11 patients with a negative FIT tests ranging from 4–8 µgHb/gF were also sent on into the 2ww pathway, for a range of clinical reasons, as determined by their GPs as warranting cancer exclusion. Across the audit period none of them were found to have colorectal cancer. A total of 91 patients from the practice were referred on the 2ww colorectal pathway, FIT referrals were 30% of this total.

Conclusions The results show that FIT is being utilised increasingly by GPs for the colorectal cancer 2ww pathway, and that a significant proportion of these are being referred with FIT positivity. Direction of educational resource towards FIT roll out will increase its use in primary care. Detecting cancer through use of FIT in those patients with 'low risk but not no risk' symptoms demonstrates the utility of this pathway.

P321 PRESSURE ON WAITING TIMES OF SURVEILLANCE COLONOSCOPIES REDUCED WITH USE OF A CLINICAL VALIDATION TOOL

Kelly Stone*, Melanie Shores, Michael Lim, Prashant Kant. *York Teaching Hospital Foundation Trust, York, UK*

10.1136/gutjnl-2020-bsgcampus.395

Introduction The recent 2019 BSG/ACPGBI evidence based guidelines aim to make surveillance colonoscopy more personalised, ensuring it is recommended for people who need it, and not for those who do not. The updated guidelines have resulted in a change of need and timing for this test.

We chose to study whether the implementation of the new guidelines resulted in a reduction of waiting time breaches and demand for surveillance colonoscopy.

Methods Monthly reports with waiting time breaches were obtained from the information team. All demographic data were then recorded on a separate spreadsheet. A retrospective review of previous colonoscopy report(s), histology and referral/clinic letters was then conducted. The new guidelines were utilised and a local decision tree created. Validated patients fell into 4 outcomes: discharge, continued surveillance, not validated or complex patient requiring consultant input. All discharged patients received a letter and a copy was sent to their GP. A Mann-Whitney U-test was performed to compare groups, a p-value <0.05 was deemed significant.

Results Preliminary data was collected for 8 weeks. There were a total of 611 patients. Median age for the study population was 68 (IQR 58–75) years.

After implementation of the decision tree, 123 (20%) patients were not suitable for validation as they were not polyp surveillance patients. Of the remaining 488 patients, 203 (33.2%) were suitable for discharged (removed from waiting list or cancelled due to duplicate entries or changed procedures). Only six (3%) patients from the discharge population made further contact. A further 282 (46.2%) required continued surveillance (as originally planned or changed to a longer interval. Five patients required input from consultants due to complexity.

Patients that were discharged were older than those that returned for surveillance (73 (IQR 63–79) vs. 68 (IQR 60–74) years, p-value=0.001. The overall discharge rate of 33.2% percent equated to a reduction in demand for 41 colonoscopy lists.

Conclusions The new guidelines have led to a reduction in the number of waiting time breaches. Patient feedback from our process has been positive. Long-term plans are needed to ensure a continued reduction of waiting times going forwards.

P322 IS THERE STILL A PLACE FOR FLEXIBLE SIGMOIDOSCOPY IN THE 'FIT' ERA?

Mohammed Fakhru-Aldeen*, Mark Cartmell, Byron Theron. *Northern Devon District Hospital, Barnstaple, UK*

10.1136/gutjnl-2020-bsgcampus.396

Introduction Flexible sigmoidoscopy remains a first line test for left side colonic symptoms. However, the advantages it conferred over colonoscopy have receded. Usage in symptomatic patients has been on the wane with more sensitive stool tests, investment in colorectal services and advances in radiology. We sought to quantify post-flexible sigmoidoscopy colorectal cancer rates in symptomatic patients to determine if there is on-going utility for flexible sigmoidoscopy and provide quality assurance.

Methods We performed a retrospective review of all flexible sigmoidoscopies for symptomatic patients between March 2010 and March 2016. We used cancer registry data to identify all patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) who had had a negative flexible sigmoidoscopy in the preceding 3 years.

Patients who were diagnosed within 6 months were excluded to allow for time for linkages between databases and where there may have been additional complexity delaying the diagnosis to avoid inappropriately misclassifying patients.

Flexible sigmoidoscopies were categorised as true positive (CRC within 6 months of the index procedure) and false negative (CRC within 6–36 months). We performed a qualitative review of each false negative.

Results 7199 flexible sigmoidoscopies were done over 6 years. 232 (3.2%) cases of CRC were diagnosed at index test (true positives). The false negative rate was 3.75%(9/240). The false negative rate was higher in women at 6.1% (6/99) vs 2.12% (3/141) in men. There were no false negatives in individuals younger than 60 years. The false negative rate in those over 60 was 4.6% (9/194).

All but 2 of the false negative cases were proximal to the splenic flexure, 1 was beyond the extent of the flexible sigmoidoscopy, the other within a diverticular segment which had been passed. 6/9 had an indication for full colonic review. 2 had iron deficiency anaemia, 1 had a change in bowel with loss of weight and 3 had undiagnosed abdominal pain. In hindsight, it is likely that the missed cancer explained the symptoms, but it is acknowledged that the indications were of variable strength.

Conclusions Advancing age and female gender are associated with higher false negative rates. Bowel preparation did not seem to be a factor in missed CRC. Our data suggest that flexible sigmoidoscopy is a safe first line test in patients with left sided colonic symptoms as it has low false negative rate and diagnostic yield similar to other invasive investigations for alarm symptoms. However, adequate consideration of complex symptoms and safety netting should be in place to ensure there are no indications for a full colonoscopy.