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**Introduction** Incidental, unexplained biliary and pancreatic duct dilatation on imaging performed for other reasons is an increasingly common reason for EUS referral. The radiological ‘double duct’ (DD) sign is associated with pancreatobiliary (PB) malignancy, particularly in those with abnormal liver function tests (LFTs). However data regarding the yield of pathology at EUS in those with DD and normal live biochemistry are sparse. Our aim was to describe findings at EUS performed for investigation of DD in patients with normal LFTs.

**Methods** A retrospective review was performed of EUS procedures for investigation of DD across two tertiary HPB referral centres between 2013 and 2018. Those with abnormal or unknown liver biochemistry, or where expert review of cross-sectional imaging had indicated a mass lesion, stricture or other identifiable cause were excluded.

**Results** 46 patients were included, median age 64 years, 78% female/22% male. Long-term opiate use was documented in 17 (37%).

Positive findings were identified at EUS in 10 (21.7%); chronic pancreatitis (n=5), side branch intra-ductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN) (n=2), benign papillary fibrosis (n=2) and choledocholithiasis (n=1). Ductal dilatation was not seen at EUS in 3 (6.5%) and DD was present with no cause identified in 33 (71.7%), of whom 14 (30.4%) had documented opiate use. Both cases of IPMN lacked high-risk features and the patients remained well with a minimum of 18 months surveillance.

One endoscopic complication occurred in a patient without cause for DD sign identified at EUS, who sustained an endoscopic perforation requiring hepatico-jejunostomy.

Two cases of ampullary carcinoma were noted during case identification in patients with minimally elevated LFTs undergoing EUS for DD.

**Conclusions** In this cohort with DD and normal liver biochemistry there were no EUS-diagnosed malignancy and the yield of benign pathology was ~20%, similar to that previously reported. However, this should be interpreted with caution given the 2 cases of malignancy, not included in this cohort, found with only mild LFT abnormalities and further prospective studies are needed.
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**Introduction** Screening for Barrett’s oesophagus (BO) may be considered for those at high risk. Unsedated Ultrasound Endoscopy (UE) has been proposed as a more acceptable, cost-effective alternative to conventional oesophagogastroduodenoscopy (C-OGD) but individual studies on the diagnostic performance of UE are often underpowered. The pooled diagnostic