PTH-130 THE EFFICACY OF TWO COMMERCIALY AVAILABLE SERUM CALPROTECTIN ASSAYS TO ASSESS DISEASE ACTIVITY IN IBD

¹Sarah Townsend*, ²Michael Udegbune, ¹Rachel Molyneux, ¹Helen Steed, ¹Ashit Shah, ^{2,3}Rousseau Gama, ²Ben Allen¹, Hayley Sharrod-cole, ¹Mohmad Shakil Kathawala, ¹Venkata Maddirala, ²Clare Ford, ¹Yaqsha Hussain, ^{1,3}Matthew Brookes. ¹New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton, Wolverhampton, UK; ²Clinical Chemistry Department, Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust, Wolverhampton, UK; ³University of Wolverhampton, Wolverhampton, UK

10.1136/gutjnl-2019-BSGAbstracts.189

Background Biomarkers of disease activity in IBD have variable performance when it comes to sensitivity and specificity. The clinical use of serum calprotectin remains unclear, but commercially available assays are now available. Previous studies have suggested that serum calprotectin (s-Cp) may have a role in managing IBD. Studies have shown an association between s-Cp and the recurrence of Crohn's disease in the STORI cohort.¹ These studies did however show that s-Cp has a similar profile in CD to that offered by C-reactive protein. Others have investigated its role in the unselected evaluation of Gastroenterology patients and found that its performance in these cases is disappointing and unlikely to be of value.² There remains uncertainty about the role of s-Cp in the management of patients with IBD.

Design Patients attending the IBD clinic between July 2017 and December 2017 were assessed and standard blood tests and a faecal calprotectin were collected. Serum was analysed using two commercially available calprotectin assays (Buhlmann and Immunodiagnosics). Additional data recorded included demographics, disease classification and an assessment of the clinical interpretation of their disease activity.

Results There was no difference seen in s-Cp in UC patients comparing active vs quiescent UC, except in those with pancolitis (Montreal E3) using the Buhlmann assay (median 5098 vs 3502 ng/mL; $p = 0.0362$).

Median s-Cp was, however, significantly higher in patients with active CD than in those with quiescent CD for both assays (5507 vs 3830 ng/mL; $p = 0.0001$ (Buhlmann assay) and 5131 vs 2994 ng/mL; $p = 0.0003$ (Immunodiagnosics)). The difference in s-Cp remained significant in patients with both small ($p = 0.008$) and large bowel CD ($p = 0.005$), with similar results for each serological test.

Serum calprotectin correlated with faecal calprotectin in all patients with IBD ($r = 0.2362$; $p = 0.0051$ (Buhlmann) and $r = 0.2183$; $p = 0.0098$ (Immunodiagnosics)).

Similarly, s-Cp correlated with serum CRP in individuals with IBD and specifically CD ($r = 0.4865$; $p < 0.0001$ (Buhlmann) and $r = 0.5016$; $p < 0.0001$ (Immunodiagnosics)).

Conclusions There does not appear to be any significant association with the confirmation of ulcerative colitis disease activity. In patients with CD there appears to be a significant association between s-Cp, for both commercially available assays, and the presence of active disease irrespective of the disease location.

S-Cp also appears to significantly correlate positively with other markers of disease activity in patients with active and inactive IBD. Additional data analysis suggests that s-Cp offers a potential benefit over currently available biomarkers, specifically in patients with CD.

REFERENCE

1. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crohns.2013.06.008>
2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2017.01.006>

PTH-131 MULTI-CENTRE COMPARATIVE EFFECTIVENESS OF VEDOLIZUMAB AND USTEKINUMAB AS INDUCTION THERAPY IN ANTI-TNF REFRACTORY CROHN'S DISEASE

Royal Liverpool University Hospital Tristan Townsend^{1*}, ²Violeta Razanskaite, ¹Stephanie Michail, ¹James Morgan, ¹Michael Davies, ¹Daneil Storey, ³Christopher Watters, ³Douglas Penman, ⁴Mira Swaminathan, ³Joseph Sabine, ²Adam Chapman, ⁴Ian Reilly, ³Paul Flanagan, ²Keith Bodger, ¹Sreedhar Subramanian. ¹Royal Liverpool University Hospital, Liverpool, UK; ²Aintree University Hospital, Liverpool, UK; ³Arrows Park Hospital, Upton, UK; ⁴Countess of Chester Hospital, Chester, UK

10.1136/gutjnl-2019-BSGAbstracts.190

Introduction Anti-tumour necrosis factor (TNF) agents are effective in Crohn's disease (CD), but up to 30% of patients fail to respond or develop intolerance and require alternative biological therapy. Both vedolizumab and ustekinumab are licensed to treat anti-TNF refractory CD patients. Clinical trials of vedolizumab and ustekinumab in anti-TNF refractory patients seem to suggest comparable efficacy, but no real life data exist to enable clinicians to guide decision-making. We conducted a multi-centre retrospective cohort study to assess the comparative effectiveness of vedolizumab and ustekinumab in treating anti-TNF refractory CD.

Methods CD patients who were commenced on vedolizumab or ustekinumab following exposure to anti-TNF therapy were included. Disease activity was monitored serially by calculation of Harvey-Bradshaw index (HBI) for up to 4 months. Faecal calprotectin (FC) at baseline and subsequent visits were recorded if available. Clinical response was defined as decrease in HBI ≥ 3 and remission by HBI < 5 . We compared the effectiveness of ustekinumab and vedolizumab.

Results After exclusion of patients without evaluable data, 51 patients commencing vedolizumab and 25 commencing ustekinumab therapy were included. Baseline characteristics (age, disease location, behaviour, smoking status and baseline FC) were comparable in both cohorts. Clinical response, remission and steroid-free remission rates were comparable between vedolizumab and ustekinumab at 2 and 4 months (see table 1). There was a significant reduction in HBI for vedolizumab at 2 months (1.8, 95% CI 0.50 to 3.13, $p = 0.008$) and ustekinumab at 4 months (3.4, 95% CI 1.84 to 4.96, $p = 0.0001$).

Abstract PTH-131 Table 1

		Vedolizumab	Ustekinumab	Fisher's Exact Test (P value)
Response	2 months	37%	44%	0.623
	4 months	49%	60%	0.465
Remission	2 months	18%	28%	0.372
	4 months	27%	40%	0.302
Steroid-Free Remission	2 months	12%	20%	0.489
	4 months	25%	36%	0.422

Conclusions In a multi-centre cohort of anti-TNF refractory CD patients, vedolizumab and ustekinumab appear equally effective in the short-term. Our observations warrant longer-term follow up and further validation in independent cohorts.