ABSTRACT

The pathophysiological background of decompensated cirrhosis is characterised by a systemic proinflammatory and pro-oxidant milieu that plays a major role in the development of multiorgan dysfunction. Such abnormality is mainly due to the systemic spread of bacteria and/or bacterial products from the diseased liver triggering the release of proinflammatory mediators by activating immune cells. The exacerbation of these processes underlies the development of acute-on-chronic liver failure. A further mechanism promoting multiorgan dysfunction and failure likely consists with a mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation dysfunction responsible for systemic cellular energy crisis. The systemic proinflammatory and pro-oxidant state of patients with decompensated cirrhosis is also responsible for structural and functional changes in the albumin molecule, which spoils its pleiotropic non-onoctic properties such as antioxidant, scavenging, immune-modulating and endothelium protective functions. The knowledge of these abnormalities provides novel targets for mechanistic treatments. In this respect, the onoctic and non-onoctic properties of albumin make it a potential multitarget agent. This would expand the well-established indications to the use of albumin in decompensated cirrhosis, which mainly aim at improving effective volemia or preventing its deterioration. Evidence has been recently provided that long-term albumin administration to patients with cirrhosis and ascites improves survival, prevents complications, eases the management of ascites and reduces hospitalisations. However, variant results indicate that further investigations are needed, aiming at confirming the beneficial effects of albumin, clarifying its optimal dosage and administration schedule and identify patients who would benefit most from long-term albumin administration.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of compensated and decompensated cirrhosis

Cirrhosis is characterised by a prolonged asymptomatic period of persistent liver inflammation, extracellular matrix remodelling and relentless deposition of collagen in the liver tissue. This fibrosis together with focal hyperplasia and proliferation of hepatocytes eventually disrupts the hepatic architecture leading to characteristic regenerative nodules. During this period, the disease remains undiagnosed unless patients seek medical attention for another reason or are screened for liver disease because of risk factors. Besides the development of hepatocellular carcinoma, complications develop when the disruption of the liver architecture is severe enough to markedly increase portal pressure. The most common clinical presentation is ascites/oedema or, less frequently, bleeding from gastro-oesophageal varices. Late complications include jaundice, coagulopathy, hepatic encephalopathy, bacterial infections and acute kidney injury, particularly hepatorenal syndrome (HRS). Complications, after their first appearance, recur with increasing frequency and most patients die over a median period of about 2 years unless liver transplantation is performed. The disease stage preceding the occurrence of complications is known as compensated cirrhosis, as opposed to the
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Potential role of albumin as disease-modifying agent in decompensated cirrhosis

► The well-established indications to the acute or short-term use of albumin in decompensated cirrhosis mainly aim at improving effective volaemia or preventing its deterioration.
► Serum albumin in decompensated cirrhosis undergoes structural and functional abnormalities endangering its non-oncotic properties such as antioxidant, scavenging, immune-modulating and endothelium protective functions. As a result, due to both quantitative (hyponatraemia) and qualitative changes, the amount of circulating ‘effective’ albumin can be dramatically reduced.
► The pleiotropic non-oncotic properties of albumin make it a potential multitarget agent for a mechanistic treatment of decompensated cirrhosis.
► It has recently provided evidence that long-term albumin administration to patients with decompensated cirrhosis improves survival, prevents complications, eases the management of ascites and reduces hospitalisations, thus being cost-effective.
► However, variant results indicate that further investigations are needed, aiming at confirming the beneficial effects of albumin, clarifying its optimal dosage and administration schedule and identify patients who would benefit most from long-term albumin administration.

The peripheral arterial vasodilation concept: the initial rationale for the use of albumin in cirrhosis

A major pathological event in the development of complications of cirrhosis is an impairment of circulatory function related to portal hypertension.1 The dysfunction in the arterial circulation consists of a reduction in systemic vascular resistance due to primary vasodilation of the splanchic arterial circulation (figure 1). This vasodilation is most likely due to an enhanced production/activity of vasodilator factors, particularly nitric oxide, endogenous cannabinoids and/or carbon monoxide, likely related to bacterial translocation mediated through portal inflammation; and the non-omotic secretion of anti-diuretic hormone.2 At this stage, cardiac output may also be impaired owing to the impairment in cardiac function related to cirrhotic cardiomyopathy.5

Understanding circulatory abnormalities in cirrhosis led to development of several therapeutic strategies, which are currently used in clinical practice, to improve/prevent kidney complications, including blockade of sodium retaining factors and/or treatment with vasoconstrictor drugs.14-16 Some of these strategies include the intravenous administration of albumin with the objective of improving arterial underfilling. Currently, accepted indications of albumin include prevention of circulatory dysfunction following large-volume paracentesis, prevention of HRS in patients with spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP), and management of HRS in association with vasoconstrictor drugs.1

On this background, the current article reviews the new concepts on pathogenesis of cirrhosis progression, in particular the role of systemic inflammation in the development of complications of cirrhosis and ACLF, and the potential role of albumin to prevent disease progression and reduce the inflammatory status.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF AD AND ACLF

The clinical course of decompensated cirrhosis is characterised by repeated episodes of AD, which may (30% of cases) or may not be associated with organ failure(s) (ACLF or ‘mere’ AD, respectively).18

Systemic inflammation

Systemic inflammation progressively increases with the progression of cirrhosis, arising in the compensated stage and becoming more marked in stable decompensated cirrhosis.19-21 Systemic inflammation further increased when an episode of AD develops and culminates with ACLF. In fact, patients with AD show more evident features of systemic inflammation (plasma levels of C reactive protein (CRP); soluble inflammatory signals (proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines)) and oxidative stress (irreversibly oxidised form of albumin and human non-mercaptalbumin 2 (HNA2)) compared with healthy subjects and stable cirrhotics (table 1).18 22 23 In turn, patients with ACLF show much higher blood levels of CRP, inflammatory molecules and HNA2 than those with AD.18 24 25 Moreover, while patients with AD have a peripheral blood white cell count within the normal range, those with ACLF exhibited leucocytosis. Together, these findings indicate that ACLF is characterised by full-blown systemic inflammation.18 Systemic inflammation in AD of cirrhosis and ACLF may overlap systemic changes occurring in sepsis; however, complications and outcome of patients with cirrhosis are very distinct from that of patients with sepsis without cirrhosis due to the presence of portal hypertension and liver failure, which are a hallmark of the liver disease.25

Little is known about the inducer(s) of systemic inflammation in AD.25 Bacterial infections are involved in 33% of cases of ACLF,18 even though the true prevalence is likely underestimated. Recent findings from the Predicting Acute-on-Chronic Liver Failure in Cirrhosis (PREDICT) study indicate that ACLF occurred in two distinct contexts: in one, ACLF was associated with bacterial infection, even if the reason for hospitalisation was severe alcoholic hepatitis or gastrointestinal haemorrhage, while in the second, ACLF developed without any clear trigger such as infection, trauma, burns, pancreatitis or hypovolaemic shock (Trebička J. Predicting acute-on-chronic liver failure in cirrhosis (PREDICT) study. 2019). In sepsis-related ACLF, the systemic inflammation already present in decompensated cirrhosis is likely accentuated by infective, live bacteria, while acute bursts of translocation of bacterial products (but not
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Figure 1  Peripheral arterial vasodilation hypothesis. In patients with cirrhosis and portal hypertension, a peripheral arterial vasodilation, mainly occurring in the splanchnic circulatory area, endangers effective volaemia. Qualitative and quantitative changes in the intestinal microbiota and impairment in intestinal mucosal barrier, translocation of bacteria or bacterial products, and local inflammation and release of inflammatory vasoactive mediators is likely the initial sequence of events leading to splanchnic arterial vasodilation in cirrhosis. With the progression of the disease, bacterial translocation increases, inflammation become systemic and effective hypovolaemia worsens. Activation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis, widespread release of norepinephrine (NE) in the sympathetic nervous system terminals, increased adrenal secretion of epinephrine (E), activation of the renin–aldosterone system and increased release of antidiuretic hormone (ADH) are the main homeostatic responses to restore arterial pressure, leading to renal fluid retention, which accumulates as ascites, dilutional hyponatraemia and hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) as the most relevant consequences. The well-established indications for the use of albumin in patients with decompensated cirrhosis rely on this pathophysiological background and mainly aim at restoring effective volaemia.

Interpreting the role of gut-derived bacteria in cirrhosis and ACLF

Viable bacteria) from the gut to the bloodstream, a phenomenon related to systemic inflammation, are likely involved in ACLF without identifiable triggers. This interpretation was recently supported from a 3 months longitudinal investigation showing transient peaks of serum interleukin (IL)-6 in patients with decompensated cirrhosis. Another factor driving the onset of accentuated systemic inflammation is the severity and type of hepatocyte cell death induced by both infection and liver-directed precipitating events such as alcoholic hepatitis, superimposed viral infections such as hepatitis B or E virus infection or drugs. The main form of cell death in AD seems to be apoptosis. However, more immunogenic forms such as necroptosis (regulated necrosis induced by stimuli including death receptors, interferons, toll-like receptors (TLRs), intracellular RNA and DNA sensors and mediated by receptor interacting protein kinase-3 and its substrate mixed lineage kinase like) and pyroptosis (programmed, non-apoptotic, lytic form of cell death occurring as a result of cleavage of the effector protein Gasdermin-D) are observed in ACLF. This releases proinflammatory substances collectively referred to as damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). Therefore, sepsis-related and sepsis-unrelated ACLF would share mechanistic commonalities, that is, the immune system response to pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), either released by infecting bacteria or spread from the intestinal lumen, or DAMPs (figure 2). However, it is still unclear why, in a given context (eg, SBP), ACLF exhibits a...
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Table 1 Plasma concentrations of cytokines, C reactive protein and irreversible oxidised albumin in healthy subject and patients with cirrhosis with and without ACLF (data gathered from Clària et al76)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy controls</th>
<th>Patients without ACLF</th>
<th>Patients with ACLF</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=40</td>
<td>n=285</td>
<td>n=237</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proinflammatory cytokines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α (pg/mL)</td>
<td>9 (7–12)</td>
<td>20 (14–27)</td>
<td>29 (17–41)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.3–0.3)</td>
<td>21 (11–41)</td>
<td>39 (17–115)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.6–3.3)</td>
<td>37 (20–76)</td>
<td>84 (41–169)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF (pg/mL)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.8–11)</td>
<td>23 (11–50)</td>
<td>32 (14–83)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-inflammator cytokines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4–1.1)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.1–9.2)</td>
<td>8.1 (2.1–29.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1ra (pg/mL)</td>
<td>7 (3–9)</td>
<td>10 (5–22)</td>
<td>23 (9–63)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C reactive protein and irreversible albumin oxidised albumin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>18 (6–35)</td>
<td>27 (12–20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNA2 (% of total albumin)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.3–1.9)</td>
<td>4.5 (2.5–8.8)</td>
<td>9.8 (5.6–14.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as median (IQR).

*P value between ACLF and no ACLF.

HNA2, irreversibly oxidised human noncapitabulmin; ACLF, acute-on-chronic liver failure; CRP, C reactive protein; GM-CSF, granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor; IL, interleukin; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor alpha.

stronger inflammatory response than AD. The intensity of the inflammatory response to PAMPs and DAMPs may depend on genetic host factors; single-nucleotide variants might modulate the amount of inflammatory molecules produced by innate immune cells or changes in the expression of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) such as TLR.7 33 The quantity of exogenous or endogenous ‘invaders’ may also play a role; the higher bacterial burden or concentration of PAMPs, DAMPs or PRRs, the more intense the inflammatory response.

Immune paralysis and increased risk of bacterial infections

As reported above, AD and particularly ACLF are frequently precipitated by bacterial infections. Furthermore, nosocomial infections are the most frequent and severe complication of ACLF unrelated to bacterial infections at diagnosis, favouring progression to the most severe grade.34 The reasons of this high risk for bacterial infections is largely unknown.

Although peripheral blood leucocytosis is a hallmark of ACLF and a component of prognostic scores for ACLF and AD,13 16 its cell subsets are largely undefined and would merit investigation. Some studies showed that circulating monocytes in ACLF exhibit features of ‘immune paralysis’ in response to PAMPs. Namely, the frequency of CD14+ monocytes expressing the tyrosine-protein kinase Mer (hereafter called Mer) is increased.37 This protein, encoded by MERTK and belonging to TAM receptors family, manifests its immunosuppressive features of ‘immune paralysis’ in response to PAMPs. Namely, the pharmacological inhibition of glutamine synthesis on-or decreased HLA-DR expression and impaired phagocytic

Figure 2 Working hypothesis for the mechanisms of organ failures in ACLF. Pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs; eg, lipopolysaccharide (LPS)) are specifically recognised by pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs; eg, Toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 for LPS) expressed in innate immune cells and epithelial cells.47 This process is called structural feature recognition. Damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPS; eg, high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), S100A8 (MRP8, calgranulin A) and S100A9 (MRP14, calgranulin B)), are molecules resulting from stressed cells that, once released, follow a similar path. PAMPs are either released by an infecting alive bacterium or ‘translocated’ from the gut lumen to blood, while DAMPs are released from tissues where necroptosis and pyroptosis take place (liver and extrahepatic organs (not shown)). Whichever the origin of these molecular patterns, their recognition by PRRs results in the production of a broad variety of inflammatory molecules (cytokines, chemokines and lipids), vasodilators and of reactive oxygen species, particularly in phagocytes. Intense systemic inflammation may cause collateral tissue damage (a process called immunopathology) and subsequently organ failures (hypothesis 1). Extrahepatic tissue damage can result in the release of DAMPs, which may perpetuate or accentuate PAMP-initiated and DAMP-initiated systemic inflammatory response (not shown).47 Systemic inflammation is energetically expensive, and the immune tissue can be prioritised for nutrient allocation at the expense of vital non-immune tissues. These may adapt to nutrient scarcity by reducing mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos) and therefore ATP production, which would contribute to the development of organ failures (hypothesis 2). Of note, the two hypotheses are not mutually exclusive. ACLF, acute-on-chronic liver failure.

and oxidative burst capacity.39 Transcriptomic data revealed a profile of alternatively polarised, tolerant monocytes. Interestingly, the pharmacological inhibition of glutamine synthesis favouring glutaminolysis improved the monocytes phagocytic and inflammatory.39 Similarly, neutrophils from patients with ACLF present severe defects of phagocytosis and ability to kill ingested bacteria despite marked activation. Due to the importance of neutrophils in bacterial clearance, it is not surprising that the severity of this dysfunction define the risk of infection and mortality.40 Immune-suppressing signals to monocytes may also be involved; for example, decreased serum albumin in patients with AD (including ACLF) binds lower amounts of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2). The resulting increased bioavailability of PGE2 dampens the macrophage response to LPS.41 Inmate immune cells (monocytes and neutrophils) play a major role in the host resistance against infection; in response to bacterial cues, monocytes produce a variety of molecules with to reduce the bacterial burden.42 Together, these studies show that ACLF is associated with increased frequency of certain subsets
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of neutrophils and immune-suppressed monocytes, which may decrease host resistance capacity and increase susceptibility to bacterial infection. Notably, immune paralysis features are observed in less severely decompensated cirrhosis. Endotoxinaemia and metabolic disturbances (e.g., hyperammonaemia and hyponatraemia) may contribute to immune paralysis and be reversed by albumin administration.45 46

Pathophysiology of end-organ alterations in AD and ACLF: immunopathology and cellular energetic crisis

The mechanisms underlying organ dysfunction in AD and organ failure(s) in ACLF are poorly understood. There is a close correlation between the intensity of systemic inflammation and end-organ dysfunction. Patients with AD and mild systemic inflammation often develop kidney dysfunction.54 In ACLF, the intensity of systemic inflammation is closely associated with the number of organ failures (figure 2).18 In the general population, cytokines released in response to bacterial cues in patients with sepsis and severe sepsis activate innate immune cells that further release cytokines, proteases and reactive oxygen species within vital organs. All these effects cause collateral tissue damage, a process called immunopathology.65 Similarly, systemic inflammation in AD and ACLF may cause tissue damage via immunopathology resulting in organ dysfunction or failure, respectively (hypothesis 1, figure 2).54 66 However, to date, no immunopathological studies performed in patients with AD or ACLF providing robust support to this hypothesis are available. Still, some studies showed that liver-derived systemic inflammatory markers are associated with the development of organ failures and especially cardiac dysfunction.67 68

In patients or animals with sepsis but without liver disease, systemic inflammation is energetically expensive because of the production of inflammatory molecules, respiratory burst, acute-phase response, cellular migration and proliferation, requiring reallocation of nutrients to fuel the inflammatory response.42 50 Because systemic inflammation induces sickness behaviour of anorexia and asthenia, which decrease food intake, mobilisation of stored fuels is critical for mounting the inflammatory response.42 50 This elicits proteolysis (skeletal muscles), lipolysis (adipose tissue) and glycogenolysis (liver), releasing amino acids, fatty acids and glucose to be used as fuels. This metabolic demand can be so high to exceed resources, so that nutrients are prioritised to immune tissues. Thus, non-immune tissues adapt by decreasing their energetic demand and reducing mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos).50 Results of high-throughput metabolomics and lipidomics performed in the sera from a large cohort of patients with AD or ACLF showed that ACLF was distinct from AD by a blood signature witnessing intense proteolysis, and lipolysis, and marked inhibition of mitochondrial OxPhos.15 Although these findings were similar to those reported in sepsis in the general population,66 it is relevant that the ACLF-associated blood metabolite signature was unrelated with the presence or absence of detectable bacterial infection, indicating that such a signature can be seen in both sepsis-related and ‘spontaneous’ ACLF. Moreover, in ACLF with single organ failure, the metabolite signature was detected whichever the failing organ (liver, brain and kidney), indicating that cellular metabolism abnormalities were very similar irrespective of the type of organ affected. Because the ACLF-associated blood metabolite signature is consistent with the existence of mitochondrial inhibition in various organs, this mechanism may play a crucial role in the development of organ failures (hypothesis 2, figure 2). However, these results require a cautious interpretation as, to date, they were reported in a single study and were based on the assumption that plasma metabolic alterations reflected what happened in tissues. As occurs with immunopathology, why a generalised metabolic dysregulation would affect different organs in different patients is unknown. It is noteworthy that hypothesis 1 (role of immunopathology) and hypothesis 2 (role of competition for metabolic resources) are similarly plausible and not mutually exclusive.

THE CONCEPT OF ALBUMIN AS A DRUG

Biosynthesis and metabolism

Albumin is a globular, water-soluble 67 kDa protein, negatively charged at neutral pH due to the abundance of aspartate and glutamate residues in its 609 amino acid sequence (figure 3).52 53 Albumin is the most abundant protein in serum (3.5–5.0 g/dL, which represents approximately half of all serum proteins) and in extracellular fluids.52 Albumin is exclusively synthesised by hepatocytes, where it is translated from a single gene as preproalbumin, imported into the endoplasmic reticulum for cleavage of its N-terminal prepropeptide by a serine protease, transported to the Golgi and continuously secreted into the bloodstream.54 Serum albumin has a prolonged halflife of approximately 20 days.55 Its persistence in the circulation derives from its constant uptake and recycling by hepatocytes, a process regulated in a pH-dependent manner by the neonatal crystallisable fragment receptor (FcRn) (figure 4).56 FcRn binds albumin on the surface of hepatocytes and endothelial cells, redirecting albumin into the vascular space and away from bile and extracellular space.56 57 Although renal tubular epithelial cells also express FcRn, the main contribution to albumin recycling and circulating levels steadiness derives from FcRn expression by hepatocytes and endothelial cells, since its absence leads to hypoalbuminaemia.57

Albumin as plasma expander, pleotropic scavenge, antioxidant and immunomodulatory molecule

Albumin accounts for approximately 75% of plasma oncotic pressure. A large evidence exists for its use as plasma expander in patients with cirrhosis, but recent data also support other indications.28 58 Indeed, albumin exerts several homeostatic functions as potent scavenger, antioxidant and immunomodulatory molecule. For example, albumin reversibly binds many molecules, permitting solubilisation and transport.58 This occurs principally at sites I/II but may also occur electrostatically through its negative charge (figure 3). Therefore, it modulates the activity of many drugs and binds a range of metallic ions, toxic metabolites and inflammatory mediators potentially affecting systemic inflammation, antioxidant and endothelial function.58 In particular, bilirubin, a toxic insoluble metabolite of haem breakdown, is bound and exclusively transported by albumin to hepatocytes for conjugation with glucuronic acid and elimination via the biliary–intestinal system.59 Moreover, albumin binds bile acids, maintaining their plasma concentration within normal levels and lower than in the portal circulation.60 In liver cirrhosis, serum bile acid concentrations increase to the levels seen in the splanchic circulation.61 In this context, enhanced serum bile acid binding by albumin supplementation may decrease their possible adverse effects. Importantly, albumin possesses about seven binding sites for fatty acids with moderate to high affinity, enhancing the concentration of fatty acids in blood by several orders of magnitude.62 Finally, a number of metabolites with well-known effects on the immune system, such as those belonging to the tryptophan–kynurenine pathway, mostly circulate bound to albumin.
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Figure 3 Endogenous and exogenous binding sites in the albumin molecule. Many of the physiological functions of human serum albumin rely on its ability to reversibly bind to an extremely wide range of ligands to increase their solubility in plasma, to transport them to specific tissues or organs or to dispose of them when they are toxic. Since ligands are frequently biologically active, albumin also modulates their pathophysiological effects. Panel A: molecular structure of human serum albumin with an indication of its subdomains (IA, IB, IIA, IIB, IIIA and IIIB), of the N and C termini and the main endogenous and exogenous compounds binding sites including the Sudlow’s sites I and II, the site III, the multimetal binding site (site A) and the CYS34 site. Panel B: albumin molecule shows seven long-chain fatty acid (FA) binding sites (FA1–FA7). In addition to transporting FAs, these sites also transport and inactivate biological active lipids involved in systemic inflammation including prostaglandins and PAMPs (lipopolysaccharide and lipoteichoic acid). Panel C: albumin is the most important regulator of extracellular oxidative stress and presents many binding sites for reactive oxidative species. The most important binding site is the Cys-34 residue, which can be reversible or irreversible oxidised giving rise to two molecular forms of oxidised albumin (HNA1 and HNA2). Albumin images from: RCSB PDB (rcsb.org) of PDB ID 1E7I.53 PAMPs, pathogen-associated molecular patterns.

Therefore, their free serum levels in decompensated cirrhosis can be increased due to hypoalbuminaemia, structural changes of albumin or binding competition with endogenous and exogenous substances.63

Albumin is also antioxidant and its infusion reduces oxidative stress during the systemic inflammatory response. Albumin predominantly circulates in a reduced state with a free thiol group in the Cys-34 residue acting as a free radical scavenger for reactive oxygen and nitrogen species. Albumin also restricts oxidative stress damage by neutralising free copper and iron.58 Finally, albumin displays immunomodulatory properties. For example, albumin modulates innate immune responses to sepsis, and cirrhosis-associated PGE2-mediated immune dysfunction improved following albumin infusion.41

Effects on cardiocirculatory function and systemic inflammation

Albumin is frequently used in clinical practice as plasma expander, since its administration increases circulating blood volume. Studies performed in the 1980s demonstrated that albumin administration prevents paracentesis-induced cardiocirculatory dysfunction, an alteration characterised by a systemic haemodynamics impairment leading to effective hypovolaemia.
Albumin prevents the decrease in cardiac output and the increase in plasma renin activity. Alternative plasma expanders are less effective and, therefore, are not recommended.

Albumin also prevents renal dysfunction and death in patients with SBP. Its administration improves effective blood volume by attenuating peripheral arterial vasodilation and endothelial dysfunction (plasma von Willebrand factor reduction) and enhancing cardiac work, effects not observed with hydroxyethyl starch. Albumin administration also improves effective blood volume, thus leading to vasoconstrictor systems deactivation, and increases arterial pressure in patients with HRS treated with terlipressin, effects not observed with terlipressin alone. Experimental studies have also shown that albumin improves cardiac inotropism in cirrhotic rats with ascites independent of volume expansion and related to the reversal of the negative effects of TNF-α and oxidative stress on cardiac contractility.

Albumin also attenuates systemic inflammation. Its administration (1.5 g/kg/week) for 12 weeks improved markers of systemic inflammation (IL-6, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), IL-1ra and vascular endothelial growth factor) in patients with decompensated cirrhosis, an effect confirmed in a short-term investigation in patients with bacterial infections (figure 5). Notably, recent in vitro studies attribute the endothelial effects and anti-inflammatory properties of albumin to its uptake by endothelial and immune cells. The effect of albumin on endothelial cells is mediated through its antioxidant properties, while its ability to block endosomal TLR signalling acts in the immune cells (Claría J. The immunomodulation effects of albumin. 2019).

Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of albumin: towards a personalised use of treatment in cirrhosis

The pharmacokinetics of infused albumin are principally determined by blood volume, serum albumin concentration and amount infused. In healthy volunteers, 50% of a 25 g dose of albumin was lost from the vascular bed 240 min after infusion compared with 65% of 50 g. Conversely, after a rapid withdrawal of 900 mL of blood followed by albumin infusion, 80% of a 50 g dose and 65% of 100 g remained at 240 min. Hypovolaemia and hypoproteinaemia, similar to decompensated cirrhosis, produced a third set of results: after 25 or 50 g albumin infusion, 40% remained in the vascular bed at 240 min.

The structural integrity of the albumin molecule is essential for its biological functions. Unfortunately, such a structure...
is quite vulnerable to damaging toxins in a proinflammatory and pro-oxidant circulatory microenvironment, as occurs in decompensated cirrhosis. Oxidative damage of the Cys-34 residue, the most common alteration, correlates to severity of cirrhosis and extent of systemic inflammation. Even more important, it independently predicts mortality up to 1 year of follow-up. Besides the Cys-34 residue, post-transcriptional damage can simultaneously involve several other molecular sites (ie, truncation of the N-terminal and C-terminal portions, glycosylation and dimersisation). With the accumulation of damage in the total albumin pool, the portion maintaining a fully preserved structure (the so-called reduced native albumin) decreases in parallel. Moreover, oxidised forms of albumin enhance proinflammatory cytokine synthesis by peripheral human leucocytes, providing a rationale for replacement with reduced native albumin to limit systemic inflammation in cirrhosis. In parallel, impairment of albumin functions, such as binding and detoxification capacity, antioxidant function and the ability to chelate metal ions, develops and progresses in patients with increasing severity of cirrhosis.

The assumption that the global function of albumin is related to its circulating amount and to its structural integrity leads to the concept that the ‘effective albumin concentration’. The proportion of albumin maintaining fully preserved structure and function is much lower than the serum albumin concentration routinely measured in clinical practice. Indeed, effective albumin concentration in decompensated cirrhosis was independently associated with disease severity and albumin function. Moreover, it was a better predictor of ACLF and short-term mortality than total serum albumin concentration. Based on these observations, future research on albumin should determine whether increasing effective albumin concentration represents a clinically relevant target of therapy and identify novel biomarkers of effective albumin concentration to be used in clinical practice.

**FIRST STUDIES ON THE LONG-TERM ADMINISTRATION OF ALBUMIN IN CIRRHOSIS**

A therapeutic strategy able to modify the natural history of the disease by preventing the development of complications, thus improving survival, quality of life and healthcare costs is still an unmet need in the management of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. In this perspective, the after the long-term use of albumin was shown to be associated with a better control of ascites in two pivotal studies, and in parallel with the advancement in our knowledge of the relevance of albumin non-oncotic properties in the treatment of decompensated cirrhosis, two randomised clinical trials and one observational study recently evaluated the effects of long-term albumin administration in this setting.

Such a therapeutic approach attempts to interrupt the pathophysiological cascade responsible for the clinical manifestations of decompensated cirrhosis through a mechanistic treatment. In the complex network of many interacting and redundant pathophysiological pathways that underlie decompensated cirrhosis, long-term use of albumin could antagonise several mechanisms, including systemic inflammation and oxidative stress.

In the human Albumin for the treatmeNT of aScites in patients With HEPatic ciRrhosis (ANSWER) study, a non-profit, multicentre, randomised, open-label trial, 431 patients with uncomplicated but persisting ascites despite diuretic administration were randomised to receive either standard medical treatment (SMT) or SMT plus albumin (40 g twice a week for 2 weeks and then 40 g/week) for 18 months. A significantly better 18-month overall survival was seen in patients receiving albumin (77%) with respect to those receiving SMT (66%), corresponding to a 38% reduction in the HR for mortality. The multivariable risk analysis for all-cause mortality considering transjugular intraportal shunt placement and liver transplantation as competing events showed that albumin treatment was the sole protective variable. Long-term albumin use also improved the management of ascites, as the need of paracentesis and the incidence of refractory ascites declined by about 50%. Furthermore, the cumulative incidence of complications of cirrhosis, including SBP, non-SBP bacterial infections, episodes of renal dysfunction, as defined by serum creatinine above 1.5 mg/dL, HRS 1 and severe hepatic encephalopathy grade III or IV, as well as potential diuretic-induced side effects, such as hypotension and hyperkalaemia, were reduced by 27%–70% in the albumin group. As a result, patients enrolled in the albumin arm had significantly less liver-related hospitalisations or days spent in hospital, so that the long-term albumin use proved to be also cost-effective. The core results of the ANSWER trial were confirmed by a prospective, non-randomised study that enrolled 70 patients with cirrhosis and refractory ascites. Patients who received SMT+albumin (20 g twice weekly) had a significantly lower 24-month mortality than those receiving SMT. Treatment with albumin was the sole independent protective factor against death and was associated to a lower cumulative incidence of rehospitalisations due to any complication of cirrhosis with the exception of GI bleeding.

However, a placebo-controlled clinical trial (MIDodrine and Albumin for CirrHotic patients in the waiting list for liver Transplantation (MACHt) study), which enrolled patients with decompensated cirrhosis awaiting liver transplantation, challenged these results.

In this study, 173 patients with ascites were randomised to receive either SMT plus albumin (40 g/15 days) and the α1-receptor agonist midodrine (15–30 mg/day according to pressor response) or SMT plus placebo. Treatment with midodrine and albumin was associated with a slight but significant suppression of plasma renin activity and plasma norepinephrine. However, neither arterial pressure nor plasma cytokine levels changed significantly. Finally, there were no significant differences between the two groups in the probability of developing complications of cirrhosis during follow-up or 1-year mortality.

The comparison of the characteristics of these studies can provide some relevant topics in order to interpret their contradictory results (table). The most striking difference is related with the amount of albumin administered, being about half in the MACHt trial compared with the two other studies. Moreover, a loading dose was only used in the ANSWER study. This was the likely reason for a significant and sustained increase of serum albumin (0.6–0.8 g/dL to a median value close to 4 g/dL) seen in the ANSWER study, while no changes occurred in the MACHt study. The dose of albumin and its effect on serum albumin level are likely to be crucial for the full development of all the biological properties of the molecule. In fact, a recent real-world implementation study confirmed the results of the ANSWER study showing that improvements in effective voilaemia and systemic inflammation in patients with stable decompensated cirrhosis were only achieved with a high dose of albumin (1.5 g/kg of body weight (BW)/week vs 1.0 g/kg BW every 2 weeks). On the basis of these findings, it is likely that the positive results of the ANSWER study could be even improved using higher albumin therapeutic schedules or adjusting albumin dosage to therapeutic response. In this respect, preliminary results from a post hoc analysis of the ANSWER database showed that the level of serum albumin level reached after 1 month of treatment predicts the probability of 17-month overall survival, which was
study, administered for up to 18 months whereas in the Barcelona weekly for 2 weeks, and then 40
that long-term infusion studies have shown different results partly explained by the amount of albumin that was administered. In the ANSWER study, 40 g twice weekly for 2 weeks, and then 40 g weekly of 20% albumin was administered for up to 18 months whereas in the Barcelona study, 40 g albumin was administered every 15 days for a median follow-up of 80 days. In the former case, a significant reduction in mortality was observed, but in the latter, no differences in survival was found. One could interpret the data as suggesting that the amount of albumin administered matters. An alternative hypothesis may be that the lack of survival benefit in the Barcelona study is related to the inclusion of sicker patients. It is well known that these patients have worse albumin function in all its domains, bringing into discussion about the concept of ‘effective albumin concentration’,86 which is based on measurement of function rather than just the concentration.76 84 All the three main functional domains, that is, the metal binding domain, the Cysteine-34 domain and the binding sites are now possible to be assessed easily using a cobalt binding assay, high-performance chromatography or electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy, respectively.79 It should therefore be possible to generate models whereby the ‘effective albumin concentration’ can be targeted rather than trying to achieve a given concentration. This sort of approach is likely to improve the efficacy and also significantly reduce the cost of albumin infusions.

## Improving the quality of the commercially available human serum albumin

As albumin becomes more widely used as a therapeutic rather than just fluid, it is important to think how to improve the quality of the infused albumin. The process of production, maintaining stability and functionality of the commercially available albumin, has remained largely unchanged for many decades. For example, the albumin that is infused into patients contains caproylate and tryptophan, which have been suggested to have deleterious effects. In fact, a device has been developed to specifically remove these stabilisers (Albutec, Rostock, Germany). More significant is the observation suggesting that the commercially available albumins contains only about 50% albumin in the reduced, functionally active, mercaptalbumin form.87 Nearly 40% is present as HNA1.88 Not only is this form non-functional, but it may have deleterious consequences as it can activate inflammatory cells.75 New research is urgently needed to find ways to improve the quality of albumin used in clinical practice, which will reduce costs and may implicate on further improving the efficacy of the infused albumin.

## Future perspectives

The past 20 years have seen a huge change in our understanding, both of the biology of cirrhosis, its complications and also of albumin biology.84 The central feature, hitherto unrecognised is that cirrhosis is a state of systemic inflammation, which is heightened in patients with complications and in particular those with ACLF.18 The above sections clearly highlight the importance of albumin therapy in patients with liver disease, which acts to correct both the circulatory dysfunction and also modulates inflammation. In addition to the use of albumin to prevent postparacentesis circulatory dysfunction,85 treat SBP66 and HRS,68 the recent suggestion that long-term outpatients albumin therapy may improve their survival provides the impetus to develop a personalised approach to albumin replacement, optimise the albumin solution that is being administered, better understand albumin biology and await results of ongoing clinical trials.

### Personalised approach to albumin therapy: the concept of ‘effective albumin concentration’

At present, the target for albumin therapy is not clearly defined. The data from the two long-term infusion studies have shown different results partly explained by the amount of albumin that was administered. In the ANSWER study,60 40 g twice weekly for 2 weeks, and then 40 g weekly of 20% albumin was administered for up to 18 months whereas in the Barcelona study,41 40 g albumin was administered every 15 days for a median follow-up of 80 days. In the former case, a significant reduction in mortality was observed, but in the latter, no differences in survival was found. One could interpret the data as suggesting that the amount of albumin administered matters. An alternative hypothesis may be that the lack of survival benefit in the Barcelona study is related to the inclusion of sicker patients. It is well known that these patients have worse albumin function in all its domains, bringing into discussion about the concept of ‘effective albumin concentration’,86 which is based on measurement of function rather than just the concentration.76 84 All the three main functional domains, that is, the metal binding domain, the Cysteine-34 domain and the binding sites are now possible to be assessed easily using a cobalt binding assay, high-performance chromatography or electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy, respectively.79 It should therefore be possible to generate models whereby the ‘effective albumin concentration’ can be targeted rather than trying to achieve a given concentration. This sort of approach is likely to improve the efficacy and also significantly reduce the cost of albumin infusions.

### Table 2 Main differences among the answer and MACHT trials29 80

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>ANSWER trial</th>
<th>MACHT trial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interventional treatment</td>
<td>Randomised Open label</td>
<td>Randomised Placebo controlled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervventional treatment</td>
<td>HA 40 g twice a week for 2 weeks, then 40 g every week.</td>
<td>HA 40 g every 15 days plus midodrine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients</td>
<td>431 (218 HA/213 SMT)</td>
<td>173 (87 HA+midodrine/86 SMT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(number of patients in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>each group)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (%) of patients</td>
<td>34 (8)</td>
<td>173 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in waiting list for LT at</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enrolment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD at enrolment(HA/ SMT)</td>
<td>12/13</td>
<td>17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of interventional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treatment</td>
<td>17.6 (8.0–18.0) months* 63 days†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (%) of patients</td>
<td>37 (9)</td>
<td>106 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>under-going LT during the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of interventional</td>
<td>Increase in serum albumin concentration (0.6–0.8 g/dL) in the first month.</td>
<td>No significant change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treatment on serum albumin concentration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome according to the</td>
<td>Reduction of mortality and complications.</td>
<td>No effect on mortality or complications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interventional treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Median calculated by reverse Kaplan-Meier method.†Median.

HA, human albumin; LT, liver transplantation; MELD, model for end-stage liver disease; SMT, standard medical treatment.

clinical practice identifying patients who can benefit most from treatment and determining the criteria for selecting dose and frequency of albumin administration as well as for its discontinuation. The International PRECIOUS study has started to enrol and will provide the answer to this question (NCT03431292). The ATTIRE study, which explores the role of high dose albumin administration in patients with AD is being performed in the UK and has finished enrolling, randomising about 800 patients. 88–91 The results are eagerly awaited.

Further clinical studies attempting to address albumin biology are using albumin in extracorporeal liver assist devices for patients with ACLF. The molecular adsorbent recirculating system (MARS) and Prometheus provided proof of concept that such a strategy could be successful but were not found to improve survival,89–91 but MARS reduced severity of hepatic encephalopathy. A novel device, DIALIVE, aims to remove and replace the damaged albumin while also removing DAMPs and PAMPs.92 A multicentre, randomised, controlled trial to assess safety and performance of DIALIVE in patients with ACLF versus standard of care is currently ongoing (NCT03065699). As an extension of the concept targeting replacement of the damaged albumin and removing DAMPs and PAMPs, a large phase III, multicentre, randomised, controlled, parallel-group, open-label study of plasma exchange using albumin 5% in ACLF patients has also recently been launched (NCT03702920). These clinical studies will provide further robust evidence for albumin therapy in patients with cirrhosis.
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