Academy of Medical Science/National Cancer Center were compared for patient demographics, pathological characteristics, and treatments. Patients were divided into 2 groups as high proportion of SRC (HSRC) and low proportion of SRC (LSRC). Propensity Score Matching (PSM) was used to balance the sample size and bias.

**Results**

Of 1,069 patients, 830 and 239 patients were divided into LSRC and HSRC groups separately. After matching, 462 patients (231 per group) were included in the analysis. 5-year OS was observed for LSRC and HSRC groups before matching (67.4% vs 77.6%, P<0.01), and after matching (67.3% vs 78.6%, p<0.05). At the multivariate analysis, >60 years old and tumor size>4 cm were recognized as risk factors, and non-neoadjuvant chemotherapy was recognized as a protective factor. The HSRC was found as a protective factor after matching (HR=0.56, 95%CI: 0.38,0.84) for OS. The survival was better in the HSRC group than in the LSRC group. (figure 1)

**Conclusions**

Our results confirm the prognostic differences between LSRC and HSRC groups, which means the proportion of SRC could affect the survival benefits of patients. Further clinical studies should be developed in the future to provide an appropriate treatment strategy for gastric SRC.

**Background**

Gastric cancer is a common malignant tumor worldwide with lymph node metastases for which lymphadenectomy results in a survival benefit. Although lymphadenectomy with at least 16 removed lymph nodes (RLN) has been recommended in the procedure of gastrectomy by NCCN guideline to optimize node staging, the impact of the number of RLN on survival is uncertain.

**Methods**

In this study, we sought to investigate the impact of the optimal number of RLN removed stratified by different stages on the prognosis of gastric cancer. 4,374 patients who underwent gastrectomy for gastric cancer from 2006 to 2016 at the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program (SEER) database were analyzed. Patients with M1 disease were excluded. The optimal threshold for the number of RLN was determined by the X-tile software. A competing risk analysis was performed to evaluate prognostic factors and to estimate subdistribution hazard ratios of mortality from gastric cancer. Standard survival methods and restricted cubic spline multivariable Cox regression models were applied.

**Results**

Of 4,374 patients, mean age was 65.4 (13.6) and 2,493 (57.0%) patients were male. Patients with £16 RLN was 2,176 (49.8%). 2,618 (59.9%) patients were White and 574 (13.1%) were Black. The optimal threshold of RLN was determined as 22 for all patients, and 29, 15, 22, 20, 27, 28, 39 for stage IA-IIIC separately. Compared to AJCC 8th staging, the lower hazard ratio (HR) of mortality and better survival was observed in the new classification of stages by optimal cut-off RLNs. As RLN increasing, the HR of mortality was continuously declined. (Figure 1)

**Conclusions**

The optimal number of RLN appears to have prognostic implications on survival and improve the staging system. According to the characteristics of different stages, the optimal cut-off points are supposed to be individualized. This study suggests that the optimal number of RLN is supposed to be adopted in the staging system in the future.