of stay. In other ways, for some other reasons, especially in our national government insurance, we could not perform those procedures in tertiary hospital as a routine procedure.

Methods In this retrospective study, we would evaluate, the length of stay difference between open conventional and laparoscopy cholecystectomy on calculous cholecystitis patients from January until December 2017. The length of stay after these procedures would be recorded. All patients had the same prophylactic antibiotic (2 gram of Cefazolin iv) and 1 gram Paracetamol iv twice daily. The patients who have been done the conversion to open cholecystectomy would be excluded from this study.

Results 94 calculous cholecystitis cases were evaluated in this study during 1 year period. 71 cases have been done open conventional cholecystectomy, 23 cases have been performed laparoscopy cholecystectomy. The mean length of stay on the open arm was 1.7 days, and 1.5 days for laparoscopy cholecystectomy. 3 patients on the open conventional arm had biliary pancreatitis and empyema gall bladder, and that case has a longer length of stay (3 days). There was no post-operative complication recorded.

Conclusions There was no length of stay difference between open conventional and laparoscopy cholecystectomy on calculous cholecystitis patients.
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**Background** The effectiveness of additional usage of adjuvants for bowel preparation is still unclear. This study compared 1L polyethylene glycol plus ascorbic acid with adjunct drug regimens (1L-PEG-AA, lower-volume) with 2L polyethylene glycol plus ascorbic acid (2L-PEG-A, low-volume) to evaluate whether the adjuvants can be used to reduce the standard dosage of purgative further.

**Methods** The PubMed/MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Library and Web of Science database were searched up to March 2020 for randomized controlled trials (RCTs). The primary outcome was the efficacy of bowel preparation, and the secondary outcomes were patients’ tolerability and complication rate. The relative risk (RR) and mean difference (MD) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were pooled for dichotomous and continuous variables separately. The overall quality of evidence was assessed using the GRADEpro guideline development tool.

**Results** Five RCTs with a total of 1013 patients were included, and the majority of patients were outpatients from different hospitals. The pooled data showed no significant difference in the adequate bowel preparation rate (89.3% versus 89.4%, RR 1, 95%CI 0.95–1.05, I²=47%) (figure 1A) as well as in the complication rate (RR for nausea 1.22, 95%CI 0.89–1.65, I²=49%; RR for bloating 0.96, 95%CI 0.73–1.28, I²=0%; RR for vomiting 0.69, 95%CI 0.32–1.50, I²=33%; RR for abdominal pain 1.01, 95%CI 0.61–1.69, I²=0%). But a significantly higher willingness rate was observed in the lower-volume (85.1% versus 67.9%, RR 1.25, 95%CI 1.11–1.40, I²=46%) (figure 1B). The quality of primary outcome evidence was moderate.

**Conclusions** The findings of this meta-analysis revealed that 1L-PEG-AA might be a viable alternative to 2L-PEG-A, with comparable effectiveness, better patient preference, and no statistically significant adverse event occurrence.
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**Background** Underwater endoscopic mucosal resection (UEMR) is a recently developed technique and is performed during