circular RNAs are the predominant transcript isoform from hundreds of human genes in diverse cell types. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:e30733.
- 86 Chen C-Z, Schaffert S, Frago R, et al. Regulation of immune responses and tolerance: the microRNA perspective. *Immunol Rev* 2013;253:112–28.
- 87 Xiao C, Rajewsky K. MicroRNA control in the immune system: basic principles. *Cell* 2009;136:26–36.
- 88 Geremia A, Biancheri P, Allan P, et al. Innate and adaptive immunity in inflammatory bowel disease. *Autoimmun Rev* 2014;13:3–10.
- 89 Cuthbert AP, Fisher SA, Mirza MM, et al. The contribution of NOD2 gene mutations to the risk and site of disease in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 2002;122:867–74.
- 90 Chen Y, Wang C, Liu Y, et al. miR-122 targets NOD2 to decrease intestinal epithelial cell injury in Crohn's disease. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2013;438:133–9.
- 91 Ghorpade DS, Sinha AY, Holla S, et al. NOD2-nitric oxide-responsive microRNA-146a activates Sonic hedgehog signaling to orchestrate inflammatory responses in murine model of inflammatory bowel disease. *J Biol Chem* 2013;288:33037–48.
- 92 Chuang AY, Chuang JC, Zhai Z, et al. NOD2 expression is regulated by microRNAs in colonic epithelial HCT116 cells. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2014;20:126–35.
- 93 He X, Jing Z, Cheng G. MicroRNAs: new regulators of Toll-like receptor signalling pathways. *Biomed Res Int* 2014;2014:945169.
- 94 Thai T-H, Calado DP, Casola S, et al. Regulation of the germinal center response by microRNA-155. *Science* 2007;316:604–8.
- 95 Boldin MP, Taganov KD, Rao DS, et al. miR-146a is a significant brake on autoimmunity, myeloproliferation, and cancer in mice. *J Exp Med* 2011;208:1189–201.
- 96 Taganov KD, Boldin MP, Chang K-J, et al. NF- κ B-dependent induction of microRNA miR-146, an inhibitor targeted to signaling proteins of innate immune responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006;103:12481–6.
- 97 Ceppi M, Pereira PM, Dunand-Sauthier I, et al. MicroRNA-155 modulates the interleukin-1 signaling pathway in activated human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009;106:2735–40.
- 98 O'Connell RM, Taganov KD, Boldin MP, et al. MicroRNA-155 is induced during the macrophage inflammatory response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007;104:1604–9.
- 99 Rodriguez A, Vigorito E, Clare S, et al. Requirement of bic/microRNA-155 for normal immune function. *Science* 2007;316:608–11.
- 100 Lu L-F, Thai T-H, Calado DP, et al. Foxp3-dependent microRNA155 confers competitive fitness to regulatory T cells by targeting SOCS1 protein. *Immunity* 2009;30:80–91.
- 101 Xu C, Ren G, Cao G, et al. miR-155 regulates immune modulatory properties of mesenchymal stem cells by targeting TAK1-binding protein 2. *J Biol Chem* 2013;288:11074–9.
- 102 Schulte LN, Westermann AJ, Vogel J. Differential activation and functional specialization of miR-146 and miR-155 in innate immune sensing. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013;41:542–53.
- 103 Mazumder A, Bose M, Chakraborty A, et al. A transient reversal of miRNA-mediated repression controls macrophage activation. *EMBO Rep* 2013;14:1008–16.
- 104 Androulidaki A, Iliopoulos D, Arranz A, et al. The kinase Akt1 controls macrophage response to lipopolysaccharide by regulating microRNAs. *Immunity* 2009;31:220–31.
- 105 Chen X-M, Splinter PL, O'Hara SP, et al. A cellular micro-RNA, let-7i, regulates Toll-like receptor 4 expression and contributes to cholangiocyte immune responses against *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection. *J Biol Chem* 2007;282:28929–38.
- 106 Zhou X, Li X, Ye Y, et al. MicroRNA-302b augments host defense to bacteria by regulating inflammatory responses via feedback to TLR/IRAK4 circuits. *Nat Commun* 2014;5:3619.
- 107 Ma C, Li Y, Li M, et al. microRNA-124 negatively regulates TLR signaling in alveolar macrophages in response to mycobacterial infection. *Mol Immunol* 2014;62:150–8.
- 108 Qi J, Qiao Y, Wang P, et al. microRNA-210 negatively regulates LPS-induced production of proinflammatory cytokines by targeting NF- κ B1 in murine macrophages. *FEBS Lett* 2012;586:1201–7.
- 109 Bazzoni F, Rossato M, Fabbri M, et al. Induction and regulatory function of miR-9 in human monocytes and neutrophils exposed to proinflammatory signals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009;106:5282–7.
- 110 Li Q-J, Chau J, Ebert PJR, et al. miR-181a is an intrinsic modulator of T cell sensitivity and selection. *Cell* 2007;129:147–61.
- 111 Li G, Yu M, Lee W-W, et al. Decline in miR-181a expression with age impairs T cell receptor sensitivity by increasing DUSP6 activity. *Nat Med* 2012;18:1518–24.
- 112 Guerau-de-Arellano M, Smith KM, Godlewski J, et al. Micro-RNA dysregulation in multiple sclerosis favours pro-inflammatory T-cell-mediated autoimmunity. *Brain* 2011;134:3578–89.
- 113 Lu L-F, Boldin MP, Chaudhry A, et al. Function of miR-146a in controlling Treg cell-mediated regulation of Th1 responses. *Cell* 2010;142:914–29.
- 114 Steiner DF, Thomas MF, Hu JK, et al. MicroRNA-29 regulates T-box transcription factors and interferon- γ production in helper T cells. *Immunity* 2011;35:169–81.
- 115 Banerjee A, Schambach F, DeJong CS, et al. Micro-RNA-155 inhibits IFN- γ signaling in CD4+ T cells. *Eur J Immunol* 2010;40:225–31.
- 116 Jiang S, Li C, Olive V, et al. Molecular dissection of the miR-17-92 cluster's critical dual roles in promoting Th1 responses and preventing inducible Treg differentiation. *Blood* 2011;118:5487–97.
- 117 Sawant DV, Wu H, Kaplan MH, et al. The Bcl6 target gene microRNA-21 promotes Th2 differentiation by a T cell intrinsic pathway. *Mol Immunol* 2013;54:435–42.
- 118 Du C, Liu C, Kang J, et al. MicroRNA miR-326 regulates TH-17 differentiation and is associated with the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis. *Nat Immunol* 2009;10:1252–9.
- 119 Takahashi H, Kanno T, Nakayama S, et al. TGF- β and retinoic acid induce the microRNA miR-10a, which targets Bcl-6 and constrains the plasticity of helper T cells. *Nat Immunol* 2012;13:587–95.
- 120 Mycko MP, Cichalewska M, Machlanska A, et al. MicroRNA-301a regulation of a T-helper 17 immune response controls autoimmune demyelination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012;109:E1248–57.
- 121 O'Connell RM, Kahn D, Gibson WSJ, et al. MicroRNA-155 promotes autoimmune inflammation by enhancing inflammatory T cell development. *Immunity* 2010;33:607–19.
- 122 Murugaiyan G, Beynon V, Mittal A, et al. Silencing microRNA-155 ameliorates experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Immunol* 2011;187:2213–21.
- 123 Singh UP, Murphy AE, Enos RT, et al. miR-155 deficiency protects mice from experimental colitis by reducing Th1/Th17 responses. *Immunology* 2014;143:478–89.
- 124 Wang H, Flach H, Onizawa M, et al. Negative regulation of Hif1a expression and TH17 differentiation by the hypoxia-regulated microRNA miR-210. *Nat Immunol* 2014;15:393–401.
- 125 Jeker LT, Zhou X, Gershberg K, et al. MicroRNA 10a marks regulatory T cells. *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:e36684.
- 126 De Kouchkovsky D, Esensten JH, Rosenthal WL, et al. microRNA-17-92 regulates IL-10 production by regulatory T cells and control of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Immunol* 2013;191:1594–605.
- 127 Koralov SB, Muljo SA, Galler GR, et al. Dicer ablation affects antibody diversity and cell survival in the B lymphocyte lineage. *Cell* 2008;132:860–74.
- 128 Fazilleau N, Mark L, McHeyzer-Williams LJ, et al. Follicular helper T cells: lineage and location. *Immunity* 2009;30:324–35.
- 129 Kang SG, Liu W-H, Lu P, et al. MicroRNAs of the miR-17~92 family are critical regulators of T(FH) differentiation. *Nat Immunol* 2013;14:849–57.

- 130 Chen C-Z, Li L, Lodish HF, *et al.* MicroRNAs modulate hematopoietic lineage differentiation. *Science* 2004;303:83–6.
- 131 Li X, Zhang J, Gao L, *et al.* MiR-181 mediates cell differentiation by interrupting the Lin28 and let-7 feedback circuit. *Cell Death Differ* 2012;19:378–86.
- 132 Muljo SA, Ansel KM, Kanellopoulou C, *et al.* Aberrant T cell differentiation in the absence of Dicer. *J Exp Med* 2005;202:261–9.
- 133 Chong MMW, Rasmussen JP, Rudensky AY, *et al.* The RNaseIII enzyme Drosha is critical in T cells for preventing lethal inflammatory disease. *J Exp Med* 2008;205:2005–17.
- 134 Troncone E, Marafini I, Pallone F, *et al.* Th17 cytokines in inflammatory bowel diseases: discerning the good from the bad. *Int Rev Immunol* 2013;32:526–33.
- 135 Cobb BS, Hertweck A, Smith J, *et al.* A role for Dicer in immune regulation. *J Exp Med* 2006;203:2519–27.
- 136 Zhou X, Jeker LT, Fife BT, *et al.* Selective miRNA disruption in T reg cells leads to uncontrolled autoimmunity. *J Exp Med* 2008;205:1983–91.
- 137 Van Rooij E. The art of microRNA research. *Circ Res* 2011;108:219–34.
- 138 Cancer Genome Atlas Network. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. *Nature* 2012;487:330–7.
- 139 Peltier HJ, Latham GJ. Normalization of microRNA expression levels in quantitative RT-PCR assays: identification of suitable reference RNA targets in normal and cancerous human solid tissues. *RNA* 2008;14:844–52.
- 140 Rahmann S, Martin M, Schulte JH, *et al.* Identifying transcriptional miRNA biomarkers by integrating high-throughput sequencing and real-time PCR data. *Methods* 2013;59:154–63.
- 141 Garmire LX, Subramanian S. Evaluation of normalization methods in mammalian microRNA-Seq data. *RNA* 2012;18:1279–88.
- 142 Drong AW, Lindgren CM, McCarthy MI. The genetic and epigenetic basis of type 2 diabetes and obesity. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2012;92:707–15.
- 143 Rakan VK, Down TA, Balding DJ, *et al.* Epigenome-wide association studies for common human diseases. *Nat Rev Genet* 2011;12:529–41.
- 144 Thu Nguyen HT, Dalmasso G, Müller S, *et al.* Crohn's disease-associated adherent invasive *Escherichia coli* affect levels of microRNAs in intestinal epithelial cells to reduce autophagy. *Gastroenterology* 2013;146:508–19.
- 145 Zhai Z, Wu F, Dong F, *et al.* Human autophagy gene ATG16L1 is post-transcriptionally regulated by MIR142-3p. *Autophagy* 2014;10:468–79.
- 146 Lu C, Chen J, Xu H-G, *et al.* MIR106B and MIR93 prevent removal of bacteria from epithelial cells by disrupting ATG16L1-mediated autophagy. *Gastroenterology* 2014;146:188–99.
- 147 Xue X, Feng T, Yao S, *et al.* Microbiota downregulates dendritic cell expression of miR-10a, which targets IL-12/IL-23p40. *J Immunol* 2011;187:5879–86.
- 148 Koukos G, Polyarchou C, Kaplan JL, *et al.* MicroRNA-124 regulates STAT3 expression and is down-regulated in colon tissues of pediatric patients with ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 2013;145:842–52.e2.
- 149 Yang Y, Ma Y, Shi C, *et al.* Overexpression of miR-21 in patients with ulcerative colitis impairs intestinal epithelial barrier function through targeting the Rho GTPase RhoB. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2013;434:746–52.
- 150 Nata T, Fujiya M, Ueno N, *et al.* MicroRNA-146b improves intestinal injury in mouse colitis by activating nuclear factor- κ B and improving epithelial barrier function. *J Gene Med* 2013;15:249–60.
- 151 Chen Y, Xiao Y, Ge W, *et al.* miR-200b inhibits TGF- β 1-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition and promotes growth of intestinal epithelial cells. *Cell Death Dis* 2013;4:e541.
- 152 Feng X, Wang H, Ye S, *et al.* Up-regulation of microRNA-126 may contribute to pathogenesis of ulcerative colitis via regulating NF-kappaB inhibitor I κ B α . *PLoS ONE* 2012;7:e52782.
- 153 Nguyen HTT, Dalmasso G, Yan Y, *et al.* MicroRNA-7 modulates CD98 expression during intestinal epithelial cell differentiation. *J Biol Chem* 2010;285:1479–89.
- 154 Mizushima N, Yoshimori T, Ohsumi Y. Role of the Apg12 conjugation system in mammalian autophagy. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2003;35:553–61.
- 155 Henderson P, Wilson DC, Satsangi J, *et al.* A role for vimentin in Crohn disease. *Autophagy* 2012;8:1695–6.
- 156 Wan G, Xie W, Liu Z, *et al.* Hypoxia-induced MIR155 is a potent autophagy inducer by targeting multiple players in the MTOR pathway. *Autophagy* 2014;10:70–9.
- 157 Füllgrabe J, Klionsky DJ, Joseph B. The return of the nucleus: transcriptional and epigenetic control of autophagy. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2014;15:65–74.
- 158 Franke A, McGovern DPB, Barrett JC, *et al.* Genome-wide meta-analysis increases to 71 the number of confirmed Crohn's disease susceptibility loci. *Nat Genet* 2010;42:1118–25.
- 159 Gibbins D, Mostow S, Jay F, *et al.* Selective autophagy degrades DICER and AGO2 and regulates miRNA activity. *Nat Cell Biol* 2012;14:1314–21.
- 160 Parkes M, Barrett JC, Prescott NJ, *et al.* Sequence variants in the autophagy gene IRGM and multiple other replicating loci contribute to Crohn's disease susceptibility. *Nat Genet* 2007;39:830–2.
- 161 Rioux JD, Xavier RJ, Taylor KD, *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies new susceptibility loci for Crohn disease and implicates autophagy in disease pathogenesis. *Nat Genet* 2007;39:596–604.
- 162 Boone DL, Lee EG, Libby S, *et al.* Recent advances in understanding NF-kappaB regulation. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2002;8:201–12.
- 163 Maharshak N, Shenhar-Tsarfaty S, Aroyo N, *et al.* MicroRNA-132 modulates cholinergic signaling and inflammation in human inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2013;19:1346–53.
- 164 Shaked I, Meerson A, Wolf Y, *et al.* MicroRNA-132 potentiates cholinergic anti-inflammatory signaling by targeting acetylcholinesterase. *Immunity* 2009;31:965–73.
- 165 Pastorelli L, De Salvo C, Mercado JR, *et al.* Central role of the gut epithelial barrier in the pathogenesis of chronic intestinal inflammation: lessons learned from animal models and human genetics. *Front Immunol* 2013;4:280.
- 166 Anderson CA, Boucher G, Lees CW, *et al.* Meta-analysis identifies 29 additional ulcerative colitis risk loci, increasing the number of confirmed associations to 47. *Nat Genet* 2011;43:246–52.
- 167 Lu J, Getz G, Miska EA, *et al.* MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. *Nature* 2005;435:834–8.
- 168 Chen X, Ba Y, Ma L, *et al.* Characterization of microRNAs in serum: a novel class of biomarkers for diagnosis of cancer and other diseases. *Cell Res* 2008;18:997–1006.
- 169 Lawrie CH, Gal S, Dunlop HM, *et al.* Detection of elevated levels of tumour-associated microRNAs in serum of patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Br J Haematol* 2008;141:672–5.
- 170 Mitchell PS, Parkin RK, Kroh EM, *et al.* Circulating microRNAs as stable blood-based markers for cancer detection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008;105:10513–18.
- 171 Weber JA, Baxter DH, Zhang S, *et al.* The microRNA spectrum in 12 body fluids. *Clin Chem* 2010;56:1733–41.
- 172 Chim SSC, Shing TKF, Hung ECW, *et al.* Detection and characterization of placental microRNAs in maternal plasma. *Clin Chem* 2008;54:482–90.
- 173 Zheng H, Liu J-Y, Song F-J, *et al.* Advances in circulating microRNAs as diagnostic and prognostic markers for ovarian cancer. *Cancer Biol Med* 2013;10:123–30.
- 174 Rao P, Benito E, Fischer A. MicroRNAs as biomarkers for CNS disease. *Front Mol Neurosci* 2013;6:39.
- 175 Kinet V, Halkein J, Dirix E, *et al.* Cardiovascular extracellular microRNAs: emerging diagnostic markers and mechanisms of cell-to-cell RNA communication. *Front Genet* 2013;4:214.
- 176 Pritchard CC, Kroh E, Wood B, *et al.* Blood cell origin of circulating microRNAs: a cautionary note for cancer biomarker studies. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 2012;5:492–7.
- 177 Haider BA, Baras AS, McCall MN, *et al.* A critical evaluation of microRNA biomarkers in non-neoplastic disease. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e89565.
- 178 Bader AG, Brown D, Winkler M. The promise of microRNA replacement therapy. *Cancer Res* 2010;70:7027–30.
- 179 Huang Z, Shi T, Zhou Q, *et al.* miR-141 Regulates colonic leukocytic trafficking by targeting CXCL12 β during murine colitis and human Crohn's disease. *Gut* 2014;63:1247–57.
- 180 Janssen HLA, Reesink HW, Lawitz EJ, *et al.* Treatment of HCV infection by targeting microRNA. *N Engl J Med* 2013;368:1685–94.
- 181 Lagos-Quintana M, Rauhut R, Yalcin A, *et al.* Identification of tissue-specific microRNAs from mouse. *Curr Biol* 2002;12:735–9.
- 182 Wienholds E, Kloosterman WP, Miska E, *et al.* MicroRNA expression in zebrafish embryonic development. *Science* 2005;309:310–11.
- 183 Jopling CL, Yi M, Lancaster AM, *et al.* Modulation of hepatitis C virus RNA abundance by a liver-specific MicroRNA. *Science* 2005;309:1577–81.
- 184 Li Y-P, Gottwein JM, Scheel TK, *et al.* MicroRNA-122 antagonism against hepatitis C virus genotypes 1–6 and reduced efficacy by host RNA insertion or mutations in the HCV 5' UTR. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011;108:4991–6.
- 185 Ling H, Fabbri M, Calin GA. MicroRNAs and other non-coding RNAs as targets for anticancer drug development. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2013;12:847–65.
- 186 Van Rooij E, Kauppinen S. Development of microRNA therapeutics is coming of age. *EMBO Mol Med* 2014;6:851–64.
- 187 Ventura A, Young AG, Winslow MM, *et al.* Targeted deletion reveals essential and overlapping functions of the miR-17 through 92 family of miRNA clusters. *Cell* 2008;132:875–86.
- 188 Miska EA, Alvarez-Saavedra E, Abbott AL, *et al.* Most *Caenorhabditis elegans* microRNAs are individually not essential for development or viability. *PLoS Genet* 2007;3:e215.
- 189 Thum T, Gross C, Fiedler J, *et al.* MicroRNA-21 contributes to myocardial disease by stimulating MAP kinase signalling in fibroblasts. *Nature* 2008;456:980–4.
- 190 Thum T, Chau N, Bhat B, *et al.* Comparison of different miR-21 inhibitor chemistries in a cardiac disease model. *J Clin Invest* 2011;121:461–2; author reply 462–3.
- 191 Mendell JT, Olson EN. MicroRNAs in stress signaling and human disease. *Cell* 2012;148:1172–87.
- 192 Leung AKL, Sharp PA. MicroRNA functions in stress responses. *Mol Cell* 2010;40:205–15.
- 193 Huse JT, Brennan C, Hambardzumyan D, *et al.* The PTEN-regulating microRNA miR-26a is amplified in high-grade glioma and facilitates gliomagenesis in vivo. *Genes Dev* 2009;23:1327–37.

- 194 Pecot CV, Calin GA, Coleman RL, *et al.* RNA interference in the clinic: challenges and future directions. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2011;11:59–67.
- 195 De Pontual L, Yao E, Callier P, *et al.* Germline deletion of the miR-17~92 cluster causes skeletal and growth defects in humans. *Nat Genet* 2011;43:1026–30.
- 196 Hsu S-H, Wang B, Kota J, *et al.* Essential metabolic, anti-inflammatory, and anti-tumorigenic functions of miR-122 in liver. *J Clin Invest* 2012;122:2871–83.
- 197 Tsai W-C, Hsu S-D, Hsu C-S, *et al.* MicroRNA-122 plays a critical role in liver homeostasis and hepatocarcinogenesis. *J Clin Invest* 2012;122:2884–97.
- 198 Schwarz DS, Hutvagner G, Du T, *et al.* Asymmetry in the assembly of the RNAi enzyme complex. *Cell* 2003;115:199–208.
- 199 Schwarz DS, Hutvagner G, Haley B, *et al.* Evidence that siRNAs function as guides, not primers, in the Drosophila and human RNAi pathways. *Mol Cell* 2002;10:537–48.
- 200 Peacock H, Fucini RV, Jayalath P, *et al.* Nucleobase and ribose modifications control immunostimulation by a microRNA-122-mimetic RNA. *J Am Chem Soc* 2011;133:9200–3.
- 201 Trang P, Wiggins JF, Daige CL, *et al.* Systemic delivery of tumor suppressor microRNA mimics using a neutral lipid emulsion inhibits lung tumors in mice. *Mol Ther* 2011;19:1116–22.
- 202 Bouchie A. First microRNA mimic enters clinic. *Nat Biotechnol* 2013;31:577.
- 203 Miyazaki Y, Adachi H, Katsuno M, *et al.* Viral delivery of miR-196a ameliorates the SBMA phenotype via the silencing of CELF2. *Nat Med* 2012;18:1136–41.
- 204 Deng X, Cao M, Zhang J, *et al.* Hyaluronic acid-chitosan nanoparticles for co-delivery of MiR-34a and doxorubicin in therapy against triple negative breast cancer. *Biomaterials* 2014;35:4333–44.
- 205 Hatakeyama H, Murata M, Sato Y, *et al.* The systemic administration of an anti-miRNA oligonucleotide encapsulated pH-sensitive liposome results in reduced level of hepatic microRNA-122 in mice. *J Control Release* 2014;173:43–50.
- 206 Alvarez-Erviti L, Seow Y, Yin H, *et al.* Delivery of siRNA to the mouse brain by systemic injection of targeted exosomes. *Nat Biotechnol* 2011;29:341–5.
- 207 Ohno S, Takanashi M, Sudo K, *et al.* Systemically injected exosomes targeted to EGFR deliver antitumor microRNA to breast cancer cells. *Mol Ther* 2013;21:185–91.

Correction

Kalla R, Ventham NT, Kennedy NA, *et al.* MicroRNAs: new players in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut* 2015;64:504–13. The Open Access licence has been corrected to CC BY.

CrossMark

Gut 2015;**64**:1008.
doi:10.1136/gut.2014.307891corr1