

How to select patients for antireflux surgery? The ICARUS guidelines (international consensus regarding preoperative examinations and clinical characteristics assessment to select adult patients for antireflux surgery)

Ans Pauwels,¹ Veerle Boecxstaens,^{1,2,3} Christopher N Andrews,⁴ Stephen E Attwood,⁵ Richard Berrisford,⁶ Raf Bisschops,^{1,7} Guy E Boecxstaens,¹ Serhat Bor,⁸ Albert J Bredenoord,⁹ Michele Cicala,¹⁰ Maura Corsetti,^{11,12} Fernando Fornari,¹³ Chandra Prakash Gyawali,¹⁴ Jan Hatlebakk,¹⁵ Scott B Johnson,¹⁶ Toni Lerut,¹⁷ Lars Lundell,¹⁸ Sandro Mattioli,¹⁹ Hiroto Miwa,²⁰ Philippe Nafteux,¹⁷ Taher Omari,²¹ John Pandolfino,²² Roberto Penagini,²³ Thomas W Rice,²⁴ Philip Roelandt,^{1,7} Nathalie Rommel,^{1,25} Vincenzo Savarino,²⁶ Daniel Sifrim,²⁷ Hidekazu Suzuki,²⁸ Radu Tutuian,²⁹ Tim Vanuytsel,^{1,7} Marcelo F Vela,³⁰ David I Watson,³¹ Frank Zerbib,³² Jan Tack^{1,7}

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Professor Jan Tack, Translational Research Center for Gastrointestinal Disorders, KU Leuven, Leuven 3000, Belgium; jan.tack@med.kuleuven.be

AP and VB contributed equally.

Received 13 January 2019
Revised 28 May 2019
Accepted 29 May 2019
Published Online First
2 August 2019



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Pauwels A, Boecxstaens V, Andrews CN, et al. *Gut* 2019;**68**:1928–1941.

ABSTRACT

Objective Antireflux surgery can be proposed in patients with GORD, especially when proton pump inhibitor (PPI) use leads to incomplete symptom improvement. However, to date, international consensus guidelines on the clinical criteria and additional technical examinations used in patient selection for antireflux surgery are lacking. We aimed at generating key recommendations in the selection of patients for antireflux surgery.

Design We included 35 international experts (gastroenterologists, surgeons and physiologists) in a Delphi process and developed 37 statements that were revised by the Consensus Group, to start the Delphi process. Three voting rounds followed where each statement was presented with the evidence summary. The panel indicated the degree of agreement for the statement. When 80% of the Consensus Group agreed (A+/A) with a statement, this was defined as consensus. All votes were mutually anonymous.

Results Patients with heartburn with a satisfactory response to PPIs, patients with a hiatal hernia (HH), patients with oesophagitis Los Angeles (LA) grade B or higher and patients with Barrett's oesophagus are good candidates for antireflux surgery. An endoscopy prior to antireflux surgery is mandatory and a barium swallow should be performed in patients with suspicion of a HH or short oesophagus. Oesophageal manometry is mandatory to rule out major motility disorders. Finally, oesophageal pH (\pm impedance) monitoring of PPI is mandatory to select patients for antireflux surgery, if endoscopy is negative for unequivocal reflux oesophagitis.

Conclusion With the ICARUS guidelines, we generated key recommendations for selection of patients for antireflux surgery.

Significance of this study

What is already known on this subject?

- ▶ Anti-reflux surgery is suggested in a subgroup of patients having gastro-oesophageal reflux disease.
- ▶ Selecting patients for anti-reflux surgery however is not straightforward.

What are the new findings?

- ▶ Based on several statements that generated consensus, a number of recommendations can be made for selecting patients for anti-reflux surgery.
- ▶ All patients require endoscopy, pH-monitoring off PPI and oesophageal manometry.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

- ▶ Referring a patient for anti-reflux surgery has to be an informed decision process, based on both positive and negative supporting findings.

INTRODUCTION

GORD occurs when the reflux of (duodeno)-gastric contents into the oesophagus causes troublesome symptoms and/or tissue damage (oesophagitis, stricture, Barrett's oesophagus).¹ GORD is a very common condition with a prevalence of 20% in the Western population. It may present with a broad spectrum of symptoms, subdivided into typical, oesophageal manifestations (heartburn and regurgitation) and a variety of atypical, extra-oesophageal symptoms, such as chronic cough, wheezing and

hoarseness. Typical and atypical symptoms can coexist in the same patient.²⁻⁴

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are the first-line medical treatment for patients with GORD, and PPI therapy has proven to be highly effective in healing oesophagitis.^{5,6} However, efficacy rates for symptom relief are significantly lower, with between 10% and 40% of patients with GORD failing to respond symptomatically, either partially or completely.⁶ Underlying mechanisms behind symptom generation in refractory GORD are the presence of weakly acidic and bile reflux, residual acid reflux, oesophageal hypersensitivity and psychological comorbidities.⁶

When lifestyle modifications, dietary changes and especially when medical treatment (antacids, histamine 2 (H₂-) receptor antagonist and PPIs) for GORD fails, antireflux surgery can be proposed. Antireflux surgery can also be recommended in case of intolerance to PPIs or as an alternative in anticipated long-term medical therapy in young patients with GORD. While medical treatment is focused on reducing the acidity of the refluxate, classic antireflux surgery generates a mechanical and functional barrier preventing reflux from gastric contents into the oesophagus.

Several long-term follow-up studies looking at recurrence rates of reflux symptoms have been published over the last years. A recent Swedish study followed 2655 patients who underwent primary laparoscopic antireflux surgery for a mean of 5.1 years and demonstrated recurrence of reflux in 470 (17.7%) of patients. Risk factors for recurrence of reflux were female gender, older age and comorbidity.⁷ A 5-year follow-up study, the LOTUS trial (Long-Term Usage of Esomeprazole vs Surgery for Treatment of Chronic Gastro-oesophageal Reflux Disease), demonstrated that a standardised laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication performed in expert centres and treatment with esomeprazole had similar outcome results concerning treatment failure rate, although that relief of heartburn was somewhat superior after surgery. The vast majority of patients achieved and remained in remission after 5 years, both in the surgical group as well as in the medical treatment group.⁸ However, the LOTUS study only enrolled patients with complete symptom control on esomeprazole and the results are not necessarily applicable to the group of patients with insufficient symptom control on PPIs, which constitutes a risk factor for a poor outcome.⁹

Selection of patients for antireflux surgery is traditionally based on the symptom pattern (preferably typical GORD symptoms), on the response to PPIs (at least partial response) and on the result of oesophageal pH or pH-impedance monitoring (pathological acid exposure in the absence of acid suppressive therapy).^{9,10} In 2013, a US-based consensus concerning preoperative diagnostic workup before antireflux surgery was published; however, this was a national consensus (the expert panel consisted of only American experts), achieved through informal voting.¹¹

The literature reports that outcome of antireflux surgery is influenced not only by anatomical and technical aspects, as assessed by endoscopy, radiology, manometry and reflux monitoring, but also by demographic and comorbidity factors such as the presence of IBS, functional dyspepsia (FD), anxiety and depression. However, it is unclear to which extent these aspects should influence decisions to perform antireflux surgery, and to date, global consensus guidelines on the clinical criteria and additional technical examinations used in patient selection for antireflux surgery are lacking.

Therefore, the aim of this project was to develop a global and multidisciplinary consensus on patient characteristics and preoperative examinations that could offer the clinician guidance in

selecting adult patients with GORD for classic antireflux surgery and possibly in adapting the technical aspects of the intervention in order to optimise clinical outcome.

METHODS

A Delphi process was started, with support from the International Society for Diseases of the Oesophagus (ISDE), to develop consensus statements for preoperative investigations and their results in the selection of adult patients for antireflux surgery. This approach combines the principles of evidence-based medicine, supported by systematic literature reviews and the use of a voting process. This method is increasingly used in healthcare as a rigorous means of determining consensus for complex problems in medicine for which evidence from controlled trials is lacking.¹²⁻¹⁵

The principal steps in the process were: (1) selection of an international Consensus Group consisting of several experts in GORD management with different clinical and scientific backgrounds to contribute to this expert panel; (2) development of draft statements by a Working Group composed of five Consensus Group experts with varied backgrounds; (3) systematic literature reviews to identify evidence to support each statement; (4) three rounds of repeated voting of the statements and voting discussion until a stable level of consensus voting was reached and (5) grading of the strength using accepted criteria.¹⁶

For the Consensus Group, which comprised the Working Group, 42 international experts with demonstrated knowledge/expertise were invited, and 35 from 15 countries (Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Denmark, France, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, UK and USA) agreed to participate. The group, consisting of gastroenterologists, surgeons and physiologists, combined a diversity of views and expertise related to GORD diagnosis and management.

We conducted a systematic literature search using a number of relevant keywords (MeSH: antireflux surgery and manometry/endoscopy/pH-metry/gastric emptying/comorbidities/barium X-ray). A core panel of five members reviewed the list of publications and identified the ones relevant to the process. These were stored in PDF format on a central server to which Delphi panel members had access. The references cited in this chapter are only a selection of the articles reviewed in each area and were selected to clarify the discussion.

The Working Group developed an initial 27 statements and prepared and reviewed the evidence to support the statements that were presented to the Consensus Group. The Consensus Group subsequently revised, expanded and consolidated the statements, ultimately providing 37 statements to start the Delphi process. The experts were then allocated to groups of four and each member also functioned as lead expert for one statement. Each lead expert prepared a short summary of the available evidence (using the papers on the central server as literature source) for this statement, which was later further updated based on input from other members. Statements were revised by the Working Group based on the feedback from the Consensus Group before the start of the first voting round and based on additional literature reviews, but also after each voting round.

Three voting rounds followed where each statement was presented with the evidence summary, and then the entire panel indicated the degree of agreement for the statement using a six-point Likert scale (table 1). When 80% of the Consensus Group agreed (A+ or A) with a statement, this was defined as consensus. All votes were mutually anonymous. The strength of evidence for each statement was scored using the grading

Table 1 Six-point Likert scale

Point	Description
A+	Agree strongly
A	Agree with minor reservation
A-	Agree with major reservation
D-	Disagree with major reservation
D	Disagree with minor reservation
D+	Disagree strongly

of recommendations assessment, development and evaluation (GRADE) system (table 2).¹⁷ All statements with grading and references are found in table 3.

The following statements, on relevant aspects to consider adult patients for antireflux surgery, were composed by the Working Group and reviewed and adjusted as needed by the Consensus Group. All statements label patients with certain characteristics as ‘good candidates for antireflux surgery’. This does not imply that surgery must be pursued in these patients, but it identifies them as potentially suitable for referral for surgery. Moreover, it is essential to understand that a decision for antireflux surgery based on a single characteristic (captured in a single statement) is also not appropriate. Referring a patient for antireflux surgery has to be an informed decision process, based on both positive and negative supporting findings.

RESULTS

Clinical presentation and comorbidities

Patients with heartburn as the main symptom who respond satisfactorily to PPIs are good candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 94.1%: A+ 67.6%, A 26.5%, A- 5.9%, D- 0.0%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE A.

The vast majority of presurgery and postsurgery studies in the literature enrol patients with ‘typical’ GORD symptoms which include both heartburn and regurgitation, as well as patients with GORD who have typical symptoms refractory to acid suppression therapy. Several peer-reviewed studies investigating patients who reported a complete or partial response to PPI therapy prior to antireflux surgery, showed a benefit of antireflux surgery.^{18–21} Moreover, the response to PPI therapy, good

Table 2 Grading of recommendations assessment, development and evaluation system¹⁶

Code	Quality of evidence	Definition
A	High	Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. ▶ Several high-quality studies with consistent results. ▶ In special cases: one large, high-quality multicentre trial.
B	Moderate	Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. ▶ One high-quality study. ▶ Several studies with some limitations.
C	Low	Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. ▶ One or more studies with severe limitations.
D	Very low	Any estimate of effect is very uncertain. ▶ Expert opinion. ▶ No direct research evidence. ▶ One or more studies with very severe limitations.

compliance and objective preoperative evidence of acid reflux all predict a favourable outcome.²² However, specific data on heartburn as the main symptom preoperatively and the response of heartburn symptoms to antireflux surgery were (often) not provided.

There is also the issue of the terminology ‘satisfactorily’, which is very subjective. It has indeed been shown that responses to satisfactorily relief could possibly be influenced by baseline severity.^{23 24} However, this terminology is easy to understand by patients and it fits within the practice of medicine in the office setting. Furthermore, in IBS therapy trials, the usefulness of ‘satisfactorily relief’ as an outcome parameter was linked to its ability to integrate various symptoms and the impact of therapy on various symptoms.²⁵

2. Patients with regurgitation as the main symptom are good candidates for antireflux surgery, regardless of the response pattern to PPI therapy.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 79.4%: A+ 14.7%, A 64.7%, A- 11.8%, D- 5.9%, D 2.9%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE B.

The ability for PPIs to adequately improve regurgitation appears to be much less than their ability to improve heartburn.^{26 27} In the literature, there is a lack of solid evidence to support the statement above. However, in a systematic analysis, surgery does appear to be superior to PPIs in alleviating symptomatic regurgitation, although dysphagia, rectal flatulence and the inability to belch or vomit were significantly more common in patients treated surgically.^{8 28 29} Important to notice is that symptoms of regurgitation due to primary oesophageal motility disorders (eg, achalasia, rumination syndrome) have to be ruled out by means of oesophageal motility testing (preferably using high-resolution manometry (HRM)) before referring a patient for antireflux surgery.

3. Patients with reflux-hypersensitive oesophagus (normal acid exposure but positive symptom association with reflux events) are good candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 55.9%: A+ 5.9%, A 50.0%, A- 26.5%, D- 11.8%, D 2.9%, D+ 2.9%; GRADE C.

Reflux hypersensitivity is categorised as a functional disorder in the latest Rome IV criteria and defined as ‘patients with oesophageal symptoms who lack evidence of reflux on endoscopy or abnormal acid burden on reflux monitoring, but show triggering of symptoms by physiological reflux’.³ Symptoms in patients with reflux hypersensitivity are caused by reflux events (main difference with functional heartburn); therefore antireflux surgery can theoretically improve symptoms as it minimises oesophageal reflux. The majority of studies suggest that patients with a hypersensitive oesophagus are possibly good candidates for antireflux surgery,^{4 30–34} while a few reports suggest the opposite.³⁵ More specifically, outcome in patients with hypersensitive oesophagus where reflux has been documented in the past (either by the presence of oesophagitis or a pathological acid exposure time) is similar as to patients with documented reflux without being hypersensitive.^{31 32} However, a recent study by Patel *et al* showed that pure acid sensitivity was a negative predictor for symptom improvement with antireflux therapy, including surgical management.³⁵ Moreover, patients with reflux hypersensitivity often display a high level of anxiety. Blondeau *et al* demonstrated that psychosocial factors and somatisation might contribute to symptom perception in patients with reflux hypersensitivity.³⁶ This confounding factor has to be taken into consideration before referring these patients for antireflux surgery.

Table 3 All statements with grading and references

Statement	Grade of evidence	References
1. Patients with heartburn as the main symptom who respond satisfactorily to proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are good candidates for antireflux surgery.	A	18–25
2. Patients with regurgitation as the main symptom are good candidates for antireflux surgery, regardless of the response pattern to PPI therapy.	B	8 26–29
3. Patients with reflux-hypersensitive oesophagus (normal acid exposure but positive symptom association with reflux events) are good candidates for antireflux surgery.	C	3 4 30–36
4. Patients with functional heartburn (Rome III/IV criteria, who have no association of symptoms with documented episodes of reflux events) are poor candidates for surgery.	B	3 34 37
5a. Patients with non-cardiac chest pain are good candidates for antireflux surgery only if symptoms can be attributed to reflux.	C	38–46
5b. Patients with extra-oesophageal syndromes (asthma, chronic cough or laryngitis) are good candidates for antireflux surgery only if symptoms can be attributed to reflux.	C	45 47–73
6. Patients with eosinophilic oesophagitis (EEO) on oesophageal biopsies are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.	C	74–78
7. Patients with scleroderma (and/or other severe smooth muscle disease) are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.	C	79–89
8. Patients with concomitant functional disorders such as dyspepsia and IBS are good candidates for antireflux surgery, only if symptoms can be attributed to reflux.	B	90–95
9. Patients with a body mass index >35 kg/m ² are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.	B	96–102
10. Patients with psychiatric illness (major depression or anxiety disorder) are good candidates for antireflux surgery only if symptoms can be attributed to reflux.	C	103–106
11. Patients known with substance abuse (such as alcohol abuse and drug abuse) are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.	D	97 107–114
12. Patients with dental erosions related to documented reflux are good candidates for antireflux surgery.	D	115–123
13. Endoscopy is mandatory and has to be carried out in the last year prior to antireflux surgery.	B	8 28 123
14. There is no need to wean the patient off PPI for an endoscopy in the preoperative workup for antireflux surgery.	C	28 124–127
15. Patients with GORD symptoms and an endoscopic diagnosis of a hiatal hernia (HH) are good candidates for antireflux surgery.	B	10 128–133
16a. Patients with GORD symptoms and unequivocal presence of reflux oesophagitis Los Angeles (LA) grade A or higher off PPI are good candidates for antireflux surgery.	B	2 8 134–139
16b. Patients with GORD symptoms and unequivocal presence of reflux oesophagitis LA grade B or higher off PPI are good candidates for antireflux surgery.	B	
17. Patients with GORD symptoms without reflux oesophagitis during endoscopy performed off PPIs are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.	B	3 32 134 141–143
18. Patients with GORD symptoms and Barrett's oesophagus (non-dysplastic specialised intestinal metaplasia) on biopsies of the distal oesophagus are good candidates for antireflux surgery.	B	22 144–154
19. In patients considered for antireflux surgery, biopsies of the oesophageal body should be obtained during endoscopy.	C	75 77 155–161
20. In patients with suspicion of HH or short oesophagus, a barium swallow is mandatory in the preoperative workup for antireflux surgery.	B	162–167
21. Patients with GORD symptoms and a small or medium size sliding HH on barium swallow are good candidates for antireflux surgery.	B	58 133 168–170
22. Patients with GORD symptoms and a large sliding HH on barium swallow are good candidates for antireflux surgery in the absence of short oesophagus.	B	92 162 166 171 203 204
23. Symptomatic patients with a para-oesophageal hernia on barium swallow are good candidates for antireflux surgery in addition to para-oesophageal hernia repair.	C	172–176
24. Patients with GORD symptoms and a short oesophagus on barium swallow are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.	C	162 164 165
25. Oesophageal manometry is mandatory to select patients for antireflux surgery.	D	177–179
26. Patients with GERD symptoms and a hypercontractile oesophagus (Jackhammer) and the previously described Nutcracker oesophagus on manometry are good candidates for antireflux surgery, if symptoms can be attributed to reflux.	D	180–182
27. Patients with GORD symptoms and distal oesophageal spasm on manometry are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.	D	183–185
28. In patients with GORD symptoms and hypocontractility of the oesophageal body on manometry, antireflux surgery should be tailored.	D	186–189
29. Patients with GORD symptoms and severe hypocontractility or failed peristalsis on manometry are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.	D	186 188 189
30. Oesophageal pH (± impedance) monitoring off therapy is mandatory to select patients with NERD for antireflux surgery.	B	22 33 190–194
31. Oesophageal pH (± impedance) monitoring off therapy should be performed for selection for antireflux surgery of patients who have short Barrett's oesophagus in the absence of erosive oesophagitis.	B	190
32. Patients with GORD symptoms and normal reflux exposure on pH (± impedance) monitoring off PPI therapy are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.	B	56
33a. Patients with GORD symptoms, a normal reflux exposure on pH (± impedance) monitoring off therapy and a positive symptom association are good candidates for antireflux surgery.	C	31 35 56 195
33b. Patients with GORD symptoms, a normal reflux exposure on pH (± impedance) monitoring off therapy and a positive reflux symptom association are good candidates for antireflux surgery, only if symptoms respond to PPI therapy.	B	
34a. Patients with GORD symptoms and pathological reflux exposure on pH (± impedance) monitoring off therapy and a negative reflux symptom association are eligible for antireflux surgery.	C	33 192 194
34b. Patients with GORD symptoms and pathological reflux exposure on pH (± impedance) monitoring off therapy and a negative reflux symptom association are eligible for antireflux surgery, only if symptoms respond to PPI therapy.	C	
35. Patients with pathological reflux exposure on pH (± impedance) monitoring on PPI who respond to baclofen therapy are good candidates for antireflux surgery.	D	196 197
36. A gastric emptying test for solid food is necessary to select patients with GORD with concomitant dyspeptic symptoms for antireflux surgery.	C	198–200
37. If the gastric emptying test is abnormal for solid food, patients should not undergo an antireflux surgery.	C	198 199 201 202

4. Patients with functional heartburn (Rome III/IV criteria, who have no association of symptoms with documented episodes of reflux events) are poor candidates for surgery.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 100%: A+ 91.2%, A 8.8%, A- 0.0%, D- 0.0%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE B.

Functional heartburn is defined according to the Rome IV criteria as 'a burning retrosternal discomfort or pain refractory to optimal antisecretory therapy in the absence of gastro-oesophageal acid reflux (GOR), histopathological mucosal abnormalities, major motor disorders or structural explanations'.³ In other words, in functional heartburn, symptoms manifest themselves without association to reflux events. From the mechanistic point of view, it is therefore unlikely that functional heartburn would be improved by antireflux surgery. The few available studies do not support the efficacy of surgery.³⁴⁻³⁷

5a. Patients with non-cardiac chest pain (NCCP) are good candidates for antireflux surgery only if symptoms can be attributed to reflux.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 79.4%: A+ 14.7%, A 64.7%, A- 14.7%, D- 2.9%, D 2.9%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE C.

NCCP is a common condition, with a prevalence of up to 25% in the US adult population.³⁸ After excluding a cardiac cause, reflux is the most common underlying mechanism for this disorder. Patients with NCCP might be referred to antireflux surgery after ruling out oesophageal motility disorders such as hypercontractile oesophagus and functional chest pain.³⁹ Although literature on GORD-related NCCP as the sole indication for surgical treatment is non-existent, fundoplication has been performed in this patient group. Improvement after surgical treatment is better in patients with a clear correlation between reflux events and symptoms, in patients who also display typical reflux symptoms such as heartburn and third when there is a satisfactory response to PPIs prior to the surgery.⁴⁰⁻⁴⁶

5b. Patients with extra-oesophageal syndromes (asthma, chronic cough or laryngitis) are good candidates for antireflux surgery only if symptoms can be attributed to reflux.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 44.1%: A+ 8.8%, A 35.3%, A- 44.1%, D- 8.8%, D 2.9%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE C.

The vast majority of data is reported in patients with typical GORD symptoms and coexisting extra-oesophageal symptoms that seem to respond to surgery. Varying degrees of symptom improvement has been shown mainly in case series in respiratory symptoms, asthma, cough and laryngopharyngeal symptoms.⁴³⁻⁴⁷⁻⁶⁹ Few data are available on the outcome of antireflux surgery for isolated atypical symptoms. Moreover, patient selection remains uncertain as there is no well-established method for demonstrating that these symptoms can be attributed to reflux. The use of symptom markers is valid for typical reflux symptoms; however, in case of extra-oesophageal symptoms, it has been subject of debate for a long time. An objective monitoring for chronic cough can be added through ambulatory manometry or acoustic monitoring.⁷⁰⁻⁷³ Outcomes of Nissen fundoplication in patients with chronic cough attributable to reflux were good although in uncontrolled and often retrospective studies, but these were selected patients who also displayed a positive pH monitoring.⁴²⁻⁵⁰⁻⁵⁹⁻⁶¹⁻⁶⁸ It has to be stressed out that none of the studies were placebo/sham controlled, which is pivotal in studying the exact effect of antireflux surgery in patients with chronic cough.

6. Patients with eosinophilic oesophagitis (EOO) on oesophageal biopsies are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 88.2%: A+ 61.8%, A 26.5%, A- 8.8%, D- 2.9%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%. GRADE C

There is evidence in the literature that eosinophilic oesophagitis in children and adults does not respond to antireflux surgery.⁷⁴⁻⁷⁷ Obtaining oesophageal biopsies in all patients evaluated for Nissen fundoplication is debatable, as reports suggest a low prevalence of eosinophilic oesophagitis in adults with refractory heartburn.⁷⁸

7. Patients with scleroderma (and/or other severe smooth muscle disease) are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 64.7%: A+ 11.8%, A 52.9%, A- 26.5%, D- 5.9%, D 2.9%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE C.

Data on the outcome of antireflux surgery in patients with scleroderma (systemic sclerosis) is contradictory: there are a few non-randomised studies reporting (partial or full) resolution of reflux symptoms, while other studies suggest that surgery is of limited success in these patients.⁷⁹⁻⁸⁴ Although the severity of reflux symptoms improved after Nissen fundoplication, postoperative dysphagia was present in 38%–71% of patients with scleroderma.⁷⁹⁻⁸¹⁻⁸⁵ A retrospective study suggested laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass might be a better option in patients with systemic sclerosis-associated reflux: less dysphagia and improved reflux control was seen after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass compared with fundoplication.⁸¹⁻⁸⁶ Although the oesophagus is not always affected in patients with systemic sclerosis, the majority of patients with oesophageal involvement is found to have aperistalsis, which is a risk factor for postoperative dysphagia also in patients without scleroderma.⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹

8. Patients with concomitant functional disorders such as dyspepsia and IBS are good candidates for antireflux surgery, only if symptoms can be attributed to reflux.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 64.7%: A+ 23.5%, A 41.2%, A- 26.5%, D- 8.8%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE B.

According to Rome IV criteria, functional dyspepsia (FD) is defined as 'a medical condition that significantly impacts on the usual activities of a patient and is characterised by one of the following symptoms: postprandial fullness, early satiation, epigastric pain or epigastric burning that are unexplained after a routine clinical evaluation'.⁹⁰ Within patients with FD, a distinction between postprandial distress syndrome (PDS), with predominant postprandial fullness and early satiation and epigastric pain syndrome (EPS) characterised by epigastric pain or epigastric burning is made. There are currently no data about the influence of Rome III/IV FD comorbidity on the outcome of antireflux surgery, but it may parallel the inferior response to PPIs.⁹¹ Studies suggest that antireflux surgery is not contraindicated in patients with dyspepsia comorbidity since these symptoms also tend to improve, but they do point out that the expected outcome is worse in patients with dyspepsia comorbidity.⁹²

IBS is defined in the Rome IV criteria as 'recurrent abdominal pain associated with defaecation or a change in bowel habits'. Disordered bowel habits are typically present (ie, constipation, diarrhoea or a mix of constipation and diarrhoea), as are symptoms of abdominal bloating/distention. Symptom onset should occur at least 6 months before diagnosis and symptoms should be present during the last 3 months.⁹³ A study by Raftopoulos *et al* demonstrated that preoperative IBS is not a contraindication of antireflux surgery.⁹⁴ Although Axelrod *et al* do not state that IBS is a contraindication of antireflux surgery, they showed that patients with a diagnosis of functional bowel disease or with preoperative symptoms of functional bowel disease were more likely to have a poor outcome compared with patients without the diagnosis or symptoms of functional bowel disease.⁹⁵ The reported data—although scarce and variable in quality—indicate that neither FD nor IBS comorbidity

is a contraindication for antireflux surgery because of a similar improvement of typical reflux symptoms. However, extensive counselling about the possibility of persistent functional GI symptoms and increased risk of gas-bloat syndrome postoperatively is warranted.

9. Patients with a body mass index >35 kg/m² are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 23.5%: A+ 2.9%, A 20.6%, A- 17.6%, D- 14.7%, D 38.2%, D+ 5.9%; GRADE B.

Obesity has been implicated as a major and independent risk factor for GORD by several mechanisms (increase of the intragastric pressure and of the abdominal–thoracic pressure gradient, increased gastric peptic secretion, abnormal gastric emptying).^{96 97} Losing weight should be the first pillar in GORD treatment for obese patients. Although Perez *et al* demonstrated that there was a 31% occurrence rate of GORD after antireflux surgery in 48 obese patients, other more recent studies have shown that preoperative obesity was not associated with a poorer outcome following laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication.^{98–102}

10. Patients with psychiatric illness (major depression or anxiety disorder) are good candidates for antireflux surgery only if symptoms can be attributed to reflux.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 32.4%: A+ 2.9%, A 29.4%, A- 29.4%, D- 20.6%, D 14.7%, D+ 2.9%; GRADE C.

Only few studies investigated the influence of psychiatric comorbidity on the outcome of antireflux surgery in patients with GORD. It has been demonstrated that patients with GORD and concomitant psychiatric disorders (major depression or anxiety as defined by the DSM-IV) have more severe symptoms and lower quality of life at baseline. Even if a 24 hours pH-monitoring is normal after surgery, these patients report less symptom relief and less quality of life improvement compared with patients without psychiatric comorbidity.^{103–106}

11. Patients known with substance abuse (such as alcohol abuse and drug abuse) are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 26.5%: A+ 8.8%, A 17.6%, A- 50.0%, D- 5.9%, D 17.6%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE D.

Alcohol and smoking may induce GOR by decreasing lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) pressure and disturbance of oesophageal motility, although there is no evidence that lifestyle and dietary changes, for example, stopping smoking will improve symptoms.^{97 107–110} Impaired swallow-induced LOS relaxation and oesophageal body dysmotility were observed both in healthy volunteers and in symptomatic patients with dysphagia receiving opioids.^{111 112} Additionally, there is no evidence in the literature that all these factors may have an impact on the results of antireflux surgery.^{113 114}

12. Patients with dental erosions related to documented reflux are good candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 44.1%: A+ 0.0%, A 44.1%, A- 29.4%, D- 8.8%, D 5.9%, D+ 11.8%; GRADE D.

The literature on dental erosions related to GORD is limited. Most studies indicate an increased prevalence of dental erosions in patients with GORD.¹¹⁵ On average, 17%–68% with GORD have dental erosions.^{116–122} A study by Wilder-Smith *et al* showed that esomeprazole 20 mg twice a day significantly reduced the decrease in enamel thickness compared with placebo, suggesting that treatment of GORD may reduce the development of dental erosions.¹¹⁹ In a 1-year follow-up study, the same group described no further progression in erosive tooth wear in 74% of

the patients.¹²³ However, to date, there are no studies available evaluating the effect of antireflux surgery on dental erosions.

Oesophagogastroduodenoscopy

13. Endoscopy is mandatory and has to be carried out in the last year prior to antireflux surgery.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 94.1%: A+ 82.4%, A 11.8%, A- 5.9%, D- 0.0%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE B.

The literature on the use of and diagnostic output from endoscopy in the preoperative workup of patients with GORD before antireflux surgery is very extensive. Although there is no solid evidence that endoscopy is mandatory prior to antireflux surgery, there seems to be a general consensus that endoscopy shall be performed before antireflux surgery. The proper timing for endoscopy has not been studied so far and is therefore not well defined and the voting outcome reflects the opinion and clinical experience of the experts of the panel.

14. There is no need to wean the patient off PPI for an endoscopy in the preoperative workup for antireflux surgery.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 88.2%: A+ 41.2%, A 47.1%, A- 2.9%, D- 5.9%, D 0.0%, D+ 2.9%; GRADE C.

The literature to support a decision on whether there is greater value of maintaining or for stopping PPI therapy before making a decision regarding selection of a patient for antireflux surgery is scarce. Standard current practice seems to either perform endoscopy on PPI or not to specify.^{28 124 125} The information gained by preoperative endoscopy relates to the need to assess and grade dysplasia in Barrett's oesophagus, identify the possibility of a short oesophagus and assess the size and configuration of hiatal hernia (HH).^{126 127} As interrupting PPI therapy for these assessments is unnecessary and unhelpful, patients can therefore continue their PPI treatment regimen for endoscopic assessment of reflux prior to a decision regarding the potential value of antireflux surgery.

15. Patients with GORD symptoms and an endoscopic diagnosis of a HH are good candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 82.4%: A+ 20.6%, A 61.8%, A- 14.7%, D- 2.9%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE B.

A HH disrupts the anatomy and physiology of the normal anti-reflux mechanism (reducing the LOS length and LOS-pressure, impairing augmentation of the LOS by the right crus, impairing oesophageal peristalsis, increasing cross-sectional area of the oesophago-gastric junction (OGJ)). The herniated stomach acts as a reservoir allowing reflux into the lower oesophagus during swallowing. The presence of a HH is associated with increased symptoms of reflux, increased prevalence and severity of reflux oesophagitis, Barrett's oesophagus, oesophageal adenocarcinoma and reduced efficacy of PPI.^{128 129} The severity of oesophagitis is best predicted by size of HH, followed by LOS pressure, in that order.¹³⁰ Although patients with a large HH are more prone to have pathological reflux and more symptoms, not all patients with a HH have GORD.¹³¹

Up to date, there are no prospective studies reporting the influence of HH in recommending antireflux surgery. The Canadian Consensus Conference on the management of GORD in adults did suggest that a significant HH, because of its likely contribution to reflux in an individual patient, may tip the balance towards surgery.¹³² Most series looking for independent predictors of success for antireflux surgery did not find presence of HH to be significant in multivariate analysis.^{10 133}

16a. Patients with GORD symptoms and unequivocal presence of reflux oesophagitis Los Angeles (LA) grade A or higher off PPI are good candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 50.0%: A+ 17.6%, A 32.4%, A– 47.1%, D– 2.9%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE B.

16b. Patients with GORD symptoms and unequivocal presence of reflux oesophagitis LA grade B or higher off PPI are good candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 91.2%: A+ 47.1%, A 44.1%, A– 8.8%, D– 0.0%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE B.

Patients with GORD can be subdivided into those with erosive reflux disease (ERD, the presence of mucosal breaks) and those with non-erosive reflux disease (NERD, the absence of mucosal breaks) based on upper GI endoscopy findings.¹³⁴ It has been demonstrated that progression from NERD to erosive oesophagitis occurs while regression from ERD to NERD is rare.^{135 136} The diminished response to medical treatment in patients with NERD would support a greater role for surgery in NERD than in ERD. It has been demonstrated that subjective and objective long-term outcomes of Nissen fundoplication were similar in ERD and NERD and results were sustained for up to 5 years after surgery.¹³⁷ Moreover, in terms of symptoms and signs of erosive oesophagitis, a long-term study reported that surgery was superior to conservative management with modified lifestyle and medication.¹³⁶

Historically, reflux oesophagitis off PPI was considered a good selection criterion, usually in combination with abnormal pH metry, for patient selection for an antireflux surgery. Active oesophagitis is a definite sign of ongoing pathological reflux and may help to select patients for surgery. More than 85% of the patients with documented oesophagitis were satisfied with the results of surgery (laparoscopic or open).¹³⁸ However, previous studies demonstrated that up to 15% of the general population have oesophagitis LA grade A or higher. Almost half of these patients, in particular those with LA grade A are asymptomatic.^{2 139}

Patient selection though remains mostly based on symptoms, as indicated by the recent LOTUS trial.^{8 140}

17. Patients with GORD symptoms without reflux oesophagitis during endoscopy performed off PPIs are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 2.9%: A+ 0.0%, A 2.9%, A– 2.9%, D– 23.5%, D 44.1%, D+ 26.5%; GRADE C.

The diagnosis of NERD is based on upper GI endoscopy findings and a positive pH or pH-impedance study.^{3 134} Impairment of quality of life, however, and severity of symptoms are similar as for ERD.^{141 142} In theory, the diminished response to medical treatment in patients with NERD could support a greater role for surgery in NERD than in ERD. When comparing long-term outcome results of antireflux surgery in patients with PPI-refractory NERD and ERD, it was demonstrated that both subjective and objective long-term outcomes were similar in ERD and NERD and results were sustained for up to 5 years after surgery.^{32 143}

18. Patients with GORD symptoms and Barrett's oesophagus (non-dysplastic specialised intestinal metaplasia) on biopsies of the distal oesophagus are good candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 82.4%: A+ 17.6%, A 64.7%, A– 14.7%, D– 2.9%, D 0.0%, D +0.0%; GRADE B.

The presence of Barrett's oesophagus can be considered proof of the presence of GORD. Many studies confirm that antireflux procedures in patients with Barrett's oesophagus effectively reduce reflux-related symptoms and that uncomplicated Barrett's oesophagus does not influence outcome of antireflux surgery.^{22 144–153} A meta-analysis found no evidence that antireflux surgery prevents the progression to carcinoma of the oesophagus; therefore, postoperative endoscopic follow-up should be

maintained.¹⁵⁴ In patients with refractory GORD eligible for antireflux surgery, the presence of Barrett's oesophagus should not be a contraindication. On the other hand, antireflux surgery should not be suggested to asymptomatic patients with Barrett's oesophagus or to patients with short segment Barrett's oesophagus to prevent evolution to dysplasia or adenocarcinoma.

19. In patients considered for antireflux surgery, biopsies of the oesophageal body should be obtained during endoscopy.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 73.5%: A+ 58.8%, A 14.7%, A– 11.8%, D– 2.9%, D 2.9%, D+ 8.8%; GRADE C.

EOO and GORD are distinct clinical entities, theoretically with different pathophysiology and treatment. However, their differentiation may sometimes be problematic and disease previously thought to be associated with GORD may really be manifestations of EOO.^{155 156} Additionally, there may be a benefit of treatment of GORD in EOO, particularly in paediatric patients.^{157 158} Further confounding this issue of distinction is that patients not suspected of having EOO (those not undergoing preoperative biopsy) who receive antireflux surgery, have been reported to have poor outcomes.^{75 77} The finding of eosinophils on biopsy does not necessarily confirm the diagnosis of EOO or exclude other oesophageal diseases, therefore rendering the need for mandatory biopsies questionable.^{159 160} It has been shown that cost-benefit is only present when the prevalence of abnormal findings is expected to be 8% or more.¹⁶¹

Barium swallow

20. In patients with suspicion of HH or short oesophagus, a barium swallow is mandatory in the preoperative workup for antireflux surgery.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 88.2%: A+ 44.1%, A 44.1%, A– 5.9%, D– 2.9%, D 2.9%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE B.

It is commonly accepted that 2.5 cm of intra-abdominal oesophagus is necessary to perform an effective antireflux procedure. Today, most antireflux operations are performed laparoscopically. The pneumoperitoneum necessary to perform laparoscopy elevates the diaphragm into the mediastinum and appears to 'lengthen' the oesophagus. Failure to recognise that the oesophagus is shortened may result in an inadequate length of intra-abdominal oesophagus at surgery. If a HH repair is constructed under tension on a short oesophagus, the hernia is reduced below the diaphragm at surgery and then retracts into the chest over time. The fundoplication may or may not remain subdiaphragmatically or it may disrupt or 'slip' onto the stomach. Slipped Nissen fundoplications may therefore result from the failure to recognise a shortened oesophagus before surgery. The occurrence of the true short oesophagus is indeed thought to be responsible for 20%–33% of the surgical failures after open or laparoscopic fundoplication.¹⁶² A study by Mattioli *et al* demonstrated that short oesophagus is present in about 20% of patients undergoing routine antireflux surgery, highlighting the importance of performing adequate testing.¹⁶³

If the hernia is identified in the upright position, it is assumed that there is oesophageal shortening. In addition, the oesophagus is probably shortened when the HH length is 5 cm or greater alone or in combination with a stricture or a long segment (>3 cm) Barrett's oesophagus.^{162 164} Other radiological findings that suggest a short oesophagus include severe extensive ulcerative oesophagitis, straightening or loss of the angle of His, the presence of a stricture alone and type III mixed or complex para-oesophageal hernias.¹⁶⁵

In summary, if endoscopy reveals the presence of a large hernia and/or the presence of severe oesophagitis or long

segment Barrett's oesophagus, a barium swallow performed by a dedicated upper GI radiologist is strongly recommended before surgical intervention. This will allow to better plan the technical details of the surgery in order to eventually reduce the risk of anatomical and/or symptomatic recurrence.^{166 167}

21. Patients with GORD symptoms and a small or medium size sliding HH on barium swallow are good candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 82.4%: A+ 20.6%, A 61.8%, A- 8.8%, D- 8.8%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE B.

Very few studies have examined the effect of a HH on the outcome of antireflux surgery. A study by Power *et al* defined a HH size >3 cm at the time of the surgery as a predictor of failure.¹³³ However, the presence and the size of a HH had no relationship with outcome according to several other studies.¹⁶⁸⁻¹⁷⁰

22. Patients with GORD symptoms and a large sliding HH on barium swallow are good candidates for antireflux surgery in the absence of short oesophagus.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 85.3%: A+ 50%, A 35.3%, A- 8.8%, D- 2.9%, D 0.0%, D+ 2.9%; GRADE B.

Upper endoscopy and barium swallow are commonly used to diagnose short sliding HH. It has been demonstrated that in morbidly obese patients, barium swallow is superior to endoscopy in diagnosing sliding HH.¹⁷¹ Preoperative barium swallow can reveal more details on the sliding HH and contribute to better tailoring the antireflux surgery.¹⁶⁶ Although there is currently no consensus on the definition for small, medium and large HH, often the cut-off of >3 cm or hernias belonging to categories II-IV have been used to define a large HH.^{94 172 173} As 2.5 cm of intra-abdominal oesophagus is mandatory to offer effective antireflux surgery, in large sliding HHs (larger intra-thoracic component), a more comprehensive dissection is needed.¹⁶⁴

23. Symptomatic patients with a para-oesophageal hernia on barium swallow are good candidates for antireflux surgery in addition to para-oesophageal hernia repair.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 97.1%: A+ 44.1%, A 52.9%, A- 2.9%, D- 0.0%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE C.

Para-oesophageal hernias (POH) are subtypes of HH, defined as a herniation of the peritoneal cavity into the chest through the diaphragmatic hiatus.

Given the difficulty of distinguishing if reflux symptoms are from POH alone or independent of the POH, most surgeons routinely add an antireflux procedure (fundoplication) after POH repair in elective situations.¹⁷⁴ A recent pilot trial by Muller-Stich *et al* showed a lesser degree of reflux and a less oesophagitis in patients where a fundoplication was added to the POH repair compared with those with a POH repair only.¹⁷²

Some authors advocate a selective approach to antireflux procedures, with preoperative testing (including manometry, pH-metry or endoscopy) and patient symptoms determining whether or not to add a fundoplication.¹⁷³ Others suggest always performing an antireflux procedure, but tailoring the type of fundoplication (eg, full or partial) depending on the patient.¹⁷⁵ A minority suggest that fundoplication should be avoided due to the increased risk of dysphagia with antireflux procedures after POH repair.¹⁷⁶ None of these approaches however have been proven superior to others in a prospective trial.

24. Patients with GORD symptoms and a short oesophagus on barium swallow are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement not endorsed, overall agreement 17.6%: A+ 2.9%, A 14.7%, A- 23.5%, D- 41.2%, D 11.8%, D+ 5.9%; GRADE C.

In the absence of adequate comparative studies, the question of the short oesophagus remains controversial, and there is insufficient evidence to preclude patients with radiological suspicion of a short oesophagus from antireflux surgery. If patients progress to surgery, there is also insufficient evidence to define the best surgical procedure in this scenario. Well-designed case-control or randomised clinical trials are needed to provide an evidence base to address this question.

Oesophageal manometry

25. Oesophageal manometry is mandatory to select patients for antireflux surgery.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 94.1%: A+ 82.4%, A 11.8%, A- 5.9%, D- 0.0%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE D.

Oesophageal manometry should be performed prior to antireflux surgery to rule out a major motor disorder, such as achalasia, OGJ outflow obstruction or absent contractility.^{177 178} There is no data to support that the manometric finding of distal oesophageal spasm (DOS), Jackhammer oesophagus or minor disorders of peristalsis, such as fragmented peristalsis predicts postoperative dysphagia. Incorporating HRM and impedance into pressure flow parameters might be helpful in predicting outcome since the dysphagia risk index appeared to be helpful in identifying patients at risk for post-fundoplication dysphagia.¹⁷⁹

26. Patients with GORD symptoms and a hypercontractile oesophagus (Jackhammer and the previously described Nutcracker) oesophagus on manometry are good candidates for antireflux surgery if symptoms can be attributed to reflux.

Statement not endorsed, overall agreement 64.7%: A+ 11.8%, A 52.9%, A- 29.4%, D- 2.9%, D 2.9%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE D.

Data on outcome of antireflux surgery of patients with a hypercontractile oesophagus is scarce: there are no randomised, controlled trials available in literature. However, retrospective data on outcome of patients with nutcracker oesophagus (although no longer defined in the Chicago classification V.3.0) undergoing antireflux surgery show no difference compared with patients with a normal oesophageal motility pattern.¹⁸⁰ Manometric abnormalities after a Nissen fundoplication were even improved in two patients with a Jackhammer oesophagus.¹⁸¹ Hypertensive oesophageal contraction patterns are not a contraindication for antireflux surgery; however, patients and clinicians should be aware of the risk of developing chest pain after the surgery.¹⁸²

27. Patients with GORD symptoms and distal oesophageal spasm on manometry are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement not endorsed, overall agreement 64.7%: A+ 26.5%, A 38.2%, A- 20.6%, D- 11.8%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE D.

Patients with DOS are poor candidates for antireflux surgery, provided that the motor disorder has been well characterised, preferably using HRM. Therapeutic approaches indicated for patients with DOS include medicines such as sildenafil, as well as endoscopic injection of botulin toxin and surgical myotomy.¹⁸³⁻¹⁸⁵ Although some patients may benefit from acid-suppressive therapy, antireflux surgery as the unique treatment should be avoided in patients with DOS.

28. In patients with GORD symptoms and hypocontractility of the oesophageal body on manometry, antireflux surgery should be tailored.

Statement not endorsed, overall agreement 47.1%: A+ 5.9%, A 41.2%, A- 41.2%, D- 0.0%, D 5.9%, D+ 5.9%; GRADE D.

There are no good data to suggest tailoring of antireflux surgery to oesophageal body hypomotility or hypocontractility.^{186 187}

Provocative manoeuvres during manometry could in the future identify patients where peristaltic performance following fundoplication can modify the risk for postoperative dysphagia. Multiple rapid swallows (MRS) are often added to the manometric protocol as a marker for esophageal body peristaltic reserve. It has been shown that MRS testing before laparoscopic antireflux surgery is able to help predict late postoperative dysphagia.^{188 189}

29. Patients with GORD symptoms and severe hypocontractility or failed peristalsis on manometry are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 64.7%: A+ 8.8%, A 55.9%, A- 23.5%, D- 2.9%, D 8.8%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE D.

Hypocontractility is not a contraindication for antireflux surgery, since surgery more often than not improved these manometric abnormalities.¹⁸⁶ Further research is warranted since very little data exists on outcome of patients with the most severe hypocontractility or aperistalsis. Similar as described above (statement 28) is the importance of adding MRS during a manometric protocol, which is a marker of contractile reserve of the oesophagus.^{188 189} In addition, antireflux surgery can be tailored to each individual patient.

It has to be repeated that the main indication for manometry in patients with GORD considered for antireflux surgery is to identify patients with aperistalsis due to achalasia, who are candidates for fundoplication only when combined with myotomy of the LOS.

Reflux monitoring

30. Oesophageal pH (\pm impedance) monitoring off therapy is mandatory to select patients with NERD for antireflux surgery

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 97.1%: A+ 91.2%, A 5.9%, A- 0.0%, D- 2.9%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE B.

In the absence of oesophagitis (ie, presence of mucosal breaks), pathological GOR and/or positive reflux symptom association 'off' therapy should be documented before embarking to antireflux surgery.^{22 33 190-193} In the preoperative setting, the added value of impedance in patients 'off' therapy remains to be determined.

Data on preoperative assessment 'on' PPIs are scarce. Few uncontrolled and short studies suggest that good postoperative outcomes can be achieved in patients who are refractory to PPIs in whom pH-impedance monitoring demonstrated either an abnormal number of reflux episodes or positive symptom association analysis.^{33 194}

31. Oesophageal pH (\pm impedance) monitoring off therapy should be performed for selection for antireflux surgery of patients who have short Barrett's oesophagus in the absence of erosive oesophagitis.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 88.2%: A+ 41.2%, A 47.1%, A- 5.9%, D- 0.0%, D 5.9%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE B.

Oesophageal (impedance-) pH-monitoring off therapy should be performed in patients with short segment Barrett's oesophagus as it provides an objective quantification of patient's GOR.¹⁹⁰ This evaluation of PPI therapy would provide a baseline comparator in assessing the efficacy of acid-suppressive therapy and/or reflux-reducing therapy.

32. Patients with GORD symptoms and normal reflux exposure on pH (\pm impedance) monitoring off PPI therapy are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement endorsed, overall agreement 82.4%: A+ 17.6%, A 64.7%, A- 17.6%, D- 0.0%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%; GRADE B.

There is very limited data examining the outcomes of surgery in patients with normal reflux monitoring. This is in large part due to the fact that most of the studies evaluating outcomes of antireflux surgery require abnormal reflux monitoring as a criteria to be eligible for surgery.⁵⁶ Based on the available evidence, it would appear that patients with normal reflux exposure on pH (\pm impedance) monitoring off therapy are indeed poor candidates for antireflux surgery.

33a. Patients with GORD symptoms, a normal reflux exposure on pH (\pm impedance) monitoring off therapy and a positive symptom association are good candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 58.8%: A+ 14.7%, A 44.1%, A- 23.5%, D- 17.6%, D 0.0%, D+ 0.0%.

33b. Patients with GORD symptoms, a normal reflux exposure on pH (\pm impedance) monitoring off therapy and a positive reflux symptom association are good candidates for antireflux surgery, only if symptoms respond to PPI therapy.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 73.5%: A+ 11.8%, A 61.8%, A- 14.7%, D- 5.9%, D 5.9%, D+ 0.0%.

There is a very limited data examining the outcomes of surgery in patients with normal reflux monitoring. This is in large part due to the fact that most of the studies evaluating outcomes of antireflux surgery require abnormal reflux monitoring as a criteria to be eligible for surgery.⁵⁶ Some studies do suggest that reflux-hypersensitive patients with typical symptoms and an unsatisfactory response to PPIs may benefit from antireflux surgery with an outcome similar to the one of patients with pathological reflux.^{31 195} However, as mentioned above (statement 3), a recent study by Patel *et al* showed that pure acid sensitivity was a negative predictor for symptom improvement with antireflux therapy, including surgical management.³⁵ Results should therefore be interpreted with caution.

34a. Patients with GORD symptoms and pathological reflux exposure on pH (\pm impedance) monitoring off therapy and a negative reflux symptom association are eligible for antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 58.8%: A+ 5.9%, A 52.9%, A- 29.4%, D- 8.8%, D 2.9%, D+ 0.0%.

34b. Patients with GORD symptoms and pathological reflux exposure on pH (\pm impedance) monitoring off therapy and a negative reflux symptom association are eligible for antireflux surgery, only if symptoms respond to PPI therapy.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 66.7%: A+ 6.1%, A 60.6%, A- 9.1%, D- 6.1%, D 18.2%, D+ 0.0%.

The literature available suggests that patients with proven pathological acid exposure who do not experience symptoms during pH (\pm impedance) monitoring or presenting a negative symptom-reflux association may still obtain good results from anti-reflux surgery.^{33 192} Moreover, there is a subgroup of patients that is truly refractory to PPIs, with ongoing acid secretion.¹⁹³

35. Patients with pathological reflux exposure on pH (\pm impedance) monitoring on PPI who respond to baclofen therapy are good candidates for antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 20.6%: A+ 5.9%, A 14.7%, A- 61.8%, D- 2.9%, D 11.8%, D+ 2.9%.

Baclofen, a GABA B-agonist, is known to reduce the number of transient LOS relaxations and subsequently, it reduces all types of reflux, including weakly acidic reflux.¹⁹⁶ To date, there are no studies comparing baclofen with antireflux surgery, therefore it would be too speculative to say that patients responding to baclofen are good candidates for antireflux surgery. In the very recently published paediatric GOR clinical guidelines, the use of baclofen prior to antireflux surgery can be considered in

children in whom other pharmacological treatments have failed (weak recommendation).¹⁹⁷

Gastric emptying

36. A gastric emptying test for solid food is necessary to select patients with GORD with concomitant dyspeptic symptoms for antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 5.9%: A+ 2.9%, A 2.9%, A- 8.8%, D- 5.9%, D 67.6%, D+ 11.8%; GRADE C.

Studies performed to assess the role of a preoperative gastric emptying test in antireflux surgery have generated controversial results: some studies have shown that this evaluation is useful to select the best type of surgery and to avoid surgical failures, while others have denied the validity of such an approach.^{198–200} However, so far no study has been performed to establish whether the assessment of gastric emptying is relevant or not to favour success of surgery in patients with GORD with concomitant dyspepsia symptoms.

37. If the gastric emptying test is abnormal for solid food, patients should not undergo an antireflux surgery.

Statement *not* endorsed, overall agreement 2.9%: A+ 0.0%, A 2.9%, A- 0.0%, D- 20.6%, D 67.6%, D+ 8.8%; GRADE C.

Literature shows that there is no evidence to suggest that preoperative slow gastric emptying for solids is associated with a poor outcome after surgery with regard to reflux parameters.^{201 202} A study by Lundell *et al* suggests that a slow preoperative gastric emptying for solids is weakly associated with symptoms of bloating.²⁰² However, two other studies investigating the relationship between gastric emptying rates before and outcome after antireflux surgery could not confirm this.^{198 199} There is insufficient evidence to support the statement.

Recommendations

Based on the statements that generated consensus, a number of recommendations can be made for selecting patients for antireflux surgery. These are summarised in table 4.

The Delphi process also identified several areas of uncertainty, requiring further research. It is unclear whether patients with regurgitation as a main symptom, patients with NCCP, patients with extra-oesophageal manifestations of reflux and patients with dental erosions are good candidates for anti-reflux surgery (statements 2, 5 and 12). There is a lack of prospective controlled trials to support these statements. Patients with reflux hypersensitivity, patients with concomitant FD and IBS and patients with major psychiatric comorbidity are not considered good candidates for antireflux surgery (statements 3, 8 and 10). There is a need for additional markers of beneficial outcome of antireflux surgery in these patients, given the frequent overlap of GORD with FD and IBS symptoms. There is no consensus that patients with scleroderma are poor candidates for antireflux surgery (statement 7). It is unclear to which extent patients with Jackhammer (or Nutcracker) oesophagus or spasm on manometry are eligible for antireflux surgery (statements 26 and 27). The impact of oesophageal hypocontractility on the eligibility or type of antireflux surgery is unclear (statements 28 and 29). It is unclear whether patients with reflux hypersensitivity are eligible for antireflux surgery (statement 33). Finally, it is unclear whether patients with pathological reflux monitoring but negative symptom association are good candidates for antireflux surgery (statement 34).

It is important to stress that the decision of referring a patient for antireflux surgery has to take into account all positive as well as all negative support findings. Selecting patients suitable for

Table 4 Summary of the ICARUS guidelines

Recommendations	Based on statement(s)
Antireflux surgery can be considered for patients with typical symptoms of heartburn, with a good response to proton pump inhibitors (PPIs).	1
Patients with functional heartburn and patients with eosinophilic oesophagitis are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.	4, 6
Patients with morbid obesity and patients with substance abuse are not excluded from antireflux surgery.	9, 11
Endoscopy (during the last year) is mandatory prior to referral for antireflux surgery. There is no need to wean the patient off PPI for endoscopy.	13, 14
Patients with GORD symptoms and a hiatal hernia, Barrett's oesophagus or erosive oesophagitis grade B or higher at endoscopy are good candidates for antireflux surgery.	15, 16b, 18
Patients without erosive oesophagitis are not excluded from antireflux surgery.	17
There is no need to obtain routine biopsies of the distal oesophagus in patients considered for antireflux surgery.	19
A barium X-ray should be obtained in patients with suspicion of a hiatal hernia or short oesophagus when considered for antireflux surgery.	20
Patients with GORD symptoms and a hiatal hernia on X-ray are good candidates for antireflux surgery.	21, 22
Patients with GORD symptoms and a para-oesophageal hernia on X-ray are good candidates for antireflux surgery in addition to para-oesophageal hernia repair.	23
A short oesophagus on barium X-ray does not exclude the patient from antireflux surgery.	24
Oesophageal manometry and oesophageal pH monitoring (\pm impedance) are mandatory prior to referral for antireflux surgery. The latter is preferentially done off PPI and in patients with NERD.	25, 30, 31
Patients with normal pH-monitoring off PPI are poor candidates for antireflux surgery.	32
Response to baclofen does not enhance patient eligibility for antireflux surgery.	35
There is no need to assess gastric emptying rate in patients considered for antireflux surgery.	36, 37

antireflux surgery cannot be captured by one single statement and remains subject to guided clinical judgement and patient preference.

CONCLUSION

GORD, often accompanied by the typical reflux symptoms heartburn and regurgitation or by atypical reflux symptoms such as chronic cough and wheezing, is very common in the Western World.^{1 2} The first-line treatment for GORD is acid suppressive therapy, most often by PPI intake. PPIs have shown to be very effective in healing oesophagitis, however up to 40% of patients with GORD remain symptomatic while on an adequate dose of PPIs.^{5 6} Antireflux surgery is often recommended for patients with insufficient relief of symptoms during PPI intake, in case of intolerance to or anticipated long-term use of PPIs. However, to date, consensus guidelines defining clinical criteria and additional technical examinations that need to be performed for patient selection for antireflux surgery are lacking. Therefore, we aimed to develop the ICARUS guidelines using a Delphi process.

The Consensus Group defined several statements that may guide clinicians and surgeons in their decision to select patients for antireflux surgery. All patients require endoscopy, pH-monitoring off PPI and oesophageal manometry. The consensus

process also identified areas of uncertainty and some patient groups in whom referral for surgery should be avoided, such as functional heartburn.

Author affiliations

- ¹Department of Chronic Diseases, Metabolism and Ageing, Translational Research Center for Gastrointestinal Disorders, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
- ²Department of Surgical Oncology, Oncological and Vascular Access Surgery, Leuven, Belgium
- ³Department of Oncology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
- ⁴Division of Gastroenterology, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada
- ⁵Health Services Research, Durham University, Durham, UK
- ⁶Peninsula Oesophago-gastric Surgery Unit, Derriford Hospital, Plymouth, Plymouth, UK
- ⁷Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Hospital Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium
- ⁸Gastroenterology, Ege University School of Medicine, İzmir, Turkey
- ⁹Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- ¹⁰Digestive Diseases, Università Campus Bio Medico, Roma, Italy
- ¹¹Nottingham Digestive Diseases Biomedical Research Unit, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust and the University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
- ¹²Nottingham Digestive Diseases Centre, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
- ¹³Programa de Pós-Graduação: Ciências em Gastroenterologia e Hepatologia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil
- ¹⁴Division of Gastroenterology, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, Missouri, USA
- ¹⁵Gastroenterology, Haukeland Sykehus, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
- ¹⁶Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, USA
- ¹⁷Department of Thoracic Surgery, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
- ¹⁸Department of Surgery, Karolinska, Stockholm, Sweden
- ¹⁹Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Università degli Studi di Bologna, Bologna, Emilia-Romagna, Italy
- ²⁰Internal Medicine, Hyogo College of Medicine, Nishinomiya, Hyogo, Japan
- ²¹Department of Gastroenterology, Flinders University, Adelaide, Australia
- ²²Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, USA
- ²³Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Lombardia, Italy
- ²⁴Thoracic Surgery, Emeritus Staff Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland Clinic Lerner College of Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, USA
- ²⁵Neurosciences, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
- ²⁶Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties, Università di Genova, Genoa, Italy
- ²⁷Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University London, London, UK
- ²⁸Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Tokai University School of Medicine, Isehara, Kanagawa, Japan
- ²⁹Gastroenterology, Tiefenauerspital Bern, Bern, Switzerland
- ³⁰Gastroenterology, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, Arizona, USA
- ³¹Department of Surgery, Flinders Medical Centre, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia
- ³²Department of Gastroenterology, Bordeaux University Hospital, Université de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

Acknowledgements The ICARUS consensus was initiated under Jan Tack's presidency of the International Society for Diseases of the Esophagus (ISDE) and is endorsed by the European Society for Diseases of the Esophagus (ESDE), the European Society for Neurogastroenterology and Motility (ESNM) and the American Neurogastroenterology and Motility Society (ANMS) and the European Association for Gastroenterology, Endoscopy and Nutrition (EAGEN).

Contributors AP, VB, TV, NR and PhR contributed to the reviewing list of publications. AP and VB drafted all the statements, tracked the voting process and wrote the manuscript. TV, NR, PhR and JT contributed to the revision of the statements and involved in the voting process. JT contributed to the study concept and design. All the other authors also contributed to the revision of the statements and involved in the voting process. All authors contributed to the critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content and approval of the final manuscript.

Funding JT is supported by a Methusalem Grant from Leuven University. AP is funded by a personal grant from the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO). PhR is supported by Clinical Mandate from the Belgian Foundation against Cancer (Stichting tegen Kanker).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES

- 1 Vakil N, van Zanten SV, Kahrilas P, *et al*. The Montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global evidence-based consensus. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006;101:1900–20.
- 2 Ronkainen J, Aro P, Storskrubb T, *et al*. High prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux symptoms and esophagitis with or without symptoms in the general adult Swedish population: a Kalixanda study report. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2005;40:275–85.
- 3 Aziz Q, Fass R, Gyawali CP, *et al*. Esophageal Disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2016;150:1368–79.
- 4 Galmiche JP, Clouse RE, Bálint A, *et al*. Functional esophageal disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2006;130:1459–65.
- 5 Dean BB, Gano AD, Knight K, *et al*. Effectiveness of proton pump inhibitors in nonerosive reflux disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;2:656–64.
- 6 Fass R, Sifrim D. Management of heartburn not responding to proton pump inhibitors. *Gut* 2009;58:295–309.
- 7 Maret-Ouda J, Wahlin K, El-Serag HB, *et al*. Association Between Laparoscopic Antireflux Surgery and Recurrence of Gastroesophageal Reflux. *JAMA* 2017;318:939–46.
- 8 Galmiche J-P, Hatlebakk J, Attwood S, *et al*. Laparoscopic Antireflux Surgery vs Esomeprazole Treatment for Chronic GERD. *JAMA* 2011;305:1969–77.
- 9 Tack J. [Surgical treatment of gastroesophageal reflux: preoperative evaluation]. *Gastroenterol Clin Biol* 1999;23(1 Pt 2):S130–3.
- 10 Campos GM, Peters JH, DeMeester TR, *et al*. Multivariate analysis of factors predicting outcome after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *J Gastrointest Surg* 1999;3:292–300.
- 11 Jobe BA, Richter JE, Hoppo T, *et al*. Preoperative diagnostic workup before antireflux surgery: an evidence and experience-based consensus of the Esophageal Diagnostic Advisory Panel. *J Am Coll Surg* 2013;217:586–97.
- 12 Bennett C, Vakil N, Bergman J, *et al*. Consensus statements for management of Barrett's dysplasia and early-stage esophageal adenocarcinoma, based on a Delphi process. *Gastroenterology* 2012;143:336–46.
- 13 Mullen PM. Delphi: myths and reality. *J Health Organ Manag* 2003;17:37–52.
- 14 Powell C. The Delphi technique: myths and realities. *J Adv Nurs* 2003;41:376–82.
- 15 Murphy MK, Black NA, Lamping DL, *et al*. Consensus development methods, and their use in clinical guideline development. *Health Technol Assess* 1998;2(2):i1–iv88.
- 16 Tack J, Corsetti M, Camilleri M, *et al*. Plausibility criteria for putative pathophysiological mechanisms in functional gastrointestinal disorders: a consensus of experts. *Gut* 2018;67:1425–33.
- 17 Balshem H, Helfand M, Schünemann HJ, *et al*. GRADE guidelines: 3. Rating the quality of evidence. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2011;64:401–6.
- 18 Peters JH, DeMeester TR, Crookes P, *et al*. The treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease with laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication: prospective evaluation of 100 patients with "typical" symptoms. *Ann Surg* 1998;228:40–50.
- 19 Anvari M, Allen C. Five-year comprehensive outcomes evaluation in 181 patients after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *J Am Coll Surg* 2003;196:51–7.
- 20 Wilkerson PM, Stratford J, Jones L, *et al*. A poor response to proton pump inhibition is not a contraindication for laparoscopic antireflux surgery for gastro esophageal reflux disease. *Surg Endosc* 2005;19:1272–7.
- 21 Gillies RS, Stratford JM, Booth MI, *et al*. Does laparoscopic antireflux surgery improve quality of life in patients whose gastro-oesophageal reflux disease is well controlled with medical therapy? *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008;20:430–5.
- 22 Hayden J, Jamieson G. Optimization of outcome after laparoscopic antireflux surgery. *ANZ J Surg* 2006;76:258–63.
- 23 Whitehead WE, Palsson OS, Levy RL, *et al*. Reports of "satisfactory relief" by IBS patients receiving usual medical care are confounded by baseline symptom severity and do not accurately reflect symptom improvement. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006;101:1057–65.
- 24 Camilleri M, Mangel AW, Fehnel SE, *et al*. Primary endpoints for irritable bowel syndrome trials: a review of performance of endpoints. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5:534–40.
- 25 Schoenfeld P, Talley NJ. Measuring successful treatment of irritable bowel syndrome: is "satisfactory relief" enough? *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006;101:1066–8.
- 26 Kahrilas PJ, Jonsson A, Denison H, *et al*. Regurgitation is less responsive to acid suppression than heartburn in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012;10:612–9.
- 27 Kahrilas PJ, Howden CW, Hughes N. Response of regurgitation to proton pump inhibitor therapy in clinical trials of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011;106:1419–25.
- 28 Lundell L, Attwood S, Ell C, *et al*. Comparing laparoscopic antireflux surgery with esomeprazole in the management of patients with chronic gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a 3-year interim analysis of the LOTUS trial. *Gut* 2008;57:1207–13.
- 29 Lundell L, Miettinen P, Myrvold HE, *et al*. Comparison of outcomes twelve years after antireflux surgery or omeprazole maintenance therapy for reflux esophagitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;7:1292–8.

- 30 Bell RC, Hanna P, Brubaker S. Laparoscopic fundoplication for symptomatic but physiologic gastroesophageal reflux. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2001;5:462–7.
- 31 Broeders JA, Draaisma WA, Bredenoord AJ, et al. Oesophageal acid hypersensitivity is not a contraindication to Nissen fundoplication. *Br J Surg* 2009;96:1023–30.
- 32 Broeders JA, Draaisma WA, Bredenoord AJ, et al. Impact of symptom-reflux association analysis on long-term outcome after Nissen fundoplication. *Br J Surg* 2011;98:247–54.
- 33 Frazzoni M, Conigliaro R, Melotti G. Reflux parameters as modified by laparoscopic fundoplication in 40 patients with heartburn/regurgitation persisting despite PPI therapy: a study using impedance-pH monitoring. *Dig Dis Sci* 2011;56:1099–106.
- 34 Kushnir VM, Sayuk GS, Gyawali CP. Abnormal GERD parameters on ambulatory pH monitoring predict therapeutic success in noncardiac chest pain. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;105:1032–8.
- 35 Patel A, Sayuk GS, Gyawali CP. Prevalence, characteristics, and treatment outcomes of reflux hypersensitivity detected on pH-impedance monitoring. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2016;28:1382–90.
- 36 Blondeau K, Pauwels A, Boecxstaens V, et al. 1122 Affective Disorders, Somatization and Body Awareness are Associated With Positive Symptom Association During 24 Hour Impedance-pH Monitoring in Patients With Reflux Symptoms. *Gastroenterology* 2012;142:S-204–204.
- 37 Thompson SK, Cai W, Jamieson GG, et al. Recurrent symptoms after fundoplication with a negative pH study—recurrent reflux or functional heartburn? *J Gastrointest Surg* 2009;13:54–60.
- 38 Maradey-Romero C, Fass R. New therapies for non-cardiac chest pain. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* 2014;16:390.
- 39 George N, Abdallah J, Maradey-Romero C, et al. Review article: the current treatment of non-cardiac chest pain. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2016;43:213–39.
- 40 DeMeester TR, O'Sullivan GC, Bermudez G, et al. Esophageal function in patients with angina-type chest pain and normal coronary angiograms. *Ann Surg* 1982;196:488–98.
- 41 Patti MG, Molena D, Fisichella PM, et al. GERD) and chest pain. *Surg Endosc* 2002;16:563–6.
- 42 Rakita S, Villadolid D, Thomas A, et al. Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication offers high patient satisfaction with relief of extraesophageal symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am Surg* 2006;72:207–12.
- 43 So JB, Zeitels SM, Rattner DW. Outcomes of atypical symptoms attributed to gastroesophageal reflux treated by laparoscopic fundoplication. *Surgery* 1998;124:28–32.
- 44 Hamdy E, El Nakeeb A, Hamed H, et al. Outcome of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease in non-responders to proton pump inhibitors. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2014;18:1557–62.
- 45 Brown SR, Gyawali CP, Melman L, et al. Clinical outcomes of atypical extra-esophageal reflux symptoms following laparoscopic antireflux surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2011;25:3852–8.
- 46 Farrell TM, Richardson WS, Trus TL, et al. Response of atypical symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux to antireflux surgery. *Br J Surg* 2001;88:1649–52.
- 47 Zhang C, Wang ZG, Wu JM, et al. A preliminary investigation of laparoscopic fundoplication treatment on gastroesophageal reflux disease-related respiratory symptoms. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2012;22:406–9.
- 48 Koch OO, Antoniou SA, Kaindstorfer A, et al. Effectiveness of laparoscopic total and partial fundoplication on extraesophageal manifestations of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2012;22:387–91.
- 49 Spivak H, Smith CD, Phichith A, et al. Asthma and gastroesophageal reflux: fundoplication decreases need for systemic corticosteroids. *J Gastrointest Surg* 1999;3:477–82.
- 50 Irwin RS, Zawacki JK, Wilson MM, et al. Chronic cough due to gastroesophageal reflux disease: failure to resolve despite total/near-total elimination of esophageal acid. *Chest* 2002;121:1132–40.
- 51 Novitsky YW, Zawacki JK, Irwin RS, et al. Chronic cough due to gastroesophageal reflux disease: efficacy of antireflux surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2002;16:567–71.
- 52 Allen CJ, Anvari M. Does laparoscopic fundoplication provide long-term control of gastroesophageal reflux related cough? *Surg Endosc* 2004;18:633–7.
- 53 Faruqi S, Sedman P, Jackson W, et al. Fundoplication in chronic intractable cough. *Cough* 2012;8:3.
- 54 Kirkby-Bott J, Jones E, Perring S, et al. Proximal acid reflux treated by fundoplication predicts a good outcome for chronic cough attributable to gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2011;396:167–71.
- 55 Hoppo T, Komatsu Y, Jobe BA. Antireflux surgery in patients with chronic cough and abnormal proximal exposure as measured by hypopharyngeal multichannel intraluminal impedance. *JAMA Surg* 2013;148:608–15.
- 56 Francis DO, Goutte M, Slaughter JC, et al. Traditional reflux parameters and not impedance monitoring predict outcome after fundoplication in extraesophageal reflux. *Laryngoscope* 2011;37:n/a–2009.
- 57 Iqbal M, Batch AJ, Moorthy K, et al. Outcome of surgical fundoplication for extra-oesophageal symptoms of reflux. *Surg Endosc* 2009;23:557–61.
- 58 Oelschlager BK, Quiroga E, Parra JD, et al. Long-term outcomes after laparoscopic antireflux surgery. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;103:280–7.
- 59 Ranson ME, Danielson A, Maxwell JG, et al. Prospective study of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication in a community hospital and its effect on typical, atypical, and nonspecific gastrointestinal symptoms. *J Soc Laparoendosc Surg* 2007;11:66–71.
- 60 Tutuian R, Mainie I, Agrawal A, et al. Nonacid reflux in patients with chronic cough on acid-suppressive therapy. *Chest* 2006;130:386–91.
- 61 Kaufman JA, Houghland JE, Quiroga E, et al. Long-term outcomes of laparoscopic antireflux surgery for gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD)-related airway disorder. *Surg Endosc* 2006;20:1824–30.
- 62 Brouwer R, Kiroff GK. Improvement of respiratory symptoms following laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *ANZ J Surg* 2003;73:189–93.
- 63 Thoman DS, Hui TT, Spyrou M, et al. Laparoscopic antireflux surgery and its effect on cough in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2002;6:17–21.
- 64 Greason KL, Miller DL, Deschamps C, et al. Effects of antireflux procedures on respiratory symptoms. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2002;73:381–5.
- 65 Allen CJ, Anvari M. Preoperative symptom evaluation and esophageal acid infusion predict response to laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication in gastroesophageal reflux patients who present with cough. *Surg Endosc* 2002;16:1037–41.
- 66 Patti MG, Arcerito M, Tamburini A, et al. Effect of laparoscopic fundoplication on gastroesophageal reflux disease-induced respiratory symptoms. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2000;4:143–9.
- 67 Ekström T, Johansson KE. Effects of anti-reflux surgery on chronic cough and asthma in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Respir Med* 2000;94:1166–70.
- 68 van der Westhuizen L, Von SJ, Wilkerson BJ, et al. Impact of Nissen fundoplication on laryngopharyngeal reflux symptoms. *Am Surg* 2011;77:878–82.
- 69 Mazzini GS, Gurski RR. Impact of laparoscopic fundoplication for the treatment of laryngopharyngeal reflux: review of the literature. *Int J Otolaryngol* 2012;2012:1–4.
- 70 Blondeau K, Dupont LJ, Mertens V, et al. Improved diagnosis of gastro-oesophageal reflux in patients with unexplained chronic cough. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2007;25:723–32.
- 71 Sifrim D, Dupont L, Blondeau K, et al. Weakly acidic reflux in patients with chronic unexplained cough during 24 hour pressure, pH, and impedance monitoring. *Gut* 2005;54:449–54.
- 72 Birring SS, Fleming T, Matos S, et al. The Leicester Cough Monitor: preliminary validation of an automated cough detection system in chronic cough. *Eur Respir J* 2008;31:1013–8.
- 73 Smith JA, Woodcock A. Chronic Cough. *N Engl J Med Overseas Ed* 2016;375:1544–51.
- 74 Kelly KJ, Lazenby AJ, Rowe PC, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis attributed to gastroesophageal reflux: improvement with an amino acid-based formula. *Gastroenterology* 1995;109:1503–12.
- 75 Liacouras CA. Failed Nissen fundoplication in two patients who had persistent vomiting and eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Pediatr Surg* 1997;32:1504–6.
- 76 Furuta GT. Eosinophilic esophagitis: an emerging clinicopathologic entity. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2002;2:67–72.
- 77 Dellon ES, Farrell TM, Bozyski EM, et al. Diagnosis of eosinophilic esophagitis after fundoplication for 'refractory reflux': implications for preoperative evaluation. *Dis Esophagus* 2010;23:191–5.
- 78 Sá CC, Kishi HS, Silva-Werneck AL, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis in patients with typical gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms refractory to proton pump inhibitor. *Clinics* 2011;66:557–61.
- 79 Poirier NC, Taillefer R, Topart P, et al. Antireflux operations in patients with scleroderma. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1994;58:66–73.
- 80 Watson DJ, Jamieson GG, Bessell JR, et al. Laparoscopic fundoplication in patients with an aperistaltic esophagus and gastroesophageal reflux. *Dis Esophagus* 2006;19:94–8.
- 81 Kent MS, Luketich JD, Irshad K, et al. Comparison of surgical approaches to recalcitrant gastroesophageal reflux disease in the patient with scleroderma. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;84:1710–6.
- 82 Mansour KA, Malone CE. Surgery for scleroderma of the esophagus: A 12-year experience. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1995;60:227.
- 83 Ebert EC. Esophageal disease in scleroderma. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2006;40:769–75.
- 84 Sallam H, McNearney TA, Chen JD. Systematic review: pathophysiology and management of gastrointestinal dysmotility in systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2006;23:691–712.
- 85 Mansour KA, Malone CE. Surgery for scleroderma of the esophagus: a 12-year experience. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1988;46:513–4.
- 86 Yan J, Strong AT, Sharma G, et al. Surgical management of gastroesophageal reflux disease in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Surg Endosc* 2018;32:3855–60.
- 87 Stern EK, Carlson DA, Falmagne S, et al. Abnormal esophageal acid exposure on high-dose proton pump inhibitor therapy is common in systemic sclerosis patients. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2018;30:e13247. epub ahead of print.
- 88 Aggarwal N, Lopez R, Gabbard S, et al. Spectrum of esophageal dysmotility in systemic sclerosis on high-resolution esophageal manometry as defined by Chicago classification. *Dis Esophagus* 2017;30:1–6.
- 89 Chan WW, Haroian LR, Gyawali CP. Value of preoperative esophageal function studies before laparoscopic antireflux surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2011;25:2943–9.

- 90 Stanghellini V, Chan FK, Hasler WL, et al. Gastrointestinal Disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2016;150:1380–92.
- 91 Sifrim D, Zerbib F. Diagnosis and management of patients with reflux symptoms refractory to proton pump inhibitors. *Gut* 2012;61:1340–54.
- 92 Furnée EJ, Draaisma WA, Hazebroek EJ, et al. Dyspeptic symptoms after laparoscopic large hiatal hernia repair and primary antireflux surgery for gastroesophageal reflux disease: a comparative study. *Dig Surg* 2010;27:487–91.
- 93 Lacy BE, Mearin F, Chang L, et al. Bowel disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2016;150:1393–407.
- 94 Raftopoulos Y, Pappas P, Landreneau R, et al. Clinical outcome of laparoscopic antireflux surgery for patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Surg Endosc* 2004;18:655–9.
- 95 Axelrod DA, Divi V, Ajluni MM, et al. Influence of functional bowel disease on outcome of surgical antireflux procedures. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2002;6:632–7.
- 96 Barak N, Ehrenpreis ED, Harrison JR, et al. Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in obesity: pathophysiological and therapeutic considerations. *Obes Rev* 2002;3:9–15.
- 97 Locke GR, Talley NJ, Fett SL, et al. Risk factors associated with symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux. *Am J Med* 1999;106:642–9.
- 98 Fraser J, Watson DI, O'Boyle CJ, et al. Obesity and its effect on outcome of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *Dis Esophagus* 2001;14:50–3.
- 99 Winslow ER, Frisella MM, Soper NJ, et al. Obesity does not adversely affect the outcome of laparoscopic antireflux surgery (LARS). *Surg Endosc* 2003;17:2003–11.
- 100 Anvari M, Bamehriz F. Outcome of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication in patients with body mass index ≥ 35 . *Surg Endosc* 2006;20:230–4.
- 101 Chisholm JA, Jamieson GG, Lally CJ, et al. The effect of obesity on the outcome of laparoscopic antireflux surgery. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2009;13:1064–70.
- 102 Perez AR, Moncure AC, Rattner DW. Obesity adversely affects the outcome of antireflux operations. *Surg Endosc* 2001;15:986–9.
- 103 Kamolz T, Bammer T, Grandrath FA, et al. Laparoscopic antireflux surgery in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease patients with concomitant anxiety disorders. *Dig Liver Dis* 2001;33:659–64.
- 104 Kamolz T, Bammer T, Pointner R. The effects of laparoscopic antireflux surgery on GERD patients with concomitant anxiety disorders. *Surg Endosc* 2002;16:1247.
- 105 Velanovich V, Karmy-Jones R. Psychiatric disorders affect outcomes of antireflux operations for gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Surg Endosc* 2001;15:171–5.
- 106 Velanovich V. The effect of chronic pain syndromes and psychoemotional disorders on symptomatic and quality-of-life outcomes of antireflux surgery. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2003;7:53–8.
- 107 Dibley LB, Norton CS, Jones R. Is there a role for lifestyle education in the management of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease? *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;21:1229–40.
- 108 Seidl H, Gundling F, Schepp W, et al. Effect of low-proof alcoholic beverages on duodenogastro-oesophageal reflux in health and GERD. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2011;23:145–e29.
- 109 Pehl C, Wendl B, Pfeiffer A. White wine and beer induce gastro-oesophageal reflux in patients with reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2006;23:1581–6.
- 110 Kaltenbach T, Crockett S, Gerson LB. Are lifestyle measures effective in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease? An evidence-based approach. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;166:965–71.
- 111 Kraichely RE, Arora AS, Murray JA. Opiate-induced oesophageal dysmotility. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2010;31:601–6.
- 112 Penagini R, Picone A, Bianchi PA. Effect of morphine and naloxone on motor response of the human esophagus to swallowing and distension. *Am J Physiol* 1996;271:G675–G680.
- 113 Madan A, Minocha A. Despite high satisfaction, majority of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease patients continue to use proton pump inhibitors after antireflux surgery. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2006;23:601–5.
- 114 Niebisch S, Fleming FJ, Galey KM, et al. Perioperative risk of laparoscopic fundoplication: safer than previously reported—analysis of the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program 2005 to 2009. *J Am Coll Surg* 2012;215:61–8.
- 115 Pauwels A. Dental erosions and other extra-oesophageal symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: Evidence, treatment response and areas of uncertainty. *United European Gastroenterol J* 2015;3:166–70.
- 116 Marsicano JA, de Moura-Grec PG, Bonato RC, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux, dental erosion, and halitosis in epidemiological surveys: a systematic review. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013;25:135–41.
- 117 Gregory-Head BL, Curtis DA, Kim L, et al. Evaluation of dental erosion in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *J Prosthet Dent* 2000;83:0675–80.
- 118 Wang GR, Zhang H, Wang ZG, et al. Relationship between dental erosion and respiratory symptoms in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *J Dent* 2010;38:892–8.
- 119 Wilder-Smith PE, Wilder-Smith CH, Kawakami-Wong H, et al. W1825 Quantification of Dental Erosions in GERD Using Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT): An Interventional Placebo-Controlled Study with Esomeprazole. *Gastroenterology* 2009;136:A-733–0.
- 120 Bartlett DW, Evans DF, Anggiansah A, et al. A study of the association between gastro-oesophageal reflux and palatal dental erosion. *Br Dent J* 1996;181:125–31.
- 121 Bartlett DW, Evans DF, Smith BG. The relationship between gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and dental erosion. *J Oral Rehabil* 1996;23:289–97.
- 122 Corrêa MC, Lercro MM, Cunha ML, et al. Salivary parameters and teeth erosions in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Arq Gastroenterol* 2012;49:214–8.
- 123 Wilder-Smith CH, Materna A, Martig L, et al. Longitudinal study of gastroesophageal reflux and erosive tooth wear. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2017;17:113.
- 124 Sarani B, Scanlon J, Jackson P, et al. Selection criteria among gastroenterologists and surgeons for laparoscopic antireflux surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2002;16:57–63.
- 125 Lundell L, Hatlebakk J, Galmiche JP, et al. Long-term effect on symptoms and quality of life of maintenance therapy with esomeprazole 20 mg daily: a post hoc analysis of the LOTUS trial. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2015;31:65–73.
- 126 Durand L, De Antón R, Caracocha M, et al. Short esophagus: selection of patients for surgery and long-term results. *Surg Endosc* 2012;26:704–13.
- 127 Koch OO, Schurich M, Antoniou SA, et al. Predictability of hiatal hernia/defect size: is there a correlation between pre- and intraoperative findings? *Hernia* 2014;18:883–8.
- 128 Gordon C, Kang JY, Neild PJ, et al. The role of the hiatus hernia in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2004;20:719–32.
- 129 van Herwaarden MA, Samsom M, Smout AJ. Excess gastroesophageal reflux in patients with hiatus hernia is caused by mechanisms other than transient LES relaxations. *Gastroenterology* 2000;119:1439–46.
- 130 Jones MP, Sloan SS, Rabine JC, et al. Hiatal hernia size is the dominant determinant of esophagitis presence and severity in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001;96:1711–7.
- 131 Franzén T, Tibbling L. Is the severity of gastroesophageal reflux dependent on hiatus hernia size? *World J Gastroenterol* 2014;20:1582–4.
- 132 Armstrong D, Marshall JK, Chiba N, et al. Canadian Consensus Conference on the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease in adults - update 2004. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2005;19:15–35.
- 133 Power C, Maguire D, McAnena O. Factors contributing to failure of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication and the predictive value of preoperative assessment. *Am J Surg* 2004;187:457–63.
- 134 Lundell LR, Dent J, Bennett JR, et al. Endoscopic assessment of oesophagitis: clinical and functional correlates and further validation of the Los Angeles classification. *Gut* 1999;45:172–80.
- 135 Lind T, Havelund T, Carlsson R, et al. Heartburn without oesophagitis: efficacy of omeprazole therapy and features determining therapeutic response. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1997;32:974–9.
- 136 Isolauri J, Luostarinen M, Isolauri E, et al. Natural course of gastroesophageal reflux disease: 17-22 year follow-up of 60 patients. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1997;92:37–41.
- 137 Broeders JA, Draaisma WA, Bredenoord AJ, et al. Long-term outcome of Nissen fundoplication in non-erosive and erosive gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Br J Surg* 2010;97:845–52.
- 138 Laine S, Rantala A, Gullichsen R, et al. Laparoscopic vs conventional Nissen fundoplication. A prospective randomized study. *Surg Endosc* 1997;11:441–4.
- 139 Lee K, Lee D. Factors associated with symptoms manifestations in erosive esophagitis. *United Eur Gastroenterol J* 2013;1(1 Suppl):A563.
- 140 Hatlebakk JG, Zerbib F, Bruley des Varannes S, et al. Gastroesophageal Acid Reflux Control 5 Years After Antireflux Surgery, Compared With Long-term Esomeprazole Therapy. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016;14:678–85.
- 141 Havelund T, Lind T, Wiklund I, et al. Quality of life in patients with heartburn but without esophagitis: effects of treatment with omeprazole. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999;94:1782–9.
- 142 Wiklund I. Quality of life in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001;96:S46–S53.
- 143 Weijenborg PW, Cremonini F, Smout AJ, et al. PPI therapy is equally effective in well-defined non-erosive reflux disease and in reflux esophagitis: a meta-analysis. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2012;24:747–e350.
- 144 McDonald ML, Trastek VF, Allen MS, et al. Barrett's esophagus: does an antireflux procedure reduce the need for endoscopic surveillance? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1996;111:1135–40.
- 145 Csendes A, Braghetto I, Burdiles P, et al. Long-term results of classic antireflux surgery in 152 patients with Barrett's esophagus: clinical, radiologic, endoscopic, manometric, and acid reflux test analysis before and late after operation. *Surgery* 1998;123:645–57.
- 146 Parrilla P, Martinez de Haro LF, Ortiz A, et al. Standard antireflux operations in patients who have Barrett's esophagus. Current results. *Chest Surg Clin N Am* 2002;12:113–26.
- 147 Abbas AE, Deschamps C, Cassivi SD, et al. Barrett's esophagus: the role of laparoscopic fundoplication. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004;77:393–6.
- 148 Ozmen V, Oran ES, Gorgun E, et al. Histologic and clinical outcome after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease and Barrett's esophagus. *Surg Endosc* 2006;20:226–9.
- 149 Mohamed M, Mahran M, Zaazou Z. Saudi journal of gastroenterology : official journal of the Saudi Gastroenterology Association Impact of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication on non-complicated Barrett's esophagus. *Saudi J Gastroenterol* 2011;17:185–8.

- 150 Simonka Z, Paszt A, Abraham S, *et al.* The effects of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication on Barrett's esophagus: long-term results. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2012;47:13–21.
- 151 Cowgill SM, Al-Saadi S, Villadolid D, *et al.* Does Barrett's esophagus impact outcome after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication? *Am J Surg* 2006;192:622–6.
- 152 Parrilla P, Martínez de Haro LF, Ortiz A, *et al.* Barrett's esophagus without esophageal stricture does not increase the rate of failure of Nissen fundoplication. *Ann Surg* 2003;237:488–93.
- 153 Chen LQ, Ferraro P, Martin J, *et al.* Antireflux surgery for Barrett's esophagus: comparative results of the Nissen and Collis-Nissen operations. *Dis Esophagus* 2005;18:320–8.
- 154 Corey KE, Schmitz SM, Shaheen NJ. Does a surgical antireflux procedure decrease the incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma in Barrett's esophagus? A meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003;98:2390–4.
- 155 Dellon ES. Eosinophilic Esophagitis. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2013;42:133–53.
- 156 Müller M, Eckardt AJ, Fisseler-Eckhoff A, *et al.* Endoscopic findings in patients with Schatzki rings: evidence for an association with eosinophilic esophagitis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012;18:6960–6.
- 157 Hirano I. Editorial: Should patients with suspected eosinophilic esophagitis undergo a therapeutic trial of proton pump inhibition? *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013;108:373–5.
- 158 Schroeder S, Capocelli KE, Masterson JC, *et al.* Effect of proton pump inhibitor on esophageal eosinophilia. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2013;56:166–72.
- 159 Sridhara S, Ravi K, Smyrk TC, *et al.* Increased numbers of eosinophils, rather than only etiology, predict histologic changes in patients with esophageal eosinophilia. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012;10:735–41.
- 160 Cools-Lartigue J, Chang SY, Mckendy K, *et al.* Pattern of esophageal eosinophilic infiltration in patients with achalasia and response to Heller myotomy and Dor fundoplication. *Dis Esophagus* 2013;26:766–75.
- 161 Miller SM, Goldstein JL, Gerson LB. Cost-effectiveness model of endoscopic biopsy for eosinophilic esophagitis in patients with refractory GERD. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011;106:1439–45.
- 162 Horvath KD, Swanstrom LL, Jobe BA. The short esophagus: pathophysiology, incidence, presentation, and treatment in the era of laparoscopic antireflux surgery. *Ann Surg* 2000;232:630–40.
- 163 Mattioli S, Lugaresi ML, Costantini M, *et al.* The short esophagus: intraoperative assessment of esophageal length. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2008;136:834–41.
- 164 Gastal OL, Hagen JA, Peters JH, *et al.* Short esophagus: analysis of predictors and clinical implications. *Arch Surg* 1999;134:633–8.
- 165 Baker ME, Einstein DM, Herts BR, *et al.* Gastroesophageal reflux disease: integrating the barium esophagram before and after antireflux surgery. *Radiology* 2007;243:329–39.
- 166 Mattioli S, Lugaresi ML, Di Simone MP, *et al.* The surgical treatment of the intrathoracic migration of the gastro-oesophageal junction and of short oesophagus in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2004;25:1079–88.
- 167 Mattioli S, D'Ovidio F, Di Simone MP, *et al.* Clinical and surgical relevance of the progressive phases of intrathoracic migration of the gastroesophageal junction in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1998;116:267–75.
- 168 Broeders JA, Roks DJ, Draaisma WA, *et al.* Predictors of objectively identified recurrent reflux after primary Nissen fundoplication. *Br J Surg* 2011;98:673–9.
- 169 Morgenthal CB, Lin E, Shane MD, *et al.* Who will fail laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication? Preoperative prediction of long-term outcomes. *Surg Endosc* 2007;21:1978–84.
- 170 Riedl O, Gadenstätter M, Lechner W, *et al.* Preoperative lower esophageal sphincter manometry data neither impact manifestations of GERD nor outcome after laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2009;13:1189–97.
- 171 Fornari F, Gurski RR, Navarini D, *et al.* Clinical utility of endoscopy and barium swallow X-ray in the diagnosis of sliding hiatal hernia in morbidly obese patients: a study before and after gastric bypass. *Obes Surg* 2010;20:702–8.
- 172 Müller-Stich BP, Achtstätter V, Diener MK, *et al.* Repair of paraesophageal hiatal hernias—is a fundoplication needed? A randomized controlled pilot trial. *J Am Coll Surg* 2015;221:602–10.
- 173 Mori T, Nagao G, Sugiyama M. Paraesophageal hernia repair. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2012;18:297–305.
- 174 Draaisma WA, Gooszen HG, Tournioj E, *et al.* Controversies in paraesophageal hernia repair: a review of literature. *Surg Endosc* 2005;19:1300–8.
- 175 Watson DI. Evolution and development of surgery for large paraesophageal hiatus hernia. *World J Surg* 2011;35:1436–41.
- 176 Morris-Stiff G, Hassn A. Laparoscopic paraesophageal hernia repair: fundoplication is not usually indicated. *Hernia* 2008;12:299–302.
- 177 Kessing BF, Bredenoord AJ, Smout AJ. Erroneous diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux disease in achalasia. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011;9:1020–4.
- 178 Kahrilas PJ, Bredenoord AJ, Fox M, *et al.* The Chicago Classification of esophageal motility disorders, v3.0. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2015;27:160–74.
- 179 Myers JC, Nguyen NQ, Jamieson GG, *et al.* Susceptibility to dysphagia after fundoplication revealed by novel automated impedance manometry analysis. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2012;24:812–e393.
- 180 Dell'Acqua-Cassão B, Mardiros-Herbella FA, Farah JF, *et al.* Outcomes of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication in patients with manometric patterns of esophageal motility disorders. *Am Surg* 2013;79:361–5.
- 181 Crespin OM, Tatum RP, Yates RB, *et al.* Esophageal hypermotility: cause or effect? *Dis Esophagus* 2016;29:497–502.
- 182 Barreca M, Oelschläger BK, Pellegrini CA. Outcomes of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication in patients with the "hypercontractile esophagus". *Arch Surg* 2002;137:724–8.
- 183 Eherer AJ, Schwetz I, Hammer HF, *et al.* Effect of sildenafil on oesophageal motor function in healthy subjects and patients with oesophageal motor disorders. *Gut* 2002;50:758–64.
- 184 Bashashati M, Andrews C, Ghosh S, *et al.* Botulinum toxin in the treatment of diffuse esophageal spasm. *Dis Esophagus* 2010;23:554–60.
- 185 Shiwaku H, Inoue H, Beppu R, *et al.* Successful treatment of diffuse esophageal spasm by peroral endoscopic myotomy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2013;77:149–50.
- 186 Munitiz V, Ortiz A, Martínez de Haro LF, *et al.* Ineffective oesophageal motility does not affect the clinical outcome of open Nissen fundoplication. *Br J Surg* 2004;91:1010–4.
- 187 Bremner RM, DeMeester TR, Crookes PF, *et al.* The effect of symptoms and nonspecific motility abnormalities on outcomes of surgical therapy for gastroesophageal reflux disease. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1994;107:1244–50.
- 188 Shaker A, Stoikes N, Drapekin J, *et al.* Multiple rapid swallow responses during esophageal high-resolution manometry reflect esophageal body peristaltic reserve. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2013;108:1706–12.
- 189 Stoikes N, Drapekin J, Kushnir V, *et al.* The value of multiple rapid swallows during preoperative esophageal manometry before laparoscopic antireflux surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2012;26:3401–7.
- 190 Kauer WK, Peters JH, DeMeester TR, *et al.* Mixed reflux of gastric and duodenal juices is more harmful to the esophagus than gastric juice alone. The need for surgical therapy re-emphasized. *Ann Surg* 1995;222:525–31.
- 191 Tack J, Koek G, Demedts I, *et al.* Gastroesophageal reflux disease poorly responsive to single-dose proton pump inhibitors in patients without Barrett's esophagus: acid reflux, bile reflux, or both? *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004;99:981–8.
- 192 Chin KF, Myers JC, Jamieson GG, *et al.* Symptoms experienced during 24-h pH monitoring and their relationship to outcome after laparoscopic total fundoplication. *Dis Esophagus* 2008;21:445–51.
- 193 Frazzoni M, Conigliaro R, Melotti G. Weakly acidic refluxes have a major role in the pathogenesis of proton pump inhibitor-resistant reflux oesophagitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2011;33:601–6.
- 194 Mainie I, Tutuian R, Agrawal A, *et al.* Combined multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH monitoring to select patients with persistent gastro-oesophageal reflux for laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication. *Br J Surg* 2006;93:1483–7.
- 195 del Genio G, Tolone S, del Genio F, *et al.* Prospective assessment of patient selection for antireflux surgery by combined multichannel intraluminal impedance pH monitoring. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2008;12:1491–6.
- 196 Vela MF, Tutuian R, Katz PO, *et al.* Baclofen decreases acid and non-acid post-prandial gastro-oesophageal reflux measured by combined multichannel intraluminal impedance and pH. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003;17:243–51.
- 197 Rosen R, Vandenplas Y, Singendonk M, *et al.* Pediatric Gastroesophageal Reflux Clinical Practice Guidelines: Joint Recommendations of the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition and the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2018;66:516–54.
- 198 Maddern GJ, Jamieson GG. Fundoplication enhances gastric emptying. *Ann Surg* 1985;201:296–9.
- 199 Schuchert MJ, Pettiford BL, Abbas G, *et al.* The use of esophageal transit and gastric emptying studies in the evaluation of patients undergoing laparoscopic fundoplication. *Surg Endosc* 2010;24:3119–26.
- 200 Wayman J, Myers JC, Jamieson GG. Preoperative gastric emptying and patterns of reflux as predictors of outcome after laparoscopic fundoplication. *Br J Surg* 2007;94:592–8.
- 201 Viljakka M, Saali K, Koskinen M, *et al.* Antireflux surgery enhances gastric emptying. *Arch Surg* 1999;134:18–21.
- 202 Lundell LR, Myers JC, Jamieson GG. Delayed gastric emptying and its relationship to symptoms of "gas float" after antireflux surgery. *Eur J Surg* 1994;160:161–6.
- 203 Rona KA, Reynolds J, Schwameis K, *et al.* Efficacy of magnetic sphincter augmentation in patients with large hiatal hernias. *Surg Endosc* 2017;31:2096–102.
- 204 Buckley FP, Bell RCW, Freeman K, *et al.* Favorable results from a prospective evaluation of 200 patients with large hiatal hernias undergoing LINX magnetic sphincter augmentation. *Surg Endosc* 2018;32:1762–8.