



OPEN ACCESS

# A novel prepless X-ray imaging capsule for colon cancer screening

Nathan Gluck,<sup>1,2</sup> Beni Shpak,<sup>2</sup> Rita Brun,<sup>3</sup> Thomas Rösch,<sup>4</sup> Nadir Arber,<sup>1,5</sup> Menachem Moshkowitz<sup>1,5</sup>

► Additional material is published online only. To view this file please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-310893>).

<sup>1</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Tel Aviv Medical Centre, affiliated to Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

<sup>2</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Laniado Medical Centre, Netanya, Israel

<sup>3</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Rambam Health Care Campus, Haifa, Israel

<sup>4</sup>Department of Interdisciplinary Endoscopy, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Integrated Cancer Prevention Center, Tel Aviv Medical Centre, affiliated to Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

## Correspondence to

Professor Nadir Arber, The Integrated Cancer Prevention Center, Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, 6 Weizmann St., Tel Aviv 6423906, Israel; [nadira@tlvmc.gov.il](mailto:nadira@tlvmc.gov.il)

Received 14 October 2015

Revised 9 November 2015

Accepted 10 November 2015

Published Online First

1 December 2015



Open Access  
Scan to access more  
free content



CrossMark

**To cite:** Gluck N, Shpak B, Brun R, et al. *Gut* 2016;**65**:371–373.

## MESSAGE

The demand for bowel cleansing hampers participation in screening colonoscopy, while poor preparation impairs adenoma detection. A novel prepless X-ray imaging capsule for colon screening is presented; the capsule emits low dose X-rays, which are scattered by ingested contrast agent mixed with colon contents, and sensed by detectors in the capsule to generate high-resolution three-dimensional (3D) imagery of the colon without bowel cleansing. Following preliminary studies with implanted polyps in synthetic colon phantoms, bovine cadaver and live swine, and human experiments with dummy capsules (n=75), 49 patients swallowed the capsule with natural excretion in 48 after 73.2±45.4 h. Pedunculated and sessile polyps were clearly seen and validated by subsequent colonoscopy. Total radiation exposure was ultra low (0.03±0.007 mSv).

## IN MORE DETAIL

Bowel preparation remains a major obstacle limiting complete uptake of colonoscopy for colon cancer screening.<sup>1,2</sup> Therefore any test which does not require preparation would probably increase compliance.<sup>3</sup> However, the accuracy to depict cancers as well as adenomas should not suffer substantially. A new X-ray capsule is shown in a series of proof-of-concept studies to be safe and effective in 3D reconstruction of the colonic wall and lumen including identifying adenomatous polyps.

The capsule system includes a short-lived radio isotope within a collimator housing that emits three X-ray beams in all directions, by way of a rotating miniature electric motor as the capsule scans the length of the GI tract. A small volume of ingested radiopaque contrast agent increases the contrast of the colon's walls and differentiates them from stool content. Compton backscattered photons, attenuated by the contrast agent mixed with the colon contents, and X-ray fluorescence photons emitted from the contrast agent, are detected by sensors in the capsule and analysed by on-board electronics and embedded software to estimate the distance from the capsule to the colon wall. These estimations are used to perform 3D image reconstruction of the colon lumen and outer wall (figure 1). In addition, the system generates, as the capsule progresses, an instantaneous track of the capsule position and orientation along the colon, with an accuracy of ±1 cm. This is achieved using additional sensors in the capsule including a 3D accelerometer and a 3D magnetometer, allowing the clinician to localise findings correctly, thus assisting in planning subsequent intervention. The capsule

collects these imaging and telemetric data from slices of the colon as it moves and sends them via a radiofrequency link to the capsule positioning system in an external recording unit positioned on the patient's back.

Three types of pilot studies were performed:


*Ex vivo studies:* The system performance was tested on tissue-equivalent, silicone-based colon phantoms filled with water mixed with 3% iodine-based contrast. A motorised linear actuator moved the capsule inside the phantom. 'Haustral rings' of the silicone phantom as well polyps ≥6 mm could be visualised. Similar experiments were subsequently performed on a bovine cadaveric colon.

*In vivo pig study:* The capsule was placed surgically in the terminal ileum of a 70 kg pig with surgically implanted silicone beads (five measuring 10 mm, five measuring 5 mm) in the non-prepped colon to simulate human polyps. After recovery, contrast agent was added during meals. The capsule provided reconstructed images of the porcine colon and had sufficient resolution to detect four/five 10-mm polyps as well as 5 mm polyps (with lower resolution than 10-mm polyps). The two undetected polyps were those most distal (capsule battery had stopped functioning). No adverse effects related to passage of the capsule were noted.

*Human studies:* (A) To assess safety, 75 dummy capsules were swallowed by volunteers aged 41–70 years who had no known GI pathology. All capsules were retrieved by the participants at the end of the procedure and were intact when inspected for any leakage or damage.

(B) Scanning capsule study: 49 capsules were swallowed by 46 volunteers aged 45–68 years (three patients swallowed the capsule on two different occasions). Forty-eight out of the 49 capsules were swallowed and naturally eliminated by the volunteers without any minor or major side effects. In one case, the capsule was retained in the caecum and retrieved during follow-up colonoscopy performed for polypectomy. This participant was asymptomatic. Total patient radiation exposure was 0.03±0.0007 mSv. Image reconstructions allowed 3D views of colonic wall and lumen with the typical contour of different segments (hepatic flexure, triangular shape of the transverse colon). Additionally, no 'haustral rings' were observed during capsule movement. Polyps were detected in several patients and validated later by colonoscopy. Representative examples include a sessile polyp in the ascending colon (figure 2A) and a pedunculated, lobulated polyp in the sigmoid colon (figure 2B). Find more details on online supplementary methods and results.

**Figure 1** Image acquisition by the capsule. Top: Data from Compton backscattering and X-ray fluorescence are combined to estimate the distance from the capsule to the colon walls. Bottom left: Three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of the lumen is based on these estimates. Bottom middle: The capsule positioning system presents position and orientation of the capsule to assist in on-line evaluation. Bottom right: Surface and pathway data are fused to create an image reconstruction of the colon.




**COMMENTS**

A patient-friendly capsule that provides 3D imaging of the colon for colorectal cancer (CRC) screening, without the need for

bowel preparation, is described. The capsule uses an X-ray source and correlates Compton backscattering and X-ray fluorescence to estimate circumferential distances from the capsule to the colonic

**Figure 2** Reconstruction of polyps. (A) Sessile polyp in the ascending colon. (A) Endoscopic image of a 12x4 mm sessile polyp (arrow) on a haustrum. (B) Three-dimensional (3D) trace of the colon by capsule data (anteroposterior view). The blue line marks the segment where a polyp was visualised. (C and D) Reconstruction of the colon segment: tube-type (C) or fillet-type (D). Polyp is marked by arrow. (E) Reconstruction of outer colon surface, polyp visible as indentation (arrow). (B): As in A, depicting a 20 mm pedunculated, lobulated polyp in the sigmoid colon.



wall. Unlike optical imaging, X-rays that penetrate the colon contents enable the capsule to map the inner topography of the colon surface while 'seeing' through stool in the colon.

The study confirmed the safe passage of the capsule from mouth to rectum in >120 subjects with a very low radiation exposure (equivalent to one chest X-ray, ~1/200 of CT scan). The study affirmed the ability of the capsule to reconstruct the human colon and to identify polyps without the need for cathartic preparation.

This capsule could hopefully appeal to a large portion of the population that is deterred by bowel preparation and thus increase compliance with structural CRC screening. The low radiation may be advantageous to patients and physicians concerned with higher doses of radiation associated with CT colonography. This capsule will compete with other novel CRC screening methods such as endoscopic colon capsule, stool DNA testing and blood biomarkers. To which extent all these recent methods will detect cancer at an earlier stage and have good performance in detecting precancerous lesions will be seen in further studies.

Reading the imaging data from this capsule and discriminating between false positive and true findings that represent potential lesions in the colon requires a physician with experience in colonoscopy and a solid knowledge of colon anatomy and physiology. There are still many features of image reconstruction and measurement of polyp size and colon diameter which need refinement and, most importantly, need validation compared with colonoscopy. Therefore, it is premature to draw

conclusions from this initial uncontrolled trial. Further multi-centre studies to validate the performance of this capsule are underway.

**Contributors** NG, TR and NA contributed to planning, conducting and reporting the findings. BS, RB and TR contributed to conducting the study. MM contributed to planning and conducting the study.

**Funding** The study was funded by Check Cap, Mount Carmel, Israel

**Competing interests** NA reports receiving consulting fees from Check Cap.

**Ethics approval** Ethics Committee/Institutional Review Board, Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center and Laniado Hospital.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

**Open Access** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

## REFERENCES

- 1 Harewood GC, Wiersema MJ, Melton LJ III. A prospective, controlled assessment of factors influencing acceptance of screening colonoscopy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;97:3186–94.
- 2 McLachlan SA, Clements A, Austoker J. Patients' experiences and reported barriers to colonoscopy in the screening context—a systematic review of the literature. *Patient Educ Couns* 2012;86:137–46.
- 3 Beebe TJ, Johnson CD, Stoner SM, *et al.* Assessing attitudes toward laxative preparation in colorectal cancer screening and effects on future testing: potential receptivity to computed tomographic colonography. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2007;82:666–71.

## Details online

### **Methodological description:**

**Patient inclusion:** Volunteers aged 41-70.

**Patient exclusion:** Patients with known gastrointestinal pathology or contra-indication for iodine ingestion.

### **IRB/registration:**

Human study: Multi-centre prospective observational case series (within the R&D framework of Good clinical practice). All studies were approved by the local IRB ethics committees. All patients signed an informed consent form.

Swine study: Animal care, facilities and activities were approved and monitored according to ISO9001 standards for quality and service and were approved by the animal ethics committee.

### **Main outcomes:**

Feasibility, safety, and visualization of a novel prep-less X-ray imaging capsule for colon screening.

### **Study approach:**

#### **Device and technique:**

The capsule (Check-Cap, Mount Carmel, Israel) is 34 mm long and 11.5 mm in diameter, weighing 12 grams (Supplementary Figure 1).

**Swine pilot study:** One swine weighing 70 kg was studied. The capsule was placed surgically under general anesthesia in the terminal ileum. This was necessary due to slow motility of the porcine gastrointestinal tract. Silicone beads (5 measuring 10 mm, 5 measuring 5 mm) were surgically implanted in the non-prepped colon to simulate human polyps. Swine was then allowed to recuperate and roam freely. Contrast agent (25 ml twice daily, mixed with milk) was added during meals. Capsule traveled autonomously and was expelled naturally while data was collected. The capsule provided reconstructed images of the porcine colon and had sufficient resolution to detect 4/5 10-mm- as well as 5mm-polyps (Supplementary Figure 2). Animal health was maintained throughout the studies according to the Ministry of Health regulations. The colon was subsequently excised to correlate capsule findings with actual polyps.

#### **Human studies:**

Dummy capsule study:

Capsules were retrieved by the participants at the end of the procedure and inspected for any leakage or damage. The first phase of the study was conducted in Hamburg-Eppendorf University Hospital with capsules identical in shape, dimensions and specific gravity to the scanning capsules albeit somewhat lighter (9 vs. 12 grams). In the second phase, conducted at Rambam Health Care Campus, capsules were also of identical weight.

Scanning capsule study:

The capsule traveled through the GI tract until expulsion. During the study, a lightweight external recording unit was strapped to the waist while normal daily routine was maintained. Subjects swallowed standard iodine-based contrast agent (Telebrix Gastro, Guerbet, France), 50–70 ml daily in total, together with their usual diet, until the capsule was eliminated. Capsules were retrieved by the participants at the end of the procedure and inspected for any leakage or damage. Total transit time was calculated.

The capsule positioning system was programmed to activate the capsule to scan only when forward movement of the capsule in the colon was detected. At all other times the capsule remained in standby mode without emission. Data were transferred to the recorder at the end of each scan for image reconstruction. Real-time position and capsule data were available to technicians that monitored the patient on line. Colon transit time was calculated.

Total patient's exposure to radiation was calculated based on actual measured capsule-emitted photons.

The study was conducted at the Tel Aviv Medical Center and Laniado Hospital.

## **Details of results**

### ***Endoscopy details***

**Pilot studies:** Colon reconstructions from synthetic phantoms, bovine cadaver and swine showed spatial resolution of 2–3 mm in colon diameter measurements. In experiments performed in a phantom silicone colon, the system is currently optimized to image 10 mm polyps with 100% sensitivity and specificity. Clear luminal and polyp images were also seen in the cadaveric bovine model (data not shown).

### **Human study:**


Preliminary safety study: 75 dummy capsules were swallowed: 61 and 14 in the first and second phases, respectively. All capsules were eliminated naturally and uneventfully.

Scanning capsule study: The average total transit time was  $73.2 \pm 45.4$  hours. Colon transit time (once the capsule is propelled out of the caecum) was  $8.5 \pm 9.1$  hours. Total active scanning time was 6-27 minutes. All capsules were retrieved and inspected. There were no reports of capsule malfunction or damage which might have compromised the participants' safety.


Total patient radiation exposure was  $0.03 \pm 0.0007$  mSv, two orders of magnitude less than the radiation of computerized tomographic colonoscopy.

Image reconstructions allowed 3D views of both the colonic wall and lumen in all participants. The typical contour of different segments (hepatic flexure, triangular shape of the transverse colon) could be demonstrated (Supplementary Figure 3). Colon diameter measurements were estimated to be  $18 \pm 3$  mm, similar to dimensions reported in other studies. Additionally, no "haustral rings" were observed during capsule movement, in agreement with their recognized disappearance during colonic contractions (Glocker B et al, Colon Motility Dysfunctions SPIE Medical Imaging. San Diego California, 2007).


In cases of detected polyps, the contour of the polyp appeared to correspond to its endoscopic appearance (Figures 4a and 4b in main text). Capsule routes were readily demonstrated in all patients, exhibiting significant variability in colon macro-structure (Figures 4a and 4b-Panel B and Supplementary Figure 3C show representative examples).



**Supplementary Figure 1.** Drawing of the capsule, depicting the X-ray radiation source within a collimator and 6 radiation detectors.



**Supplementary Figure 2. Imaging of swine colon with implanted polyps.** A decrease in x-ray fluorescence (XRF) photon signals and an increase in Compton backscattering (CMT) signals detected by the imaging capsule correspond to the position of an inserted polyp (top right). These two signals are combined in order to form a three dimensional (3D) image (bottom right).



**Supplementary Figure 3: Human colon 3D reconstruction.** **A+B.** Images taken by an endoscope of (A) ascending colon and (B) transverse colon segments. **C.** A native 3D trace of the colon as measured by the capsule (anteroposterior view). The segments of ascending and transverse colon are marked with vertical and horizontal circles respectively (blue dotted line). **D+E.** The ascending colon segment is reconstructed from the outer surface of the colonic wall (D) as well as from within the lumen (E). **F+G.** The transverse colon segment is reconstructed as in D+E.