Palbociclib (PD-0332991), a selective CDK4/6 inhibitor, restricts tumour growth in preclinical models of hepatocellular carcinoma
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Objective Advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a lethal malignancy with limited treatment options. Palbociclib, a well-tolerated and selective CDK4/6 inhibitor, has shown promising results in the treatment of retinoblastoma (RB1)-positive breast cancer. RB1 is rarely mutated in HCC, suggesting that palbociclib could potentially be used for HCC therapy. Here, we provide a comprehensive characterisation of the efficacy of palbociclib in multiple preclinical models of HCC.

Design The effects of palbociclib on cell proliferation, cellular senescence and cell death were investigated in a panel of human liver cancer cell lines, in ex vivo human HCC samples, in a genetically engineered mouse model of liver cancer, and in human HCC xenografts in vivo. The mechanisms of intrinsic and acquired resistance to palbociclib were assessed in human liver cancer cell lines and human HCC samples by protein and gene expression analyses.

Results Palbociclib suppressed cell proliferation in human liver cancer cell lines by promoting a reversible cell cycle arrest. Intrinsic and acquired resistance to palbociclib was determined by loss of RB1. A signature of ‘RB1 loss of function’ was found in <30% of HCC samples. Palbociclib, alone or combined with sorafenib, the standard of care for HCC, impaired tumour growth in vivo and significantly increased survival.

Conclusions Palbociclib shows encouraging results in preclinical models of HCC and represents a novel therapeutic strategy for HCC treatment, alone or in combination with sorafenib. Palbociclib could potentially benefit patients with RB1-proficient tumours, which account for 70% of all patients with HCC.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a very aggressive disease and represents the third leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide. Although treatment of HCC has greatly improved over the last decade, most HCC patients diagnosed at advanced stages are ineligible for curative ablative therapies such as liver resection, liver transplantation or local ablation. The multikinase inhibitor sorafenib remains the only approved systemic drug for these patients; however, their median life expectancy is restricted to 1 year. Other molecular

Significance of this study

What is already known on this subject?
- Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. The only US Food and Drug Administration-approved targeted therapy for advanced HCC patients is the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib, which offers limited survival benefits.
- Palbociclib is a selective CDK4/6 inhibitor that has demonstrated outstanding results in phase II clinical trials of oestrogen receptor (ER)-positive HER2-negative breast cancer in combination with ER inhibitors. There is an ongoing phase II clinical trial in HCC as second-line therapy after sorafenib failure.
- A comprehensive study in preclinical models testing the potential of palbociclib for HCC treatment, combined or as a single agent, is lacking.

What are the new findings?
- Palbociclib is effective in human liver cancer cell lines in vitro, in ex vivo HCC samples, in a genetically engineered mouse model of liver cancer and in human HCC xenografts in vivo.
- Palbociclib induces a reversible cell cycle arrest, which indicates that the current dosing schedule (3 weeks of treatment, 1 week of drug holiday) may not be optimal for HCC therapy.
- Intrinsic and acquired resistance to palbociclib is marked by loss of functional retinoblastoma (RB1), and an ‘RB1 loss of function’ signature could potentially be used as a biomarker of non-response.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?
- Our study represents the most extensive preclinical characterisation of palbociclib for HCC treatment to date and supports its clinical development, alone or in combination with sorafenib. Further studies will optimise patient target selection as well as the best treatment combinations.
therapies targeting signalling cascades involved in HCC have rendered negative results, emphasizing the urgent need for alternative therapeutic strategies with improved potency. Cell cycle control is frequently disrupted in cancer, and as a consequence, cell cycle inhibitors constitute an attractive therapeutic option. Several selective cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) inhibitors have been developed in the last decade: abemaciclib (LY2835219; Eli Lilly), ribociclib (LEE011; Novartis) and palbociclib (PD0332991, Ibrance; Pfizer). Among them, palbociclib was recently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for breast cancer treatment, after impressive improvement in progression-free survival in phase II clinical trials of oestrogen receptor (ER)-positive, HER2-negative advanced breast cancer, in combination with letrozole or fulvestrant. Palbociclib presents tolerable toxicity (mostly neutropenia and thrombocytopenia) and is predicted to be efficacious in those tumour types, such as HCC, that frequently harbour an intact retinoblastoma (RB1). Despite promising data, the potential of palbociclib for HCC treatment has not been extensively analysed in preclinical models of HCC.

Here, we provide a comprehensive preclinical evaluation of palbociclib activity in HCC. Treatment with palbociclib induced a reversible cell cycle arrest that depended on an intact RB1. A signature of ‘RB1 loss of function’ was found in <30% of HCC patients, which are predicted to be non-responders. Moreover, palbociclib behaved as efficiently as sorafenib in vivo and their combination, which was well tolerated, offered superior results. Taken together, our study provides preclinical evidence supporting clinical trials to evaluate the potential of palbociclib, alone or in combination with sorafenib, for HCC treatment.

RESULTS
Palbociclib inhibits growth of human liver cancer cell lines
To evaluate the potential of palbociclib for HCC treatment, we first tested the effect of palbociclib in a comprehensive panel of human liver cancer cell lines representative of a range of HCC patient subclasses. Palbociclib displayed potent anti-proliferative activity in 14 of 15 liver cancer cell lines (figure 1A). Palbociclib-sensitive (HCC202) and palbociclib-resistant (BT549) breast cancer cell lines were used as controls. Similar results were obtained by performing colony formation assays with a wide range of palbociclib concentrations (figure 1B, C; see online supplementary figure S1A), which provided IC50 values ranging from 1 to 300 nM in the sensitive cell lines. The IC50 value for the two resistant cell lines, BT549 and Hep3B, was >3 μM, a 1–3 log-fold difference compared with the sensitive cell lines. These results indicate that palbociclib treatment restricts proliferation in the majority of human liver cancer cell lines.

Palbociclib induces a reversible cell cycle arrest in human liver cancer cell lines
Palbociclib is a potent inhibitor of cell growth and suppresses DNA replication by preventing cells from entering S phase. Bromodeoxyuridine (incorporation, a measure of cell proliferation, was profoundly attenuated in most of the liver cancer cell lines upon palbociclib treatment for 3 days at 1 μM (see online supplementary figure S2A). Cell cycle analyses performed by flow cytometry using DAPI staining revealed a G0/G1-phase arrest, consistent with suppression of CDK4/6 activity (see online supplementary figure S2B, C). However, palbociclib-treated cells displayed minimal cell death as indicated by negligible sub-G1 accumulation (see online supplementary figure S2D). Together, these data support a proposed cytostatic mechanism of action of palbociclib in liver cancer cell lines.

Cell cycle arrest can be reversible (quiescence) or irreversible (senescence). Cellular senescence is defined by several non-exclusive features including flat cell morphology, positive staining for senescence-associated β-galactosidase at pH 6.0 (SAβGAL), DNA damage and a specific secretory phenotype. In order to distinguish between quiescent and senescent states, we stained liver cancer cell lines for SAβGAL after long-term exposure to palbociclib (14 days at 0.5 μM). Only two of the cell lines, Huh7 and skHep1, were consistently positive for staining (figure 2A, B). Furthermore, after palbociclib treatment, these two cell lines displayed flat and enlarged morphology (figure 2A, B), suggesting that they could have undergone senescence.

To test for reversibility of the cell cycle arrest, which is the key distinguishing factor between senescence and quiescence, cells were treated with palbociclib (0.1 and 0.5 μM) for 10 days to arrest them, and then replated in equal numbers and cultured for 10 additional days in the absence of the inhibitor. Crystal violet staining revealed that most of the cell lines were barely affected by previous palbociclib treatment as cells grew similar to vehicle-treated cells (dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)) (see online supplementary figure S2E). In the case of Huh7 and skHep1...
cell lines, there was a drastic reduction in the number of colonies that emerged (figure 2C), indicating that some cells were in fact irreversibly arrested. However, there was still some growth, particularly at lower doses of palbociclib (figure 2C).

These results imply that while palbociclib may induce an irreversible cell cycle arrest in some cells, this may be dose dependent.

To explore this hypothesis further, we treated two cell lines, Huh7 and SNU398, either continuously or discontinuously, with palbociclib. Huh7 cells, which showed an irreversible arrest at 0.1 μM, were treated with a lower dose (68 nM) while SNU398 cells, which underwent a reversible cell cycle arrest at 0.5 μM, were subjected to a higher dose (680 nM). The discontinuous treatment resembled the typical regimen in the clinic, with 3 weeks of treatment and 1 week of drug holiday. Huh7 cells during the drug holiday resumed proliferation while SNU398 showed a less pronounced recovery of proliferation (figure 2D). These results suggest that the effects of palbociclib are cell line and dose dependent. Taken together, our results show that palbociclib predominantly induces a reversible cell cycle arrest in human liver cancer cell lines and that the current treatment schedule may lead to tumour regrowth during the drug holiday if senescence is not successfully achieved.

RB1 loss and palbociclib resistance in HCC
Palbociclib is an orally active, potent and highly selective inhibitor of the CDK4 (IC50, 11 nM) and CDK6 (IC50, 16 nM) kinases, which in turn block RB1 activity. As expected, RB1 was absent in palbociclib-resistant Hep3B and BT549 cells (figure 3A). The protein levels of CDK4 and CCNA2, a well-characterised RB/E2F-target gene,

---

**Figure 2** Palbociclib (PD) induces a reversible cell cycle arrest in human liver cancer cell lines. (A) Representative pictures (×200 magnification) of senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SAβGAL) staining in five representative cell lines treated with PD. Blue indicates positive staining. (B) Table summarising the assays to evaluate cellular senescence. (C) Reversibility assay. Left, schematic. Right, crystal violet staining after replating cells that were pretreated as indicated. (D) Proliferation of cells, relative to dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-treated cells, treated continuously (black) or discontinuously (blue) with PD, over time. W, week.
and positive indicator of proliferation, were higher in palbociclib-resistant cells (figure 3A). However, there was no apparent association between resistance to the drug and a panel of other cell cycle-related proteins, including the RB1-like proteins p107 (RBL1) and p130 (RBL2) (figure 3A). Notably, response to palbociclib was not affected by acquired resistance to sorafenib (figure 3B and see online supplementary figure S3A), the standard of care for HCC patients.4

CDK4/6 assembles with its allosteric activators, the D-type cyclins, to phosphorylate and inactivate RB1 allowing cell cycle progression.7 As a confirmatory measure of functional activity of CDK4/6, the Ser780 phosphorylation state of RB1 was assessed. Exposure of liver cancer cell lines to palbociclib resulted in a dose-dependent inhibition of RB1 phosphorylation in the sensitive cell lines as well as a substantial decrease in total RB1 levels (figure 3C) that has been previously observed in other tumour types.15 23 In all cells tested, protein levels of CDK4 were unchanged or slightly increased by palbociclib. Cyclin D1 (CCND1) was consistently increased in sensitive cell lines, possibly as a result of the stabilisation of an inactive CCND1-CDK4 complex by palbociclib,24 whereas cyclin A2 (CCNA2) was attenuated by palbociclib in sensitive cell lines. Similarly, cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CDK2), which facilitates S phase entry,25 was decreased in sensitive cell lines. As described previously,26 the levels of RB1-related protein p107 were decreased while the levels of p130 were increased. As expected, palbociclib effectively inhibits CDK4/6 activity to suppress S-phase entry and proliferation of RB1-proficient liver cancer cell lines.

Given these findings, we attempted to generate palbociclib-resistant cell lines by continuously exposing cells to increasing doses of the drug (see online supplementary figure S3B). This approach led to the generation of palbociclib-resistant PLC/PRF/5 (PLC5 hereafter) and Huh7 cells with a 20-fold increase in the IC50 for palbociclib for PLC5-resistant (PLC5R) and 80-fold for Huh7R cells (figure 3D). The fact that Huh7 cells can get irreversibly arrested was not impeded for the emergence of resistant cells. Further analysis revealed that RB1 protein was completely lost (Huh7R) or persisted at a greatly reduced level (PLC5R) in resistant variants (figure 3E). In both cases, there was a moderate decrease in CDK4 protein levels and a slight increase in CDK2 and CCNA2 levels (figure 3E).

To functionally explore whether partial or complete loss of RB1 was required to confer resistance to palbociclib, we undertook two orthogonal approaches. First, we used Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) technology, which is a genome-editing tool, and transfected four single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs) directed against human RB1 into Huh7 and PLC5 cells, which were then treated with DMSO or...
1 μM of palbociclib, to study changes in cell proliferation over time (see online supplementary figure S4A). As expected, palbociclib treatment affected the proliferation rate of the control-transfected cells. However, cells transfected with RB1 sgRNAs were less affected by palbociclib (figure 4A). The diminished response was more pronounced over time, suggesting the selection of RB1-deficient cells with palbociclib. Indeed, immunoblot analysis indicated that RB1 was lost at the end of the treatment only in cells expressing sgRNAs for RB1 (figure 4B), demonstrating that complete loss of RB1 can confer resistance to palbociclib. Knockdown of RB1 was enough to confer resistance to palbociclib while knockdown of p107 or p130 did not (figure 4C, D; see online supplementary figure S4B, C). Most importantly, after RB1 knockdown, the levels of p107 and p130 remained were not decreased, indicating that knockdown of RB1 alone is enough to confer resistance to palbociclib. Taken together, RB1 loss is a key mechanism of palbociclib resistance in human liver cancer cell lines.

RB1 loss of function signature in human HCC patient samples
To estimate the percentage of HCC patients that could respond to palbociclib based on RB1 activity, we first compared the expression profiles of RB1 wild-type (WT) and altered (mutation, homozygous deletion) HCC patient samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA). Gene set enrichment analysis revealed that the gene sets 'E2F_targets', 'G2M_checkpoint' and 'mitotic_spindle' from the Hallmarks collection were significantly enriched in RB1-deficient patient samples (see online supplementary figure S5A). Similar results were obtained by Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) analysis (see online supplementary figure S5B).

We then established a gene signature of ‘RB1 loss of function’ (‘RB1_LOF’) by comparing the same RB1 WT and altered HCC patient samples (see online supplementary table S1). The signature was present in 29% of the samples and included both RB1-altered and RB1-WT samples (figure 5A). ‘RB1_LOF’ signature correlated with a previously defined signature of RB1 loss in mice with the S1 and proliferative HCC subclasses and with several cell cycle-related gene sets, including ‘E2F_targets’, ‘G2M_checkpoint’, ‘mitotic_spindle’,
Palbociclib is effective in organotypic ex vivo human HCC samples

We next tested the effects of palbociclib in a more physiological setting, in organotypic ex vivo culture of HCC tumour tissues from 10 patients, where multi-cell-type tissue microenvironment is preserved. This ex vivo assay allows assessment of novel therapies in tumour tissues explanted from patients without the difficulty and expense of implanting tumour cells into animals. Ex vivo culture for 2 days in vehicle (DMSO) or palbociclib (10 μM) did not overtly disrupt the morphological features, as indicated by H&E staining (see online supplementary figure S6A). High concentrations of the drug were used to allow for tissue perfusion. After excluding those samples with low percentage of Ki67-positive cells in the vehicle (DMSO) condition (Ki67<5%; n=4), five out of six remaining samples responded to palbociclib treatment (figure 6A, B). A significant increase in the percentage of cleaved-caspase 3-positive cells was observed in some samples, although overall changes were limited (see online supplementary figure S6B). Unexpectedly, RB1 was expressed in the non-responder ex vivo sample (see online supplementary figure S6C), suggesting that RB1 loss of function, rather than RB1 lack of expression, can dictate resistance to palbociclib.

Palbociclib, alone or in combination with sorafenib, has potent antitumour effects in vivo

Although palbociclib is effective against liver cancer cells in vitro and ex vivo, additional analyses were performed to discern the in vivo benefit of palbociclib treatment in HCC. We first investigated the potential of palbociclib for liver cancer treatment in a genetically engineered mosaic mouse model of liver cancer (Myc:p53-sgRNA). To induce liver tumours, we performed hydrodynamic tail vein injections of transposons expressing Myc and luciferase, which are integrated into the DNA of hepatocytes following transient expression of
transposase from a recombinant transposon vector (see online supplementary figure S7A). A validated sgRNA targeting tumour suppressor p53 was also included to accelerate tumorigenesis (see online supplementary figure S7A). 38 Three weeks after the injections, we performed bioluminescence imaging, randomised the mice according to their signal and started daily treatment with either vehicle (n=4, sodium lactate buffer) or palbociclib (n=4, 100 mg/kg). After 1 week of treatment, the luminescence signal in vehicle-treated mice increased dramatically, whereas in palbociclib-treated mice there was a significant decrease (figure 7A, B), indicating that palbociclib treatment can be effective in liver tumours in vivo.

To validate this further, we also studied human HCC xenografts. Sorafenib, the standard of care for HCC treatment, 1 was also included for comparison. Mice harbouring Huh7 and PLC5 xenografts were treated with vehicle (sodium lactate buffer or cremophor/ethanol), sorafenib (30 mg/kg), palbociclib (100 mg/kg) or a combination of both once the tumours reached a volume of 100–200 mm3. The single or combined treatments were well tolerated, with no obvious clinical signs of distress and either minimal weight loss or some weight gain (see online supplementary figure S7B). Tumour development was manifested for mice harbouring Huh7 xenografts (figure 7C), and all vehicle-treated mice (n=24) died within 16 days, with a median survival of 9.5 days (figure 7D). Both sorafenib (n=12) and palbociclib (n=14) significantly delayed tumour growth (figure 7C) and increased survival (figure 7D) to 14 and 16 days, respectively. The combination of sorafenib and palbociclib (n=13) was even more effective, with a significant and pronounced reduction in tumour growth (figure 7C) and by significantly increasing the median survival to 22 days (figure 7D). The combination was also significantly more efficacious than sorafenib (figure 7C, D), the standard of care for HCC patients. Similar results were obtained in PLC5 xenografts, which were treated for up to 30 days (figure 7E, F). Moreover, tumour regressions were observed for this cell line, particularly following combination treatment (figure 7E). The median survival with sorafenib (n=7) and palbociclib (n=5) was extended from 37 days (vehicle, n=7) to 48 and 56 days, respectively (figure 7F). The longest median survival was achieved with the combination treatment (n=7; 71 days) (figure 7F). Three mice treated with the combination therapy and one mouse treated with palbociclib were kept for 4 months with no signs of disease pointing to complete cures. In the remaining mice, after stopping treatment at day 30, tumours grew back (see online supplementary figure S7C), denoting that the arrest or regression in tumour growth is temporary, at least for this cell line. Taken together, our results indicate that palbociclib is as beneficial as sorafenib, whereas the combination of palbociclib and sorafenib is significantly more effective than sorafenib alone.

In parallel with the in vivo efficacy tests (figure 7), additional Huh7 tumours, treated for 5 days, were harvested for pharmacodynamic and mechanistic analyses to test whether antitumor activity correlated with modulation of the targets. Phosphorylation of Ser780 in RB1 was significantly decreased in tumours treated with palbociclib, alone or in combination with sorafenib, and phosphorylation of ERK was significantly decreased in sorafenib-treated tumours (figure 8A, B). However, only a slight decrease in phosphorylation of ERK was observed in the combination-treated tumours, possibly as a result of the rebound in ERK phosphorylation (tumours were collected 4 hours after the last dose). 39 Palbociclib has been shown to provide a significant benefit when combined with inhibitors that target the levels of D-type cyclins. 7 8 To test whether this prediction holds true for palbociclib and sorafenib, we tested the effects of sorafenib on CCND1 levels in vitro in Huh7 and PLC5 cells. Treatment with sorafenib led to a decrease in the phosphorylation of ERK and CCND1 protein levels (see online supplementary figure S8A), in part explaining the benefits of combining palbociclib and sorafenib.

Mechanistically, Huh7 tumours in control-treated animals were proliferative, determined by Ki67 staining. Quantification revealed a significant reduction (68%) in Huh7 proliferation upon palbociclib treatment, 63% with sorafenib and 73% with combination therapy (figure 8C, D). However, no changes in apoptosis were observed (see online supplementary figure S8B, C). To test whether palbociclib could induce cellular senescence in vivo, Huh7 cells (which show a senescent phenotype in vitro) were subcutaneously injected into nude mice. Once the tumours reached 100–200 mm3, we randomised the mice into two groups and treated them with vehicle or palbociclib (100 mg/kg) for 16 days. Tumour growth rate in palbociclib-treated mice was significantly lower than in vehicle-treated mice (figure 8E, F). However, once the treatment with palbociclib was discontinued, the tumour growth rate significantly
increased, suggesting tumour cells were reversibly arrested by palbociclib treatment (figure 8E, F). Analysis of tumours collected after treatment with vehicle or palbociclib (for 16 days) showed that palbociclib-treated tumours were positive for the senescence marker SAβGAL (figure 8G). However, a fraction of the tumour cells was negative for the staining. Those SAβGAL-negative cells could either be proliferative, contributing to the slow but positive growth of tumours upon palbociclib treatment, or reversibly arrested, being able to resume proliferation upon palbociclib withdrawal. In fact, SAβGAL staining of the tumours subjected to palbociclib withdrawal showed that the decrease in percentage of SAβGAL-positive cells correlated with the number of days in withdrawal (figure 8G; see online supplementary figure S8D), further supporting the hypothesis that SAβGAL-negative cells may be contributing to tumour growth. Taken together, our results indicate that palbociclib treatment of human liver tumours in vivo leads to cellular senescence in a high percentage of tumour cells. However, the remaining malignant cells are reversibly arrested and can still contribute to tumour growth. In conclusion, our results indicate that palbociclib significantly reduces tumour growth, alone or in combination with sorafenib, and could represent a promising strategy for HCC.

DISCUSSION
HCC is one of the most lethal malignancies, in part due to the lack of curative treatments. The multikinase inhibitor sorafenib is the only effective targeted therapy for advanced HCC patients, although most of them eventually undergo disease progression. Here, we provide the most comprehensive preclinical evaluation of a CDK4/6 inhibitor, palbociclib, in HCC to date, and build the case for its clinical development as first-line therapy, potentially in combination with sorafenib. Palbociclib efficiently reduced proliferation of HCC cells in vitro and of primary human tumour tissues ex vivo. Moreover, palbociclib decreased tumour burden in a genetically engineered mouse model of liver cancer and in human HCC xenografts in vivo, and cooperated with sorafenib to enhance therapeutic impact, being well tolerated.

Mechanistically, RB1 loss constituted the main mechanism of intrinsic and acquired resistance in human liver cancer cell lines, suggesting the need to stratify patients based on RB1 status.
While acute loss of RB1 has been reported to have little effect on the short-term response to palbociclib in liver cancer cells, due to compensatory mechanisms by RB1 family members, we have now demonstrated that acquired resistance to palbociclib through chronic exposure to the drug is mediated by RB1 loss. Moreover, partial or complete loss of RB1 leads to palbociclib resistance, further confirming the key role of RB1 in response to palbociclib in human HCC. By creating a signature of ‘RB1-LOF’, we estimate that 70% of human HCC patients could respond to palbociclib. Nevertheless, additional preclinical and clinical studies will be needed to refine response prediction in HCC patients and optimise patient selection.

Recent studies in other tumour types have shown that palbociclib can induce cellular senescence, which is an irreversible cell cycle arrest. In HCC cells, we observed a reversible cell cycle arrest in most of the cell lines. Considering that the current clinical regimen for palbociclib includes a week of drug holiday, achieving an irreversible arrest in vivo or changing the dosing schedule (lower dose, continuous treatment) will be crucial to avoid tumour regrowth. This may also be avoided with the use of abemaciclib, another CDK4/6 inhibitor that presents lower associated toxicity that allows continuous treatment. Furthermore, understanding what determines the choice between quiescence and senescence after palbociclib treatment in HCC cells will be critical. As an alternative, the combination of palbociclib with other compounds (targeted therapies, chemotherapies, immunotherapies) may trigger senescence or apoptosis. In our in vivo models, combination of palbociclib with sorafenib, the standard of care for HCC patients, had additive effects and led, in some cases, to tumour regression and complete responses. Strategies that target D-type cyclins, such as inhibition of ER or ERK signalling, may trigger senescence or apoptosis. In our in vivo models, combination of palbociclib with sorafenib, the standard of care for HCC patients, had additive effects and led, in some cases, to tumour regression and complete responses. Strategies that target D-type cyclins, such as inhibition of ER or ERK signalling, may trigger senescence or apoptosis. In our in vivo models, combination of palbociclib with sorafenib, the standard of care for HCC patients, had additive effects and led, in some cases, to tumour regression and complete responses. Strategies that target D-type cyclins, such as inhibition of ER or ERK signalling, may trigger senescence or apoptosis.

The identification of synergistic partners for palbociclib in HCC will allow stronger effects by minimising undesirable toxicity.
Taken together, our findings support further clinical evaluation of palbociclib, as a single agent or in combination with sorafenib, in patients with HCC. Of note, palbociclib is well tolerated and lacks associated liver toxicity, further reinforcing its potential for liver cancer treatment. ‘RB1_LOF’ signature could be used as a predictor of resistance to palbociclib. The assessment of additional response predictors in these clinical trials may help to refine the patient subgroup most likely to benefit from treatment with palbociclib. Finally, the use of synergistic drug combinations with palbociclib in HCC will lead to stronger and more durable responses with reduced toxicity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Statistical analysis
Data are expressed as mean±SD. Statistical significance was determined using Mann-Whitney U test (when n <10 or non-normal distribution) or Student’s t-test (n >10 and normal distribution). Correlation was calculated using the Pearson test. Group size was determined based on the results of preliminary experiments and no statistical method was used to predetermine sample size. Group allocation was performed randomly while outcome assessment was not performed in a blinded manner. The differences in survival were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier test. Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software) was used to create the graphs and for the statistical analysis. Significance values were set at *p<0.05, **p<0.01 and ***p<0.001.

The rest of materials and methods can be found in online supplementary material.
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