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ABSTRACT
Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has become the most common cause of chronic liver disease worldwide. Understanding the pathological and molecular hallmarks from its first description to definitions of disease entities, classifications and molecular phenotypes is crucial for both appropriate clinical management and research in this complex disease. We provide an overview through almost two hundred years of clinical research from the beginnings as a nebulous disease entity of unknown origin in the 19th century to the most frequent and vigorously investigated liver disease today. The clinical discrimination between alcohol-related liver disease and NAFLD was uncommon until the 1950s and likely contributed to the late acceptance of NAFLD as a metabolic disease entity for long time. Although the term ‘fatty liver hepatitis’ first appeared in 1962, it was in 1980 that the term ‘non-alcoholic steatohepatitis’ (NASH) was coined and the histopathological hallmarks that are still valid today were defined. The 2005 NASH Clinical Research Network scoring was the first globally accepted grading and staging system for the full spectrum of NAFLD and is still used to semiquantify main histological features. In 2021, liver biopsy remains the only diagnostic procedure that can reliably assess the presence of NASH and early fibrosis but increasing efforts are made towards non-invasive testing and molecular classification of NAFLD subtypes.

INTRODUCTION
Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) comprises a wide spectrum of liver damage, ranging from NAFL (simple steatosis; NAFL), to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) with inflammation and hepatocyte injury to advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis. 1 Although NAFLD histopathology resembles that of alcohol-related liver disease (ALD), the clinical background is different. NAFLD is regarded as one component of the metabolic syndrome, including obesity, insulin resistance or type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension and dyslipidaemia. A ‘multiple parallel hits’ hypothesis describes the pathogenesis of this complex disease from simple steatosis to steatohepatitis. 2, 3 NAFLD affects 10%–24% of the general population worldwide and is the most common cause of chronic liver disease. 1

The worldwide number of obese patients tripled from 1975 to 2018. 3 The introduction of industrialised food rich in high fructose corn syrup (HFCS) in the late 1960s coincided with the increase in the incidence of obesity, diabetes and metabolic syndrome. Bray et al. 6 first hypothesised that HFCS may be directly associated with obesity. 4 However, carefully controlled long-term studies are still required to substantiate the aetiological role of HFCS in metabolic syndrome-related diseases, such as NAFLD. 7 Given the sheer frequency of patients with obesity, metabolic syndrome and NAFLD, it is remarkable that this disease entity has been overlooked by most clinicians for a long time, except for few pioneers, mostly pathologists, who first described the clinical and pathological characteristics more than 150 years ago. Even as recently as 30 years ago, NASH was familiar only to a small group of experts and the term did not exist in the medical vocabulary until 1980. Today, the lack of awareness of NAFLD by the clinical community has contributed to a lack of NASH-specific drugs and reliable...
Figure 1. Venus figurines of obese women. (A) Venus of Willendorf. The figurine is estimated to have been created around 30,000 BC in the Austrian Danube Valley near Krems and is displayed at the Museum of Natural History, Vienna, Austria (Natural History Museum Vienna, with permission) (B) Neolithic Venus figurine. Clay naturalistic figurine of a seated obese woman from Farsala, Thessaly (Athanasakleon archaeological museum of Volos, Greece with permission of the Hellenic Ministry of Culture and Sports/Archaeological Resources Fund) middle Neolithic period 5800–5300 BC.

Figure 2. Historical pathology specimen of a fatty liver from T. Freerichs, Atlas of Pathological Anatomy (Part I, 1861) (A) ‘fatty liver of an advanced grade’. Massive steatosis sparing the vicinity of the central veins; (B) cut surface with pale-yellow parenchyma; (C) after removal of the fat by boiling in ether, only meshes formed by the vessels remain.

Biomarkers. The time has come to take a journey through almost 200 years of clinical research from the beginnings of NASH as a nebulous disease entity of unknown origin in the 19th century to the most frequent and vigorously investigated liver disease today.

BEFORE AUTOPSY

Obesity has been present in humans, putatively, since the European upper Palaeolithic age. This is illustrated by sculptures discovered across Europe, which are traditionally referred to as ‘Venus figurines’. The most prominent example is the Venus of Willendorf (30,000 BC) found in the Austrian Danube valley (figure 1A). In the Neolithic age (7000–3000 BC), clay obese female figurines were still popular in the Mediterranean area (figure 1B). Similarly, in ancient Egypt, parts of sub-Saharan Africa, China and south Pacific islands, obesity has been considered a sign of success, prosperity and good health, and in women implied fertility.

In antiquity, obesity was first regarded as a pathological state associated with feasts and inactivity by the Indian physician Susruta (circa sixth century BC). Obesity, its comorbidities and their treatment have been described in Ayurvedic classic texts. In Europe, Hippocrates of Kos (460–377 BC), recognised the importance of healthy nutrition. Later, Galen of Pergamon (129–216 AD) described obesity as an illness he termed ‘polysarcia’. Although Galen’s ideas concerning the pathophysiology of obesity are obsolete, some of his treatments such as diet and exercise are relevant today.

AGE OF CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS AND AUTOPSY: TERM ‘STEATOSIS’

Fatty liver disease was not recognised as a disease entity until the early 19th century. The first autopsy series revealed that hepatic steatosis affected one third of the French and German populations with female preponderance. The highest frequency of hepatic steatosis in the 1800s was observed in patients affected by tuberculosis. In 1825, the first edition of Louis’ celebrated anatomy and pathology textbook contained the earliest use of the term ‘foie gras’ (fatty liver). Fatty changes in cirrhosis were noticed as early as 1836 by Addison who introduced the term ‘fatty liver’ in the English medical vocabulary. At that time, deposition of free adipose tissue into the liver parenchyma, omentum and mesenteries was regarded as the aetiology of hepatic steatosis. The causal relationship between hepatic fat accumulation and fibrosis development was first recognised by the Viennese pathologist Rokitansky in 1839.

In 1856, Freerichs distinguished between ‘a liver merely abounding in fat’ and ‘one which has undergone fatty degeneration’. The general reversibility of hepatic steatosis after diet changes was also recognised. In addition, progression to terminal stages with ‘granular’ (cirrhotic) liver, ascites and splenomegaly was observed and liver failure was termed ‘foie inactive’.

Intriguingly, it was already recognised by Freerichs that microscopic liver ‘fatty degeneration’ occurs close to inflammatory infiltrates and fibrosis (figure 2). In contrast, ‘simple deposition of fat in cells which were not altered in their other characters’ was defined as ‘fatty infiltration’. This distinction resembles the differentiation between bland steatosis (NAFL) and progressive fatty liver disease (NASH and beyond) used today. By the end of the 19th century, hepatitis had been accepted as ‘the second stage’ thus representing the early recognition of a disease spectrum in NAFLD.

Freerichs described ‘distended cells’ while Lereboullet coined the term ‘cloudy swelling’. The morphological description is similar to what is now regarded as hepatocellular ballooning. Grading of steatosis, assessed as percentage of affected hepatocytes, was established by Freerichs.

These histological observations were made on autopsy material. A clinical diagnosis could only be made in vivo by palpation of a rounded margin of the enlarged liver. The clinical association of fatty liver with diabetes and obesity were first made by Pepper and Bartolow, respectively.

PATHOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION AND CLINICAL DESCRIPTIONS OF THE DISEASE: FIRST BIOPSY SERIES

Most early reports on fatty liver disease came from pathologists. Hanssen, a clinician at the Steno Memorial Hospital in Copenhagen, Denmark described hepatomegaly due to fat accumulation in diabetic patients but it was Connor, a pathologist at the University of California San Francisco, USA who in 1938 described the histopathological features of fatty liver disease in diabetics and its association with development of cirrhosis indicating for the first time an aetiological link and put the stage for many other studies. In 1950s, possible link(s) of fatty liver with morbidity obesity were discussed.
Lever biopsies for the assessment of fatty liver disease were rarely performed before 1950 and often interpreted by clinicians themselves. Serial biopsies for the assessment of fatty liver started in the early 1950s and documented the potentially progressive nature of the disease. The ‘one second biopsy of the liver’ introduced by Menghini in the late 1950s contributed to the rapid dissemination of percutaneous biopsy as a routine procedure.

During this time, the association of fatty liver disease with diabetes mellitus became increasingly apparent and most biopsy series included diabetic patients. However, discrimination between ALD and NAFLD was uncommon and many investigators analysed mixed-patient cohorts.

Notably, the grading and staging systems for steatosis and fibrosis in the 1950s differed from current standards. In the 1950s, livers were considered steatotic when more than 10% (today 5%) of the parenchymal cells were fat-laden. An accepted grading scale extended from grade 1 (10% steatosis) to grade 4 (approaching 100%). Prevalence rates of steatosis were around 60% in diabetic subjects compared with between 18% and 36% among patients with non-diabetes.

There was a major discrepancy in the literature regarding the incidence of advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis and the terms ‘cirrhosis’ or ‘portal cirrhosis’ were used as synonyms for advanced fibrosis. The fibrosis grading scale ranged from 1, denoting increased periportal connective tissue extending into the lobules, up to stage 4 with ‘severe distortion of lobular architecture’. Popper suggested stages A–D similar to current fibrosis grading scale extended from grade 1 (10% steatosis) to grade 4 (approaching 100%). He concluded that subdivision by septa (stage B beginning, stage C connecting) is the basic mechanism of cirrhosis formation.

From the turn of the century until 1955, general autopsy series in the United States revealed a continuous increase in the prevalence of cirrhosis from 13% to approximately 20%, with the majority representing the ‘fatty nutritional type’ which included both alcoholic and NAFL. The prevalence of cirrhosis in diabetic autopsy series in the 1930s to 1950s ranged from 12.7% to as high as 44%, and indicated that advanced fibrosis in diabetic autopsy series in the 1930s to 1950s contributed to the rapid dissemination of percutaneous biopsy as a routine procedure. Thaler in Vienna, Austria who clearly noted ‘a cirrhotogenic role of diabetes mellitus’. The term ‘fatty liver hepatitis’ as a surrogate of ‘steatohepatitis’ first appeared in 1962 in the German literature to describe fatty liver with necroinflammation. In this seminal paper, Thaler described the presence of inflammatory infiltrates after exclusion of concurrent diseases, which could be responsible for the pathological picture. His early reports on steatohepatitis included separate series of both alcohol-related and non-alcoholic aetiology, highlighting the similarity in histopathological appearance but differences in their clinical course. Even today, we struggle with a substantial overlap in real life, as reflected by the emergence of new acronyms, such as BASH and BAFLD for ‘both’ ALD and NAFLD.

Histopathological studies in obese or patients with diabetes with symptomatic liver disease documented lesions already known in ALD that are now diagnosed as NAFL/NASH. Despite considerable similarities, NAFLD/NASH and ALD differ in certain morphological aspects. For example, central veno-occlusive lesions are usually absent in NAFLD/NASH in contrast to ALD, and the presence of abundant, large, well-formed, Mallory-Denk bodies (MDBs) with surrounding neutrophil infiltration (satellitosis) points to an alcohol-related aetiology.

Previously, others generated the hypothesis that the observed inflammatory changes could be caused by other pathogenetic influences. Thaler recognised that inflammation evolved independently of the degree of fatty change and he first used the term ‘non-alcoholic’. Over time, the term ‘fatty liver hepatitis’ gained broader acceptance.

The term ‘NASH’ in the English language was coined by Jurgen Ludwig from the Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA in 1980, who defined the ‘hitherto unnamed liver disease that histologically mimics alcoholic hepatitis and that also may progress to cirrhosis’. The term ‘non-alcoholic’ was already in use by European and Japanese authors to describe patients with this disease. The Mayo team described the histopathological hallmarks characterised by the presence of lobular hepatitis, focal necrosis with mixed inflammatory infiltrates, and in most instances MDBs and fibrosis. For the first time, the disease had been clearly linked to a pathophysiological scenario of obesity and comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus. In 1988, Thaler added the term ‘ballooning’ for what had previously been described as ‘cloudy swelling’ of damaged hepatocytes to complete the NASH criteria of today’s scoring systems. Still, in 1990, both terms ‘fatty liver hepatitis’ and ‘steatohepatitis’ were used. These descriptions triggered an exponential growth of research on NASH since 1980. Recently, the term metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) has been proposed to emphasise this association but unfortunately it may also cover other forms of metabolic liver disease with steatosis.

NASH is a progressive disorder characterised by steatosis, hepatocyte damage, lobular inflammation and fibrosis with centrallobular (zone 3) pattern of injury in adult patients (figure 4). In paediatric NASH, portal predominance of the characteristic lesions or mixed forms are more frequent than...
the zone 3 pattern which is commoner in older children. Together with lobular infiltration by neutrophils and/or mononuclear cells, enlarged ‘ballooned’ hepatocytes with lightly stained, rarified cytoplasm and often a hyperchromatic nucleus in which the nucleolus is a diagnostically decisive feature of NASH; they often, but not always, contain irregular eosinophilic cytoplasmic inclusions resembling Mallory–Denk bodies (MDBs).80 81 MDBs are, however, not specific for NASH and can occur in other chronic liver diseases, such as chronic cholestatic and metabolic disorders, and in hepatic carcinomas.82 83 MDBs, originally described in 1911 by Mallory, a pathologist at Boston City Hospital, MA, USA in alcoholic cirrhosis,84 display an irregular filamentous ultrastructure and consist of partially degraded, misfolded and cross-linked keratins (particularly keratin 8), ubiquitin, stress and adaptor protein sequestosome1/p62, and heat-shock proteins, as revealed by chemical and immunohistochemical analyses.81 88–83 Diminution or even disappearance of the keratin intermediate filament cytoskeleton, as demonstrated by immunohistochemistry, is a characteristic feature of ballooned hepatocytes and may compromise cell stability and intracellular organisation; impaired secretory capacity and retention of proteins and fluid may also be involved.82 85 Disturbance of the keratin system adversely affects hepatocyte viability and function, since keratins are regarded as ‘guardians of the cell’. Morphologically, ballooned hepatocytes resemble stress-induced (oxidative) senescent cells with abnormal synthesis of stress proteins (keratins, heat shock protein, p62 and others) as adaptation and survival strategies. They may contribute to inflammation and fibrosis.

By the 1990s, the field was prepared to further study the clinical course of this newly named, old disease. It soon became clear that NASH is not just a disease in obese women with diabetes.86 Bacon et al at Saint Louis University Hospital, Missouri, USA described a substantial proportion of males and non-obese patients with normal glucose and lipid levels in their cohort. This report emphasised ‘the need to consider NASH as a definite diagnostic possibility in an expanded group of patients’.87 While earlier reports described this disease entity as a rather benign and only slowly progressive disorder,88 89 the progressive nature of NASH had become clear from combined analyses of cohorts, where 43% of patients developed cirrhosis.87–89 These observations were then challenged by Day’s group at Newcastle University, UK, who observed normal liver enzymes in the majority of NAFLD patients and no progression to cirrhosis or liver-related death at a median follow-up of 11 years.90 This challenge was further supported by observations in a cohort of 1515 morbidly obese patients where cirrhosis prevalence was only 3% despite an 80% steatosis rate.91 By the end of the 1990s, pathophysiological studies in rodents and humans supported the importance of specific events like oxidative stress and endotoxin-mediated cytokine release triggering the development of necroinflammation in NASH patients.92 Still, a likely contributor to the confusion was due to the term ‘NASH’ being frequently used not in the specific context of histopathological steatohepatitis.93

As liver enzymes were introduced into routine automated testing platforms, investigating apparently healthy patients with abnormal liver blood tests became a relevant issue.90 Two distinct groups of adult NAFLD patients emerged: those with simple fatty liver who had an excellent prognosis and those with progressive NASH and often fibrosis.93 Poorer outcomes were observed more frequently in patients with ballooning, MDBs or fibrosis.94 Matteoni et al from the Mayo Clinic showed that more than 20% of patients with these lesions together with inflammation developed cirrhosis over a 10-year follow-up in contrast to only 4% of those with simple steatosis.94

In early 2000, the first studies on paediatric NAFLD documented progression to cirrhosis in 3% of the cases.95 96 Most cases are aetologically related to the metabolic syndrome but some are due to inherited syndromes characterised by obesity and insulin resistance.97 The first histological autopsy study, conducted at the University of California San Diego, USA in 2006, showed a 9.6% prevalence of fatty liver in children.98

Despite the emerging awareness among specialised liver centres, surprisingly little attention was being paid to NASH in regular clinical practice.91 One ongoing challenge is the lack of a predictable correlation between abnormal standard liver tests (such as aminotransferases) and the severity of histological lesions.99 100 This has contributed to the under-recognition of NASH, particularly in patients with so-called cryptogenic cirrhosis.101 102 Diagnosis of NAFLD in lean subjects is of particular importance since 20% of these patients have NASH, >F2 fibrosis and carotid atherosclerosis.103 Furthermore, NAFLD is recognised as the most common cause of cryptogenic cirrhosis but the diagnosis frequently appears to be delayed.103

Figure 3   Numbers of annual PubMed/MEDLINE references for the term ‘NASH’ from 1980 to 2019. References were identified for the search term ‘NASH’ (excluding author names), accessed on 8 April 2020. NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis.

Figure 4   Histology of steatosis and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). (A) Macrovesicular steatosis. The hepatocytes are distended by large fat droplets; chromotrope-aniline blue, x200. (B) NASH characterised by ballooned hepatocytes with lightly stained cytoplasm, some of them containing indistinct cytoplasmic inclusions resembling Mallory–Denk bodies (MDBs; arrows); H&E stain, x200. (C) NASH with ballooned hepatocytes, some of them containing MDBs (arrows) most hepatocytes are surrounded by pericellular fibrosis (blue RIMs around hepatocytes); chromotrope-aniline blue stain x200. (D, inset) Immunohistochemistry using antibodies to sequestosome1/p62 reveals p62-containing MDBs (red), x200.
SEMIQUANTIFICATION OF NASH: HISTOLOGICAL SCORING SYSTEMS

From the study of Matteoni et al., it became clear that specific histopathological features discriminated between two prognostically different patient groups. Different scoring systems were established to quantify the lesions and assign qualitative and semiquantitative numerical values to the histopathological diagnoses of steatosis alone, steatohepatitis and fibrosis. In 1999, Brunt et al published a histological system for assessment of ‘activity’ grade and staging fibrosis in NASH. NASH grades (1–3) were based on the combination of steatosis, hepatocellular ballooning, lobular and portal inflammation. Fibrosis staging recognised early zone 3 sinusoidal and or pericellular ‘chicken-wire’ fibrosis either alone (stage 1) or in combination with periportal (stage 2) or bridging fibrosis (stage 3), while stage 4 was cirrhosis.

The necessity to define a widely accepted scoring system for NASH was highlighted by a National Institutes of Health symposium which fostered further efforts. The NASH Clinical Research Network (NASH CRN) established and validated the NASH CRN score as the first globally accepted, scoring system that addressed the full spectrum of NAFLD lesions and proposed the summative NAFLD activity score (NAS) to semiquantify disease activity in clinical trials. The NAS (range 0–8) is calculated by summing-up semi-quantitative scores for three of the most important histological features of NAFLD: steatosis (0–3), lobular inflammation (0–2) and hepatocellular ballooning (0–2). Kleiner et al of the NASH CRN Pathology Committee initially observed that NAS>5 correlated with NASH diagnosis whereas biopsies with NAS scores of <3 correlated with ‘not NASH’. Subsequent work from the same group made clear, however, that these NAS threshold values were not always associated with the underlying histological diagnosis of simple steatosis or steatohepatitis and, therefore, could not replace histopathological assessment.

The NASH CRN pathologists have also coined the pattern-based ‘borderline NASH’ category for those cases that could not be binary classified. They also devised a staging system for assessing fibrosis in NAFLD based on Brunt’s 5-tier staging (0–4) with only modification being the subdivision of stage 1 into 3 substages.

In 2011, a research workshop of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases reached consensus on key endpoints and the design of clinical trials for adult NASH. It was agreed that “definite steatohepatitis” is characterised by zone 3 accentuation of macrovesicular steatosis of any grade, hepatocellular ballooning of any degree, and lobular inflammation of any degree and recommended that the NAS should be used to semiquantify disease activity.

Grading and staging of morphological features enhance information particularly in clinical trials. NAFLD heterogeneity reflects individual variability in response to metabolic stress and susceptibility to hepatocyte lipotoxicity, depending on genetic and environmental factor. As shown by pioneers in the field, NAFLD displays a continuous spectrum of hepatocytic, inflammatory and fibrous lesions. Therefore, the binary categorisation of NAFLD into NASH and ‘not NASH’ is artificial in a continuous disease process. Bedossa et al developed a simple algorithm to standardise the histological diagnosis of NASH and reduce inter-observer variability. The diagnostic algorithm was informed by scores for steatosis (S0–S3), activity grade (A0–A4 by adding scores for ballooning (0–2) and lobular inflammation (0–2)) and fibrosis stage (F0–F4). A group of expert hepatopathologists and general pathologists from the Fatty Liver Inhibition of Progression (FLIP) consortium further validated the FLIP algorithm. The SAF scoring system (Steatosis, Activity, Fibrosis) includes the same categories as NAS for the semiquantitation of liver injury but the diagnostic FLIP algorithm requires the simultaneous presence of steatosis, ballooning and lobular inflammation for NASH diagnosis.

Despite the use of widely accepted minimal diagnostic criteria for diagnosing NASH, the issue of interobserver variability for assessing the characteristic histological features still remains. In order to increase the reliability of NASH diagnosis, both NASH CRN and FLIP SAF scoring systems are now simultaneously used in clinical trials and registries worldwide and can be performed by expert and general pathologists equally well if they are properly trained.

THE ROLE OF FIBROSIS AS PROGNOSTIC INDICATOR

The prognostic relevance of these histopathological scores has been validated in several large registries with up to four decades follow-up. Fibrosis starts in zone 3 (perivenular, sinusoidal, pericellular). In later stages, portal, periportal and bridging fibrosis leads, finally, to cirrhosis. In 2015, it became clear that evaluation of fibrosis stage may be even more fundamental than scoring necroinflammation or diagnosing NASH since it emerged as the main prognostic factor. In two independent cohorts, the American PRELHIN study and the cohort from Karolinska and Linköping University Hospital, Sweden, NAS score alone was unable to predict overall mortality, whereas fibrosis stage predicted both overall and disease-specific mortality. Similar results were obtained for SAF score, which was not associated with increased mortality in NAFLD after adjustment for fibrosis. In the largest retrospective cohort study from Karolinska and Linköping University Hospital, Sweden from 1971 to 2009, the presence of NASH alone did not significantly increase the risk of liver-specific morbidity or overall mortality during a mean 20-year follow-up. In recent systematic meta-analyses, the risk of liver-related mortality increased exponentially with increasing fibrosis stage, while biopsy-confirmed fibrosis was associated with overall mortality risk in NAFL/NASH patients after adjusting for confounding factors. Further dissecting the natural course of advanced disease, a multinational study of 458 patients documented that patients with NAFLD cirrhosis have predominantly liver-related events, whereas those with bridging fibrosis experience mainly extrahepatic malignancy and cardiovascular events. In 475 NASH patients enrolled in two negative phase 2b trials, the primary determinant of clinical disease progression was fibrosis extent, fibrosis at baseline and its change over time.

Although cirrhosis is the major risk factor for HCC development in NAFLD, there is increasing evidence that NAFLD-associated HCC frequently occurs in the absence of cirrhosis. The role of NASH as a precursor lesion for fibrosis emerged as patients with NASH developed severe liver disease slightly earlier than patients without NASH in the Swedish cohort. Recently, two positive NASH CRN clinical trials demonstrated a strong association between improvements in fibrosis and resolution of steatohepatitis. In addition, an NASH CRN prospective study has shown that changes in NAFLD activity are positively associated with changes in fibrosis. The general reversibility of ballooning, lobular inflammation and fibrosis after weight loss has been documented in patients undergoing bariatric surgery. Whether histopathological improvement from liver biopsy data in fact translates into a reduction in overall mortality and liver-related events is currently being investigated in phase 3 studies.
In 2021, liver biopsy still remains the only diagnostic procedure that can reliably assess the various NAFLD patterns, and particularly to diagnose NASH and early fibrosis.\textsuperscript{71,113}

**GENETIC BASIS OF NAFLD PHENOTYPES**

At the turn of 21st century, large-scale sequencing techniques became more widely available at reasonable cost. Genome-wide association (GWAS) and candidate gene studies have contributed to our understanding of interindividual variation in the progression and outcome of ALD and NAFLD.\textsuperscript{127} Genetic variants influence the risk and fate of NAFLD, particularly intensity and effects of oxidative stress, severity of steatosis and fibrosis, response to endotoxin, release of cytokines/chemokines and immune response. In 2008, Romeo and colleagues published the first GWAS in NAFLD.\textsuperscript{128} Of relatively modest size by current standards, this study examined 9229 nonsynonymous single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in a North American population of diverse ethnicity from the Dallas Heart Study and identified a single highly significant association between increased hepatic triacylglycerol accumulation measured using non-invasive proton MR spectroscopy and the patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing 3 (PNPLA3) gene locus. Ethnic differences were described for the p.I148M SNP that was most common in Hispanics, lower in subjects of European ancestry (0.23) and lowest in African-Americans.\textsuperscript{128} Together with further SNPs associated with increased hepatic triacylglycerol content, PNPLA3 has been confirmed in a subsequent GWAS meta-analysis using 2.4 million SNPs from 7176 individuals of European ancestry by Speliotes et al.\textsuperscript{129} This variant may explain, at least in part, special phenotypes like paediatric and lean NAFLD.\textsuperscript{130,131} The search for further fatty liver genes revealed transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 (TM6SF2), glucokinase regulatory protein gene (GCKR), and HSD17B13, encoding for a retinol dehydrogenase, among an increasing list of associated loci.\textsuperscript{127,132-134} Combined effects of these risk alleles were observed in replication cohorts.\textsuperscript{135} In recent years, additional data supported the particular contribution of PNPLA3 and other gene loci to the development of fibroinflammation and HCC.\textsuperscript{127}

In the largest histology-based NAFLD GWAS in a cohort of 1483 European patients with biopsy-proven NAFLD and 17,778 genetically matched controls, PNPLA3 was confirmed as risk factor for NAFLD, such as elastography devices and blood tests,\textsuperscript{139} the diagnosis of NASH is still based on liver biopsy, an invasive procedure not suitable for the large proportion of general population affected by NAFLD. In 2007, the NAFLD fibrosis score (NFS) was introduced as a simple scoring system to distinguish NAFLD with and without advanced fibrosis.\textsuperscript{140} Subsequently, further fibrosis tests (table 1), including fibrosis-4 (FIB-4) index,\textsuperscript{141} fibrotest/fibrosure,\textsuperscript{142} enhanced liver fibrosis test\textsuperscript{143} and liver stiffness measurement by vibration-controlled transient elastography,\textsuperscript{144} have entered clinical practice. Most importantly for primary care, these NITs show excellent area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROCs) for the diagnosis of advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis.\textsuperscript{145} The non-invasive diagnosis of advanced fibrosis has been further improved by the sequential combination of different NITs thereby refining the patient referral pathway between primary care or diabetologists and liver specialists.\textsuperscript{146} Longitudinal retrospective studies have demonstrated that NITs calibrated on liver fibrosis are themselves prognostic markers able to stratify the risk of...

**TOWARDS NON-INVASIVE DIAGNOSIS OF NASH AND FIBROSIS IN NAFLD: FUTURE TRENDS**

Research on NAFLD has made remarkable progress over the past two centuries (figure 5) but major issues remain. Despite progress in non-invasive tests (NITs) for the evaluation of liver fibrosis in NAFLD, such as elastography devices and blood tests,\textsuperscript{139} the diagnosis of NASH is still based on liver biopsy, an invasive procedure not suitable for the large proportion of general population affected by NAFLD. In 2007, the NAFLD fibrosis score (NFS) was introduced as a simple scoring system to distinguish NAFLD with and without advanced fibrosis.\textsuperscript{140} Subsequently, further fibrosis tests (table 1), including fibrosis-4 (FIB-4) index,\textsuperscript{141} fibrotest/fibrosure,\textsuperscript{142} enhanced liver fibrosis test\textsuperscript{143} and liver stiffness measurement by vibration-controlled transient elastography,\textsuperscript{144} have entered clinical practice. Most importantly for primary care, these NITs show excellent area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROCs) for the diagnosis of advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis.\textsuperscript{145} The non-invasive diagnosis of advanced fibrosis has been further improved by the sequential combination of different NITs thereby refining the patient referral pathway between primary care or diabetologists and liver specialists.\textsuperscript{146} Longitudinal retrospective studies have demonstrated that NITs calibrated on liver fibrosis are themselves prognostic markers able to stratify the risk of...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Non-invasive tests for fibrosis assessment in NAFLD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct serum markers</strong></td>
<td><strong>Indirect serum markers/ panels</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyaluronic Acid\textsuperscript{159}</td>
<td>AST/ALT\textsuperscript{(44)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminin\textsuperscript{(159)}</td>
<td>γ-GT\textsuperscript{(44)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YKL-40\textsuperscript{(160)}</td>
<td>Platelet count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procollagen type-III N-terminal peptide (Pro-C3)\textsuperscript{(161)}</td>
<td>Albumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-1, MMP-2\textsuperscript{(162)}</td>
<td>PA G\textsuperscript{(163)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMPs\textsuperscript{(163)}</td>
<td>APR\textsuperscript{(163)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-J1\textsuperscript{(164)}</td>
<td>Metalloproteinases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal peptide (Pro-C3)\textsuperscript{(161)}</td>
<td>Cytokeratin-18\textsuperscript{(166)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procollagen type-III N-terminal peptide (Pro-C3)\textsuperscript{(161)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP-1, MMP-2\textsuperscript{(162)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMPs\textsuperscript{(163)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-J1\textsuperscript{(164)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMPs\textsuperscript{(163)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-J1\textsuperscript{(164)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMPs\textsuperscript{(163)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-J1\textsuperscript{(164)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMPs\textsuperscript{(163)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-J1\textsuperscript{(164)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMPs\textsuperscript{(163)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-J1\textsuperscript{(164)}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Denotes tests also assessed for non-invasive diagnosis of NASH.

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; APRI, AST to Platelet Ratio Index; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BAAT, BMI, age, ALT, triglyceride score; BARD, BMI, AST, ALT, ratio, Diabetes score; BMI, body mass index; ELF, European Liver Fibrosis panel; eLIFT, easy Liver Fibrosis test; FIB-4, fibrotest-AST; FIB-4 score, Fibrosis-4; γ-GT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; MACK-3, combination of HOMA, AST and ALT; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NIS-4, non-invasive diagnosis for non-alcoholic Steatohepatitis panel; PGA, Prothrombin time; Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase, Apolipoprotein Al; PiNP, Procollagen III amino terminal peptide; TGF-J1, transforming growth factor-β; TIMPs, tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases; YKL-40, chondrex, human cartilage glycoprotein-39.
liver-related outcomes and mortality in NAFLD.\textsuperscript{147,148} These data reinforce the relevance of using NITs instead of liver biopsy for the management of NAFLD patients in clinical practice.

NITs, such as the multiparametric NIS4 test,\textsuperscript{149} have not yet achieved sufficient accuracy and validation for the non-invasive diagnosis of NASH in routine practice. This, therefore, remains a significant challenge, and large consortia (LITMUS in Europe, NIMBLE in USA) are currently working to identify and validate new NASH biomarkers.

Pathological diagnosis in the near future will be supported by artificial intelligence. Future attempts to improve NASH diagnosis will apply machine learning to develop fully automated software applications for quantification of steatosis, inflammation, ballooning and fibrosis.\textsuperscript{150} Advances in microscopy techniques, such as second harmonic generation/two-photon excitation fluorescence imaging, could potentially improve reproducibility and standardisation of liver biopsy assessment.\textsuperscript{151}

A great deal of our previously discussed knowledge has been derived from animal experiments under standardised conditions.\textsuperscript{152}

Efforts are now focused on next-generation mouse models, which are particularly suitable for genetic manipulation but out of the focus of this work.\textsuperscript{153}

What will the future bring in regard to NAFLD? As the global epidemic of obesity fuels metabolic conditions, the clinical and economic burden of NAFLD will become enormous.\textsuperscript{154} Models based on published estimates predict a growth of up to 30% in total NAFLD cases between 2016 and 2030.\textsuperscript{155} NASH prevalence will increase by 15%–56%, while advanced liver disease and liver-related mortality will more than double as a result of ageing Western populations. Obeticholic acid could represent an important milestone in the history of NASH if it becomes the first licensed treatment based on the favourable results of the interim analysis of the pivotal phase 3 trial.\textsuperscript{156} For those with morbid obesity, rates of bariatric procedures will further increase.\textsuperscript{157} Given the rapidly growing global burden of NAFLD/NASH, efforts to discover accurate, non-invasive diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers, to develop effective treatments for individuals with advanced NASH and to implement preventative methods must continue.\textsuperscript{158} The remark of Louis from 1843 on NAFLD as the most frequent and significant disease of the liver is still true today and guides our efforts in the future.\textsuperscript{159}
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