Detection of gluten immunogenic peptides in the urine of patients with coeliac disease reveals transgressions in the gluten-free diet and incomplete mucosal healing
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ABSTRACT

Objective Gluten-free diet (GFD) is the only management for coeliac disease (CD). Available methods to assess GFD compliance are insufficiently sensitive to detect occasional dietary transgressions that may cause gut mucosal damage. We aimed to develop a method to determine gluten intake and monitor GFD compliance in patients with CD and to evaluate its correlation with mucosal damage.

Design Urine samples of 76 healthy subjects and 58 patients with CD subjected to different gluten dietary conditions were collected. A lateral flow test (LFT) with the highly sensitive and specific G12 monoclonal antibody for the most dominant gluten immunogenic peptides (GIP) and a LFT reader were used to quantify GIP in solid-phase extracted urines.

Results GIP were detectable in urine from healthy individuals previously subjected to GFD as early as 4–6 h after single gluten intake, and remained detectable for 1–2 days. The urine assay revealed infringement of the GFD in about 50% of the patients. Analysis of duodenal biopsies revealed that most of patients with CD (89%) with no villous atrophy had no detectable GIP in urine, while all patients with quantifiable GIP in urine showed incomplete intestinal mucosa recovery.

Conclusion GIP are detected in urine after gluten consumption, enabling a new and non-invasive method to monitor GFD compliance and transgressions. The method was sensitive, specific and simple enough to be convenient for clinical monitoring of patients with CD as well as for basic and clinical research applications including drug development.

Significance of this study

What is already known on this subject?
▸Ensuring the compliance of gluten-free diet (GFD) by patients with coeliac disease (CD) is a crucial step in the management of CD. According to several reports, dietary transgressions are relatively frequent (36% to 55%).
▸Available methods to assess GFD compliance are insufficiently sensitive to detect occasional dietary transgressions that may cause gut mucosal damage. In addition, these tests only measure the consequences of dietary indiscretions in CD.
▸Certain gluten immunogenic peptides (GIP) are resistant to gastrointestinal digestion and can interact with the immune system of patients with CD to trigger an autoimmune response against transglutaminase and other antigens. A proportional fraction of the GIP absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract makes it to the circulation and is excreted in urine.

What are the new findings?
▸In this study, we describe for the first time a non-invasive, novel, specific and reliable approach to detect and monitor the presence of GIP in urine. We have been able to distinguish gluten consumers from non-consumers by a quantitative lateral flow test using anti-α-gliadin monoclonal antibodies.
▸GIP were sensitively detected in human urine samples in positive correlation with the amount of gluten intake. Our results indicate that the ingestion of amount as little as >25 mg of gluten in processed bread (lower limit of the amount that appears detrimental for most patients with CD) can be detected in urine.
adhere to a GFD. In addition, 36% to 55% of patients who declare to fully adhere to a GFD do not achieve histological remission, probably because of inadvertent lapses in daily gluten intake.

There is no consensus regarding the optimal frequency of monitoring the GFD or the best tools for assessing compliance. Despite the availability of diverse GFD adherence markers, they have significant limitations and are insufficiently sensitive to detect occasional transgressions that may impede full gut mucosa recovery.

In this study, we have overcome technical challenges and have shown the feasibility of measuring gluten immunogenic peptides (GIP) in urine. These peptides are resistant to gastrointestinal digestion and account for most of the immunotoxic reactions in T cells of patients with CD. We assessed compliance with the GFD in healthy and coeliac individuals by estimation of GIP in urine with anti-GIP immunochromatographic strips (IC strips). Notably, the presence of GIP in the urine of patients with CD correlated with intestinal atrophy. The method appears to be sensitive, specific and simple, and should be useful for clinical monitoring of the GFD in patients with CD and to support efforts in pharmaceutical coeliac research.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study patients**

A total of 134 subjects (86 women and 48 men) were randomly enrolled, of which 58 were patients with CD (age range 3–64 years) and 76 healthy subjects (age range 3–57 years). A total of 69 adults (>16 years of age) and 65 children were included.

Exclusion criteria for all study patients included the presence of known medical disease, use of prescription medications and antibiotics in the 2 months prior to the inclusion in the study. Moreover, healthy patients had no digestive disease symptoms or family history of CD.

The local ethics committee of the Hospital Virgen de Valme (Sevilla, Spain) approved the study protocol. Written consent was obtained from adult patients and, in the case of children, from parents or legal guardians.

**Duodenal mucosa evaluation**

In this work, at least four endoscopic biopsies of the distal duodenum and two biopsies from the duodenal bulb were processed. Duodenal biopsies were fixed in 10% buffered formalin embedded in paraffin and sectioned at 4–5 μm thickness. The haematoyxlin-eosin stained sections were assessed. The study and quantification of intraepithelial lymphocytes (IEL) were performed by immunohistochemistry using automated platform Leica BOND-III. The proportion and distribution of the IEL along the glands were determined in all the biopsies. The mucosal specimens were graded independently according to the Marsh–Oberhuber’s classification. Biopsies were interpreted by expert gastrointestinal pathologists (blinded to the clinical data). We used the cut-off of ≥40 IEL/100 enterocytes for the Marsh classification.

**Serology**

Serum IgA anti-tissue transglutaminase (TTG) and IgA anti-gliadin antibodies (AGA) were measured using commercial ELISA (ImmunocAP Phadia, Uppsala, Sweden). Titres of ≤10 U/mL were considered negative and those of >10 U/mL were considered positive.

**Urine sampling**

All participants were provided sterile containers. Mid-stream urine samples (50–100 mL) from volunteers were collected and stored at −20°C until analysis. Urine specimens were mixed by gentle inversions for at least 30 min before processing.

Urine samples from healthy subjects and patients with CD were collected under different gluten dietary conditions and times as follows: (1) to differentiate gluten consumers from non-consumers, random specimen urines from healthy individuals (n=10) while following a non-standardised gluten-containing diet (GCD) and healthy controls on GFD (n=10); (2) to test the appearance and elimination of ingested gluten in urine, all excreted urines from healthy subjects (n=13) for 4 days: the first 3 days on a GFD and the fourth and last day on a GCD; (3) to check the detection limit of urinary gluten detection, all excreted urines from healthy subjects on a GFD (n=4) after administration of controlled gluten microdoses (25 and 50 mg); (4) to test the sensitivity of gluten measurement in 24 h total urine, 24 h pooled urine samples from six healthy controls on GCD and one coeliac patient on GFD and (5) to follow-up of GFD compliance, random urines from 76 healthy volunteers (consuming a GCD) and 58 patients with CD on GFD for >2 years.

**Competitive ELISA**

A commercial competitive ELISA kit based on G12 monoclonal antibody (Biomedal, S.L., Spain) was used to analyse the gluten content of the fragments of wheat white bread administered to the volunteers in the controlled gluten challenge.
Food inquiries
All healthy subjects were instructed to follow specific dietary restrictions. GCD was ensured by the ingestion of at least a portion of pasta, bread or whole grain of cereals like wheat, barley and rye per day. Adults with CD recorded a collection of all foods ingested on the 4 days prior to urine sampling. At the end of the study, compliance with dietary conditions was ascertained through a structured interview.

The dietary history was carefully reviewed by a dietician. ‘Risk products’ were defined as products known to possibly contain gluten, but for which the exact amount of gluten could not be calculated due to missing brand information.

Urine peptides concentration
Urine samples were concentrated and cleaned-up using solid-phase extraction (SPE) technique. SampliQ C18 cartridges (500 mg, 6 mL) supplied by Agilent (Wilmington, Delaware, USA) were preconditioned following manufacturer’s recommendations. Separately, a 5 mL mixture of 50% urine in trifluoroacetic acid was centrifuged 10 min at 2500 g. The resultant supernatant was applied to the cartridge and the target compounds were eluted with 1 mL of phosphate-buffered saline for further use in IC assays.

IC test for detection of GIP
The G12 IC assay was modified from the guidelines of the manufacturer (GlutenTox Stick, Biomedal, Sevilla, Spain). After the SPE of the sample, 100 µL of the blind concentrated were added into a well (multiwell plate). Then, a G12 IC-strip was dipped into the well for 30 min and allowed to air-dry afterwards. The IC strip was introduced into the cassette of a lateral flow test (LFT) reader and was irradiated with light and the reflection measured.

LFT quantitation
To establish a correlation between GIP content and output signal of the IC strips, urine from individuals with CD without gluten peptides was used as control. Individuals with CD were selected based on its histology and serology. To verify negative gluten intake of the patients, we conducted a qualitative analysis by IC strips in concentrated urines as well as in faeces, according to the protocol established by Comino et al.18 Different concentrations of gliadin standard were spiked to control urine in concentrations ranging from 6.25 to 1000 ng/mL.

GlutenTox Reader (Biomedal, Seville, Spain) was used as reader for GIP quantification in IC strips. Test sticks were scanned by an optical detector, which continually recorded measurement data.

Statistical analysis
All results are expressed as mean SD. Each urine sample was analysed in triplicate. Positive control and buffer blanks were included in each assay. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 6 for Windows. Unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t test was applied and p<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

We used the non-parametric Fisher’s exact test, Cochran–Armitage test and Spearman’s correlation coefficient to assess the presence of GIP in urines of adults with CD and the severity of Marsh lesion and the estimates of the level of association between two variables. We used SAS (V9.4; Cary, North Carolina, USA) for all statistical calculations. All p values presented are two-sided.

RESULTS
Detection of GIP in urine
We attempted to determine whether gluten peptides are excreted and could be detected in urine. Initially, samples were collected from non-coeliac subjects (n=10) following a GCD, and IC strips were dipped into samples. No visible signals in sticks were found in spite of gluten consumption. As gluten peptides were expected to be at very low concentrations in urine, we decided to perform a SPE to improve the feasibility of GIP detection. Urines from 20 healthy adults were concentrated and divided in two groups, one group (n=10) received a non-standardised diet in which gluten was consumed daily, and other group (n=10) was subjected to GFD for a week. We tested the presence of GIP in urines from the individuals included on the two different diet groups. GIP were detected in all concentrated urines of subjects on GCD. However, we detected no GIP in any of the concentrated urines of the volunteers on GFD. These results strongly indicated that the signal was dependent on gluten intake.

Calibration of GlutenTox Reader to quantify the output signal of urine IC strips
To correlate the GIP concentration and the output signal of urine IC strips, the LFT analyser GlutenTox Reader was calibrated with five series of gliadin standards. The mean value at each standard was calculated, as well as its SD and the relative SD. The calibration function, which fits to a Rodbard function (data not shown) were calculated and then introduced in the anti-GIP LFT reader software to quantify the GIP in urines. The quantification limit (QL) of the technique was established as 6.25 ng GIP/mL urine. The uncertainty was 17.6% (5.5–7.35 ng GIP/mL urine). The limit of technique detection (LDT), defined as the minimum intensity that the reader is able to detect was below the lower standard of quantification (LDT=42.7–48.37 mV).

In vivo GIP monitoring of healthy individuals after consumption of various gluten-controlled diets
To examine the chronology of appearance and elimination of ingested gluten in urine, a total of 13 healthy volunteers were subjected to different diet conditions and the collected urines were analysed by their GIP content by using anti-GIP LFT. We collected all excreted urines for 1 day in unrestricted conditions (GCD) from healthy individuals. Then, individuals were submitted to a GFD for 3 days and all excreted urines were collected until measurable GIP content became undetectable. Subsequently, a GCD was reintroduced and urines were also collected. Between three and six different urines per day were collected. Figure 1A shows an example of representative analysed IC strips from one healthy subject (aH6). Kinetics of GIP excretion from four healthy volunteers (aH1, aH5, aH8 and aH12) revealed that GIP content was undetectable after 16–34 h from the beginning of the GFD in all tested individuals (figure 1B). GIP were detected in urines after 3–9 h of the reintroduction of dietary gluten. In 12 of 13 healthy individuals on GFD, the amounts of GIP in urine were below the QL of the method. The subjects had a good compliance with GFD; however, a peak of 40 ng GIP/mL urine was detected on the third day of the trial as shown in the aH12 graph. When interviewed at the end of the study, that volunteer confirmed consumption of yogurt with cereals (including wheat) few hours before the urine collection.
To test whether the anti-GIP LFT was capable of detection in urine, the minimum gluten consumption known to cause histological abnormalities, two doses of gluten (25 and 50 mg) were administered to four healthy subjects (figure 2). One single type of gluten was used in all cases through a standardised piece of white bread containing 25 mg gluten. An initial microdose of

Figure 1  Determination of the time to elimination and to appearance of GIP in urines of healthy individuals. Urine samples from healthy individuals, regularly consuming gluten, who were subject to a GFD were collected until reactive peptides became undetectable. Three to six different urine samples per day were collected for 4 days. (A) One representative example of the gluten excretion kinetics from the trial with the representative immunochromatographic strip example of the trial was performed with the samples collected during the study period of one subject. Blue stripes represent an internal positive control that indicates that the stick worked properly; pink stripes indicate the presence of gluten. (B) Kinetics of gluten-derived peptides excreted from four healthy volunteers. GIP, gluten immunogenic peptides; GCD, gluten-containing diet; GFD, gluten-free diet; QL, quantification limit; ND, not detectable.
25 mg gluten was administered and the GIP content was measured in urine by using anti-GIP LFT. Then, doses of 50 mg were given and GIP measurements were repeated. GIP became detectable in urine in all analysed individuals at the 50 mg dose. Administration of 25 mg gluten resulted in enough GIP in urine to be visibly detected (over LDT) in three out of four individuals (aH2, aH4 and aH10) although only in aH2 and aH10 the signal was quantifiable (over QL). The signal of aH10 was clearly over the QL. Therefore, the limit of detection (LD) of this method could be established as >25 mg of ingested gluten.

The sensitivity of the method in random single urines was similar to the 24-h urines (data not shown). The measurement of gluten peptides by collecting 24-h total urine may increase the chances of detection of ingestion of low amounts of gluten.

Follow-up of GFD compliance in patients with CD with the anti-GIP lateral flow urine test

The high percentage of patients with CD with insufficient gut mucosal healing is primarily attributed to inadvertent dietary lapses and minor voluntary transgressions. Therefore, there is a need for an accurate marker that would allow short-term monitoring of GFD compliance by physicians and patients. To assess whether the proposed method is suitable for monitoring gluten ingestion in patients with CD, a study was conducted to measure GIP in urine of 76 healthy volunteers (42 adults and 34 children) who were consuming a GCD, and 58 patients with CD (27 adults and 31 children) on long-term GFD (figure 3). After consumption of a GCD, all healthy subjects showed gluten excretion in urine. The range of GIP in urine of healthy subjects ranged from 6.54 to 604 ng GIP/mL (in adults) and from 6.54 to 369 ng GIP/mL (in children).

The presence of GIP in urine of patients with CD on GFD revealed the existence of a high percentage of non-compliance of the GFD. GIP in urine were detectable in 48% of adults and 45% of children. However, GIP content in urine was below the QL of the method in 70% of adults and 71% of children with CD. In the remainder individuals with CD, GIP content ranged from 9.27 to 78.12 ng GIP/mL and from 9.33 to 29.78 ng GIP/mL urine (in adults and children, respectively).

Dietary transgressions in adult patients with CD: correlation with mucosal damage

To establish a correlation between GIP in urine of adults with CD and the occurrence of mucosal damage, we conducted a histological study of 25 intestinal biopsies of adults with CD who had followed a GFD for at least 2 years (figure 4). Only 13 out of the 25 patients were GIP− (52%) and none of them had histological architectural damage in the mucosa (ie, all were Marsh 0–I with no Marsh II/III). Of the 13 of the GIP− individuals, 5 had Marsh 0 (normal mucosa) and 8 had Marsh I (elevated IEL without architectural changes). All the GIP− patients were TTG/AGA− as well. Conversely, six out of seven adults with CD having clear histological abnormalities (Marsh II-crypt hyperplasia and Marsh III-mucosal atrophy) had detectable level of GIP in urine (86%), and only one subject with Marsh III had detectable but not quantifiable amount of GIP in urine.

Fisher’s exact test showed a significant relation (p=0.0007) between the presence of quantifiable GIP (>QL) and severity of Marsh scale. The strong association was observed to be statistically significant (Cochran-Armitage Trend Test p=0.0005). Moreover, GIP values showed a significant correlation with the
severity of intestinal mucosal damage (Spearman’s correlation, \( r=0.75 \)). As additional supportive investigation, dietary histories from adults with CD were reviewed. We found that all responders reported full GFD compliance. Some patients were suspected to have consumed gluten in meals prepared away from home (e.g., Spanish tomato soup, sausages and potato chips). Because at least one-third of adults with CD showed GIP in urine >QL, it could be concluded that the collection of dietary intake did not reflect the gluten intake, whether voluntary or involuntary. Moreover, no correlation was found between dietary history and mucosal healing.

Unlike the excellent correlation of GIP with histology, serological data had no correlation with mucosal damage. Positivity in anti-TTG and/or AGA was found in only four individuals, two with Marsh I and the other two with Marsh II. Five patients with severe lesion in mucosa were not positive in either TTG or AGA (71.4%).

Hence, this study indicated that only urine GIP detection correlated with compliance with the GFD and with mucosal healing in patients with CD on a GFD.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we describe for the first time a novel, specific and reliable approach to detect and monitor the presence of GIP in urine by means of G12 IC strips. The recovery of measurable amounts of gluten peptides in urine indicates that gluten has been absorbed by the intestinal mucosa, has reached the circulation and has been filtered by the kidney.

To date, available methods to monitor GFD compliance only measure the consequences of dietary transgressions and many studies have reported poor effectiveness.24–31 The use of endoscopies to collect biopsies and assess mucosal healing is the gold standard; however, it is invasive, expensive and not a practical method for serial monitoring. Hence, there is a need for accurate, non-invasive tools to help avoid the harmful consequences of dietary indiscretions in CD.

The resistance of gluten in gastrointestinal digestion ensures that a significant fraction of the ingested gluten is excreted. A previous report described a new methodology for GFD monitoring through the determination of 33-mer equivalent peptidic epitopes content in faeces.18 However, gluten-containing food also contains other gluten peptides that present immunogenicity and they could also be excreted. Methodology based on the G12 antibody demonstrated its ability to recognise content of other peptides immunogenic in patients with CD.32,33 Given the greater convenience of urine over stool collection in some settings, the herein proposed urine gluten test would become another useful monitoring tool in clinical practice for follow-up of the GFD compliance.

IC strips are standard clinical assays in urine in many diseases. The use of IC strips coupled with an IC reader in urine of patients with CD could provide a quantitative measurement of dietary infringement, providing significant advantages in the follow-up of GFD compliance. In this study, we have been able to distinguish gluten consumers from non-consumers by using G12 dipsticks in urine. A wide range of gluten peptide amounts (from 6.54 to 604 ng) could be detected in urine after GCD. The time of gluten peptide excretion in urine was proved to be between 1 and 2 days after gluten ingestion, in contrast to 3–4 days in stools.18 Interindividual diversity (weight, sex, age, gut microbiota, etc), type of gluten-containing food (beer, pasta, bread, cookies, etc), the daily amount of liquid intake and the accompanying diet may have a considerable impact on the resultant GIP concentration and excretion time in urine.

The concept of ‘daily gluten tolerable intake’ has received special attention and daily gluten consumption >10–50 mg appears detrimental for many patients with CD.24–34–36 Our results indicate that the gluten ingestion of >25 mg in processed bread could be detected in urine.
Since dietary transgressions are relatively frequent in patients with CD, a method to determine inadvertent lapses or voluntary gluten intake is a long-overdue necessity to help patients with CD manage their lives and avoid the harmful effects of gluten exposure while on a GFD. In the current study, we demonstrated the presence of GIP in patients with CD who had supposedly consumed long-term GFD (45% and 48% in children and adults, respectively). These results were consistent with reports showing that ~30%–50% of patients with CD on GFD remain mucosal atrophy. More importantly, our results indicate that there is a correlation between the absence of GIP in urine and healing of the gut intestinal epithelium. In addition, the histological analysis revealed that 100% of the adults with ongoing intestinal villous atrophy.

In this article, we have described how to monitor gluten intake by a simple immunological assay in urine, thereby overcoming some key unresolved scientific and clinical problems in CD monitoring. We identify four main applications: (1) the monitoring of short-term and long-term GFD compliance, (2) assessment of the efficacy of experimental non-dietary treatments in patients with CD, (3) detection of inadvertent lapses of daily gluten ingestion and (4) differential diagnosis of RCD from dietary non-compliance.

Future studies with larger number of patients and samples will further validate the clinical relevance and the applications of the detection of recent GFD transgressions in urine for the optimal management of CD.
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