there has been an almost instantaneous transition from face-to-face doctor-patient consultations to the use of tele-medicine. The aim of this study was to evaluate outcomes from referrals via the upper gastrointestinal two week wait pathway (UGI TWW) made by GPs using telephone assessment during the covid era.

Methods This was a retrospective database review of all patients referred via the UGI TWW pathway between 1st March 2019 - 28 Feb 2020 pre-covid (PC) and during the covid era (CE) during 1st March 2020 - 28 Feb 2021.

All referrals received by Imperial Healthcare trust were included in this study. The standardised referral proforma was reviewed to determine patient characteristics and symptoms. Investigations undertaken and subsequent diagnoses were recorded.

Results A total of 153 referrals were included in this study, 83 PC and 70 during CE.

GP consultations PC were conducted in over 95% of patients, compared to 16% in CE, where the remaining 84% were handled virtually or by telephone. PC the average age of patients referred was 66 (range 34-92) with a M:F ratio of 0.77:1, this compared to CE 60 which was a significant difference (p value =0.025226) (range 19-86) with a M:F ratio of 0.79:1. Language based demographic proforma showed patients coded as having a non-english primary language made up 21.69% of the pre-covid cohort and 25.71% of patients in the covid era cohort.

When the outcomes of investigations were examined, we found that GI cancer was found in 4% PC compared to 13% CE. The diagnosis of benign pathologies was 86% PC compared to 41% CE (p value < 0.00001 which is significant), while no pathology was detected in 10% PC compared to 39% CE (p value = 0.000022 which is significant). 6% were unknown as these patients were either referred to their local hospitals or we lost follow up.

Conclusion GP telephone consultation for upper gastrointestinal symptoms results did not result in a change to the rate of cancer detection. It was however noted that a greater proportion of patients with no pathology underwent investigation.
low with no mortality within 28-days. Post-drain removal instructions and documentation of ascitic fluid results require improvement. Increasing awareness on the importance of these sections in minimal standards of care amongst doctors and nurses will be the key factor in ensuring continual improvement in the quality of patient care.
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**Introduction**  
Current British Society of Gastroenterology (BSG) guidelines recommend all patients admitted to hospital with lower gastrointestinal bleeding (LGB) undergo an inpatient colonoscopy on the next available list as part of providing a 7-day endoscopy service. The real-world availability of a 7-day service and adherence to this guidance is unknown.

**Methods**  
Patients aged ≥16 years admitted with LGB to 7 hospital trusts from June 1st–Aug 31st 2019 were included. Data on presentation, endoscopy, time to procedure and outcomes were recorded. We established data from participating Trusts on their current endoscopy services in relation to BSG guidance.

**Results**  
407 patients across 7 NHS Trusts presenting with LGB were included. Mean age was 59.8 (17-96), with a mean Oakland score of 14.5 (SD 6.78). 14.7% received a LGI endoscopic investigation during admission (3.4% colonoscopy, 11.8% flexible sigmoidoscopy), with 25.7% of admitted patients undergoing their LGI endoscopy as an outpatient. Median time from admission to inpatient flexible sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy was 2.5 days (58 hours) and >3 days (80 hours) respectively. 43% (3/7) of Trusts provided daily inpatient endoscopy lists able to accommodate inpatient colonoscopies, with colonoscopies being ad hoc/no regular slots in a further 43% of Trusts. At weekends, 86% (6/7) of hospitals provided endoscopy lists, however of these, 83% stated colonoscopies were not routinely performed at weekends.

**Conclusions**  
Current real-world practice is not in keeping with BSG guidelines. The majority of patients admitted with LGB do not undergo inpatient LGI endoscopy (colonoscopy or flexible sigmoidoscopy) and the waiting time for a ‘next available’ slot can be several days. LGI endoscopic assessment for LGB is more commonly performed as an outpatient. Most Trusts do not currently provide a 7-day endoscopy service, the majority with no regular weekend or weekday colonoscopy slots for patients with LGB.
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**Introduction**  
Recent guidelines have emphasised the importance of avoiding piecemeal resection of polyps that might contain malignancy. Moreover, superficial T1 cancers can be cured by local resection, avoiding the need for surgery. Evaluation of significant polyps and early colorectal cancers (SPEC) to determine the depth of invasion is of utmost importance. Assessment is difficult and no gold standard exists. In this study we evaluate the performance of our specialist MDT and, where sub-optimal assessment resulted in a poor outcome, we attempt to derive lessons that might be learnt.

**Methods**  
Referrals to the Specialist Early Rectal Cancer MDT were reviewed from Jan 2014 – Dec 2019. At the time of referral, they were categorised into: Confirmed early rectal cancers, referred for a primary treatment decision; inadvertently excised cancers, referred for possible adjuvant treatment and SPEC lesions, referred for a primary treatment decision. Each SPEC lesion was retrospectively split into 4 categories based on the MDT’s risk of submucosal invasion: Low (category A), suitable for piecemeal resection; intermediate (B), suitable for en-bloc resection; high (C), suitable for TEMS and very high (D), not suitable for local excision. Where not explicit, an expert consensus was reached. Each assessment modality was compared to the MDT recommendation and final histological staging.

**Results**  
381 referrals were made, 69 didn’t meet referral criteria and 5 patients didn’t engage or died before assessment. 57 patients were referred to consider adjuvant treatment for an inadvertently resected cancer. Of the remaining 450, 100 lesions were judged to be definite cancers and the remaining 350 were classed as SPEC lesions. Patients with SPEC lesions had a median age of 70 years, 2:1 male. The median and mean size of lesions was 38mm and 42.8mm respectively, range 10-150mm. Just over 20% of the lesions referred were larger than 60mm. Category A contained 174 lesions with a 4.8% cancer rate (CR). Cat B: 108 lesions, 8.3% CR. Cat C: 59 lesions, 54.2% CR. Cat D 9 lesions, 57.1% CR. 20% of the lesions reported as mucosal (or not seen) on MRI were malignant compared with 10% on EUS. 8 malignant lesions were assigned to the low-risk category and subjected to pEMR. Adequate EUS staging was only available in 4 of these lesions, 4 out of the 11 lesions referred for surgery were benign.

**Conclusion**  
83% of malignant polyps were triaged to an en-bloc excision. The risk of malignancy reinforces the importance of evaluating SPEC lesions carefully. Exactly how the depth of invasion was predicted by the MDT is difficult to determine retrospectively. However, endoscopic evaluation, pre-resection dysplasia grade and EUS findings carry the greatest sway. MRI evaluation appears to be poor in predicting the depth of invasion for tumours <T2. IIa+IIc morphology and high grade dysplasia were the two greatest predictors of malignancy, 44% and 35% respectively.
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