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CONSULTANT TRIAGE OF ENDOSCOPY WAITING LISTS DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC SAVES MONEY AND REDUCES WORKLOAD
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Introduction The Covid-19 pandemic continues to cause delays nationally in provision of endoscopy services. As recommended by the BSG, triage of ‘Planned’ and ‘Routine’ endoscopy waiting lists was conducted within a hospital serving a population of 166,257.

This study aimed to see if consultant led triage resulted in cost savings and/or a reduction in endoscopy workload.

Methods The ‘Planned’ endoscopy waiting list included patients on a surveillance pathway or awaiting a follow up procedure. The ‘Routine’ endoscopy waiting list referred to all other outpatient endoscopy requests. Patient records were reviewed on iSOFT Clinical Manager 2.0. Patients were telephoned if further information was needed.

Each endoscopy request was assigned to one of four categories: ‘no longer required’, ‘no change to request’, ‘upgrade request to urgent’, and ‘change request to alternative planned interval’. Outcomes were recorded in an Excel spreadsheet. Patients were informed of any important change in management plan.

Procedures that were deemed no longer required were reviewed in more detail to establish the reason for this. Potential savings made as a result of not carrying out procedures that were categorised as ‘no longer required’ were based on the 2018/19 National Tariff for the procedure with biopsies.

Results A total of 589 patients were on the endoscopy waiting list: 326 on the ‘Planned’ list, and 263 on the ‘Routine’ list.

157 (27%) of endoscopy requests were categorised as not required. Reasons for this included updated or incorrect adherence to surveillance guidelines (26%), clinical judgement deeming it no longer necessary (62%), or a change in the patient’s clinical status or unclear indication (12%).

Conclusions 1. 27% of endoscopy waiting list procedures were judged unnecessary.

- Consultant led triage of endoscopy waiting lists resulted in an estimated cost saving of £67,993 (25% cost reduction) and a reduction in endoscopy work load.

- Potential savings nationally from endoscopy waiting list triage are considerable.

Abstract PTH-23 Table 1 Numbers of endoscopy requests triaged into each category across planned and routine waiting lists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome after consultant triage of endoscopy</th>
<th>Planned</th>
<th>Routine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No longer required</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change to request</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade request to urgent</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change request to alternative planned interval</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Introduction Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) tube and Radiologically inserted percutaneous gastrostomy (RIG) tube feeding is widely used for providing enteral nutrition to patients with impaired swallowing or cannot meet their nutritional requirements by oral route. At a district general hospital (DGH), patients present with complications of gastrostomy tubes to the emergency department (ED). I analysed the data to determine the impact of these patients on acute services including length of stay (LOS) in hospital and associated costs.

Methods A list of patients who attended ED with gastrostomy related problems from April 2018 to August 2019 was obtained and analysed. Indication for referrals, length of stay in hospital and outcomes with regards to the feeding tubes were analysed. Cost analysis was conducted using the 2017/18 NHS tariffs.

Results Based on coding, 80 patients were identified of which 3 were paediatric patients, 1 had nasojejunal tube problems, another’s details could not be verified and 1 had an unrelated admission - these were excluded from analysis. Of the 74 patients, there were 45 attendances in the first year and 29 in the 5 months from April 2019. Mean age was 62 years (22-84). The reasons for presentation included dislodged tubes (n=38, 51%), blocked tubes (n=16, 22%), to check PEG position replaced in community/sent with a deflated balloon (n=6), infection/pain/bleeding at insertion site (n=7), split tubes (n=2), clamp problems (n=1) and suspected buried bumper (n=3).

LOS varied from 2 to 47 days (n=39) with a mean of 9.3 days and a cumulative total of 353 bed days. 35 patients (47%) were managed in ED, 13 (18%) in 2 days, 1 in 3 days, 4 on day 4 while 22 patients (30%) stayed beyond day 5. 2 patients died during hospital stay. 14 patients (19%) attended more than once, with 2 patients attending 6 and 7 times respectively. Most of the blocked and dislodged feeding tubes were replaced by ED doctors or the gastroenterology team. 12 patients had gastrostomy tubes insertion by Interventional radiology (usually with tract dilatation) with a mean delay of 6.6 days (1-31). 2 patients had endoscopic gastrostomy insertion on day 9 and 11 after admission. With an estimate of £160 for ED attendance and £346 for each bed day, the cost for managing patients with PEG complications was £1,333,978.

Conclusions Care of gastrostomy tubes in the community needs improvement to avoid distress to patients/carers and reduce pressure on acute services as well as prevent repeat attendances. This requires greater support, expertise and training of community healthcare professionals. A focused outreach gastroenterology specialist advice service can help in reducing ED attendances, thus saving bed days and reducing costs to the NHS.
IS THE QUALITY OF A COLONOSCOPY AFFECTED BY THE DAY OF THE WEEK?
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Introduction In 2016 the BSG and JAG published the ‘key performance indicators and quality assurance standards for colonoscopy’. This includes a series of measures that can be used to assess endoscopy standards and ensure that there is a high level of practice. With a greater demand for colonoscopies and weekend lists, our study aimed to assess whether these standards are affected by the day of the week the exam is performed on.

Methods Data was collected for 494 patients between January 2019 and March 2019 using our electronic booking calendar and reporting software (EPR and Unisoft). Our four outcome measures were: caecal intubation, polyp detection and whether or not greater than 50mcg of Fentanyl or 2mg of Midazolam had been used for sedation. These are all standards included in the BSG/JAG guidance highlighted above. Our six independent variables were the days of the week that colonoscopy is currently performed on, Monday through to Saturday. The statistical analysis began with descriptive statistics, including a Chi-Squared test, followed by a multivariate logistic regression, all using the SPSS statistical programme.

Results In our Chi-squared analysis, Polyp detection (p<0.001) and the amount of Midazolam given (p<0.001) were not independent of the day of the week, whereas both caecal intubation and the amount of fentanyl given were. Following on from this, in the multivariate analysis, we have shown that you are significantly (p<0.05) less likely to have a polyp detected on a Monday (adjusted OR= 0.44, 95% CI 0.21-0.89), Friday (0.31, 0.14-0.72) and Saturday (0.43, 0.21-0.88). You are significantly (p<0.01) more likely to be given greater than 2mg of Midazolam on a Tuesday (adjusted OR = 3.13, CI 1.33-7.4), Friday (3.51, 1.37 - 9.02) and Saturday (4.03, 1.65 - 9.84).

Conclusions Our results suggest that caecal intubation rate is consistent irrespective of the day of the week, however, colonoscopy standards are affected. We have shown that you are less likely to have a polyp detected and more likely to be given greater amounts of Midazolam on some days compared to others. For both groups Friday and Saturday were the most strongly associated with a poor outcome, suggesting that there may be a ‘weekend effect’ in colonoscopy standards. Two limitations of the study are that we cannot account of individual performance and there may be other variables such as training lists which are not accounted for; this provides opportunity for further research.

This study highlights discrepancies in patient care that can hopefully be addressed through education and cultural change. Understanding that the day of the week can affect the quality of colonoscopies is very important, especially as we aim to increase the weekend workload and move towards a 7-day NHS.