2. Those at high risk of aspiration of gastric feed.

Results 8 patients failed to establish adequate NG feeding due to ≥2 GRVs above 400 ml; all attempted bedside NJT insertion but only 5 were successful. It was noted when more training was provided, 3 NJT were placed within a 2 week period.

Delays in NJT placement, medical team shifts and inadequate use of prokinetics (<24h) often led to lower GRVs, excluding patients from NJT placement. This resulted in patients restarting gastric feeding with varied success.

Prior to NJT insertion, 7 patients received adequate doses of prokinetics. 1 patient had a reaction to erythromycin. For this patient, metoclopramide was not effective enough except when a higher dose was given (20 mg vs 10 mg). This suggests scope for optimising the prokinetic dose to ensure the success of NJT placement.

Mean time between NJT insertion and X-ray position confirmation was 10 hr. This considerably improves nutritional delivery as in the previous service evaluation, patients awaiting endoscopically placed NJT had no nutrition for ~days 2.

Conclusions Bedside NJT insertion can be a useful tool to facilitate the provision of enteral nutrition, avoid long gaps in nutrition in critically ill patients and avoid PN. However, widespread adoption of this protocol requires training and engagement of medical staff and protocol optimisation.
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Introduction Robotic surgery techniques have revolutionised Urological surgery. It has thus been used more frequently in centres that are able to offer the technique. It is suggested that robotics increases the accuracy of dissection and helps enhance the preservation of neurovascular bundles. (1) Anecdotally it was noted that patients who had robotic surgery developed more nutritional complications than those patients who underwent non-robotic surgeries previously. On review of the literature, rates of paralytic ileus in non-robotic surgeries range from 7–8% respectively. (2 3)

Method We analysed all patients who underwent robotic Urological surgery at Guy’s & St Thomas’ NHS Trust in the last 2 years (robotic cystectomies and prostatectomies). All patient post-operative notes were analysed and screened for the development of post-operative ileus and/or the requirement of Parenteral Nutrition (PN).

Results In total, 136 patients were analysed. Of these, 19 patients developed post-operative ileus (16 male, 3 female, average age 69.5), 13 developed post-operative ileus requiring PN (9 male, 4 female, average age 68.2) and 2 patients developed bowel obstruction which did not require nutritional support (both patients were male, aged 64 & 65 respectively).

Therefore, 23.5% of patients developed post-operative ileus, which is higher than reported non-robotic post-operative ileus rates.

Conclusion Our results suggest that robotic surgery may lead to more patients requiring nutritional support post-operatively than those who had non-robotic surgery, according to previously reported rates. The increased proportion of ileus could be related to operating time. It is possible that with increased usage of the robotic technique, the operating time will decrease and thus levels of ileus will reduce. This also emphasises the importance of the involvement of a dedicated Nutrition team in these patients, which should include a Medical Consultant and highly-specialised pharmacists, nurses and dieticians. Further studies in larger cohorts are required.