Background Rapunzel syndrome is a rare manifestation of trichobezoar characterized by extension of a mass of hair across pylorus into the small bowel. Only 40 odd cases have been reported in the literature to date. Most of the Rapunzels have been treated by laparotomy and a few by laparoscopic assistance. Reports on the complete laparoscopic extraction are scarce. We report a successful laparoscopic extraction of a Rapunzel in a 17-year-old girl.

Methods A 17-year-old girl presented with a history of pain abdomen and non-bilious vomiting for a month duration. The girl was studying in a residential school, and she had an urge to pull and eat her hair. Her vital examination was normal. Her weight was 23 kg. There was a mass palpable in the epigastrum. Routine blood investigations were within normal limits. The radiological evaluation showed a non-homogenous opacity occupying the whole of the stomach, extending into the duodenum and jejunum suggestive of Rapunzel syndrome. A psychiatric evaluation revealed that she was in severe depression due to the death of her beloved one. Antidepressants were initiated and planned for surgery.

Results Under General anesthesia, she was placed in lithotomy position. A 5-mm subumbilical camera port was placed. Three 5-mm operating ports were placed in the right hypochondrium, left lumbar, and epigastric regions. A 10 cm long anterior gastrotomy was done, the Rapunzel was released from the stomach wall all around and gently delivered into an endogenously made sturdy endobag. Extra care was taken to completely extract the Rapunzel and to avoid the spillage. The subumbilical port incision was extended on either side to bring out the mouth of the endobag. A sponge holding forceps was used to remove the trichobezoar in piecemeal fashion. The subumbilical port site by piecemeal with the endobag acting as wound protector (A) Rapunzel delivered into an endobag and extracted via the subumbilical port site by piecemeal with the endobag acting as wound protector (B and C).

Abstract IDDF2020-ABS-0187 Figure 1 Anterior gastrotomy was done (A), Rapunzel delivered into an endobag and extracted via the subumbilical port site by piecemeal with the endobag acting as wound protector (B and C). The patient was started on a liquid diet from the 2nd postoperative day, gradually escalated to a normal diet by 4th day, and discharged after psychiatric counseling and medications. She is on follow up for the past six months and currently asymptomatic.

Conclusions Complete extraction of a Rapunzel by laparoscopy is safe. A sturdy endobag prevents spillage and also acts as a wound protector.
Background To present the case of borderline advanced uncinate malignancy, undergoing curative resection post-NACT and treatment of postoperative gastrojejunostomy fistula with fibrin glue injection and surgical management. The patient had 4 surgical, 3 percutaneous interventions and survived for 2.5 yrs since diagnosis before being lost to follow up.
**Methods** Borderline advanced malignancy patient underwent diagnostic workup and was found to have adenocarcinoma uncinate. He underwent palliative double bypass and NACT. Post-NACT reassessment was done, which showed a resectable uncinate mass with the dilated pancreatic duct. Curative resection -Whipple’s pancreaticoduodenectomy was done. Biopsy showed -complete response.

The postsurgery-controlled pancreatic fistula was managed conservatively, but afferent loop of GJ and leakage developed which was treated initially with prolonged conservative treatment. After the failure of conservative TT was operated and adhesions lysed with jejunojugal bypass was done. Still patient had 10 ml leakage from drain site pancreaticojugumostomy or gastrojejunostomy leak. Again conservative TTT was done but failed. Fistula was located by percutaneous tube and fibrin glue injection was done to heal the fistula tract which succeeded, but later again, 5 ml occasional discharge lead to exploration and fistula finally healed. The patient remained in follow up to 2.5 yrs since diagnosis and 1.5 yrs since the curative surgery, without any recurrence.

**Results** The patient remained disease-free for 1.5 yrs post curative surgery and 2.5 yrs since diagnosis.

The gastrojejunal healed after multiple interventions.

**Conclusions** The multispecialty approach gives the best results in borderline advanced uncinate malignancy.

Persistence leads to the cure of any GI surgical issues in patients.

Prolonged survival can be expected in curative surgery of post-NACT carcinoma uncinate.

A complete response can be seen in uncinate malignancy cases.

**Background** Previous studies show that Differentiated-type-predominant Mixed-type(MD) Early Gastric Cancer(EGC) shows more aggressive behavior than Pure Differentiated-type(PD) EGC. However, the biological behavior of Undifferentiated-type-predominant Mixed-type(MU) EGC and Pure Undifferentiated-type(PU) EGC are controversial. The aims of this meta-analysis were to compare the biological behaviour between MU EGC and PU EGC.

**Methods** We systematically searched PubMed and Embase for relevant studies published up to June 2020. Eligible data were extracted, the pooled results were expressed with ORs and 95% CIs using Stata software, version 15.1.

**Results** In total, 8 studies were included in this analysis. MU EGC had a significantly higher lymph node metastasis(LNM) risk (OR, 2.66; 95% CI, 2.24–3.18), submucosal invasion risk (OR, 2.44; 95% CI, 1.55–3.84), and lymphovascular invasion risk (OR, 2.66; 95% CI, 2.08–3.41) compared with PU EGC. Otherwise, stratified by country, a significantly higher lymph node metastasis(LNM) risk (OR, 2.56; 95% CI, 2.15–3.05), submucosal invasion risk (OR, 3.72; 95% CI, 1.08–12.78), and lymphovascular invasion risk (OR, 2.77; 95% CI, 2.14–3.58) correlative to MU EGC were found in Japan studies.

**Conclusions** Our study identifies MU EGC had an increased risk of submucosal invasion, lymphovascular invasion and LNM compared with PU EGC, which indicated that we should pay more attention to patients with MU EGC in clinical management.
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**Background** Whilst it is commonly recommended that patients presenting with acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding (AUGIB) should receive endoscopic evaluation within 24 hours, the optimal timing is yet to be established. We aimed to assess, for AUGIB patients, whether endoscopy timing after hospital admission would significantly affect clinical outcomes.

**Methods** We conducted a retrospective, territory-wide, cohort study with clinical data extracted from the Hong Kong Hospital Authority (HA) Clinical Data Analysis and Reporting System (CDARS). Patients of age 18 and above that (a) presented with AUGIB to the public hospital between 2013–2019 and (b) received therapeutic endoscopy within 48 hours (n = 6,474) were recruited.

Patients were classified into 3 groups based on endoscopic timing after admission: urgent (t ≤ 6), early (6 < t ≤ 24) and late (24 < t ≤ 48). Important baseline characteristics (e.g. blood tests and comorbidities) were balanced with inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW). With IPTW, differences in patient characteristics across 3 groups were well balanced. Results showed that urgent (n = 1,008) and late endoscopy (n = 1,601) had worse outcomes compared to early endoscopy (n = 3,865), with higher 30-day mortality, repeat endoscopy rates and ICU admission rates.

**Conclusions** Compared to urgent and late endoscopy, early endoscopy timing was significantly associated with superior outcomes. This supports the notion that AUGIB patients should receive endoscopy within 24 hours, but also emphasises the importance of adequate resuscitation, medical optimisation and pharmacotherapy before rushing patients to endoscopy.
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