Results 282 patients (165 female, 117 male; mean age ± standard deviation, 47.5± 12.1 years; range, 19-78 years old) with follow-up data were included in the study. A trend of decreasing eradication rates was observed from 2008 to 2015 (p=0.026). These results are demonstrated in Table 2 (IDDF2021-ABS-0037 Table 2). Increasing the duration of treatment from 10 days to 14 days was found to achieve a significantly higher H. pylori eradication rate between 2016 to 2020. (p=0.032). This is reflected in the findings in Table 3 (IDDF2021-ABS-0037 Table 3).

Conclusions Clarithromycin-based triple therapy remains an effective first-line therapy for H. pylori eradication in Hong Kong, but a treatment duration of 14 days should be given instead of 7 or 10 days.
Results In the training and two test cohorts, the radiomics signature showed an acceptable discrimination for predicting primary nonresponse to infliximab therapy (an area under the curve [AUC], 0.861, 0.827, and 0.769, respectively; all P<0.05); after adding the clinical predictors (albumin and body mass index) to radiomic signature for developing a radiomic-clinical nomogram (IDDF2021-ABS-0039 Figure 1. Radiomic-clinical nomogram), its prediction performance (AUC, 0.891, 0.841, and 0.804, respectively; all P<0.05; IDDF2021-ABS-0039 Figure 2. ROC analysis of the prediction performance of the radiomic-clinical nomogram) was significantly improved comparing with radiomics signature alone. Decision curve analysis demonstrated that the radiomic-clinical nomogram provided a better net benefit to predicting primary nonresponse to infliximab than radiomics signature and the clinical factors model (IDDF2021-ABS-0039 Figure 3. Decision curve analysis of the prediction performance of the radiomic-clinical nomogram, radiomic signature and clinical factors model).

Conclusions The radiomic-clinical nomogram may be a promising tool to allow accurately identify CD patients at high risk of primary nonresponse to infliximab therapy.
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Comparative Efficacy of Curcumin and Proton Pump Inhibitor for Functional Dyspepsia: A Randomized Double-Blinded Controlled Trial

Background Curcumin has been claimed to have gastrointestinal benefits including dyspepsia—a common disorder that could be managed in a primary care setting with behavioral and dietary modification as well as over-the-counter medications. This study aimed to compare the efficacy of curcumin versus omeprazole in improving patient-reported outcomes.

Methods This randomized controlled trial comprised of three arms of four large (250 mg of curcumin or placebo) and one small (20 mg of omeprazole or placebo) capsules: curcumin only (C), omeprazole only (O), and curcumin+omeprazole (C+O). The large capsules were taken four times daily and the small capsules were taken twice daily for 28 days. Eligible participants with dyspepsia symptoms, assessed by using the Short-Form Leeds Dyspepsia Questionnaire (SF-LDQ), underwent gastroscopy by certified gastroenterologists; those with pathologic dyspepsia including Helicobacter pylori infection were excluded. Functional dyspepsia symptoms were assessed by using the Severity of Dyspepsia Assessment (SODA) scores at baseline, day 28, and day 56. Demographics and clinical characteristics were analyzed by using descriptive statistics. Comparative improvement of SODA scores across the three arms, adjusted for potential confounders, were analyzed by using generalized estimating equations (GEE) regression.

Results A total of 207 participants; C (69), O (69), C+O (69), were recruited, of which 151; C (49), O (49), C+O (53) completed the study. The overall mean age was 49.7 ±11.9 years and 73.4% were female. Demographics, clinical characteristics, baseline SODA, and SF-LDQ scores were comparable across the three groups. The SODA pain intensity reduction (C: -6.22 and -9.59; O: -6.98 and -10.62; C+O: -