survival rates are greatly improved when the disease is diagnosed early; however, most cases are diagnosed late and the prognosis for survival is generally poor. The aim of this study was to identify factors associated with receiving a late-stage diagnosis.

**Methods** We performed a clinical audit of 1,015 patients diagnosed with CRC at St Mark’s Hospital between January 2017 and December 2020. Variations in Lymph node involvement and distant organs (at the time of diagnosis) were assessed using multivariate logistic regression. All analyses were performed using SPSS (Ver 27.0).

**Results** The sample was comprised of 584 (57.5%) men and 431 (42.5%) women, from a range of ethnic backgrounds: White British/Irish (413, 40.7%), Other White background (67, 6.6%), South Asian (176, 17.3%), Other Asian background (84, 8.3%), Any Black background (95, 9.4%) and Any Other/Mixed background (42, 4.1%). The mean age and Index of Multiple Deprivation score of patients were 70.29 years and 20.38, respectively. The most common route to diagnosis was GP referral (554, 54.6%), followed by A&E (218, 21.5%), Other (150, 14.8%) and Screening (93, 9.2%). Data were missing for 279 (27.5%) and 318 (31.3%) individuals included in the predictors of lymph node involvement and predictors of distant metastasis analyses (respectively), meaning that 736 (72.5%) and 697 (68.7%) individuals were included.

The odds of lymph involvement decreased with age (aOR: 0.98; 95% CIs: 0.97, 1.00; p=0.01), were higher among South Asian adults compared with White British adults (aOR: 1.89; 95% CIs: 1.09, 3.28; p=0.023), and were lower among patients diagnosed through Screening or Other pathways compared with A&E (aOR: 0.41, 95% CIs: 0.24, 0.69; p=0.002 and aOR:0.40, 95% CIs: 0.23, 0.71; p=0.015, respectively). The odds of metastasis were higher among adults from Any Black Background compared with White British adults (aOR: 2.07, 95% CI: 1.14, 3.88; p=0.018), and were lower among those diagnosed through a GP referral or screening, when compared with those diagnosed through A&E (aOR: 0.50, 95% CIs: 0.32, 0.79; p=0.003 and aOR: 0.14, 95% CIs: 0.05, 0.38; p=0.001).

**Conclusions** Screening is associated with reduced odds of being diagnosed with CRC at a stage when lymph nodes and distant organs are affected, yet fewer cases are diagnosed via this pathway than any other. To determine whether there are missed opportunities to detect disease through screening, and whether inequalities in screening participation may account for the advanced stage at diagnosis observed for some ethnic minority groups, we will extract data on screening history.
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In primary care has the potential to release specialist capacity for more serious gastrointestinal (GI) disorders. A project was funded which aimed to promote a more confident diagnosis by general practitioners (GPs), reduce the number of referrals to gastroenterology services, provide timely dietetic intervention, optimise digital opportunities, promote supported patient self-management, and improve the patient experience.

**Methods** Gastroenterologists agreed an IBS diagnostic pathway incorporating ROME IV criteria, exclusion of alarm features, and appropriate investigations.

A Dietetic referral protocol was agreed by Dietitians, GPs and Consultant Gastroenterologists.

NHSL IBS steering group reviewed the IBS Post-Diagnostic Management and Referral Pathway.

Dietitians developed a video to provide 1st line dietary advice for patients with IBS.

A patient video feedback form was developed.

Consultant Gastroenterologists produced patient videos to provide information on IBS diagnosis and management.

A patient-initiated re-engagement form for further dietetic input following 1st line advice was included with the video.

Post-project surveys were circulated to key clinical staff involved in IBS management.

**Results**

1. 19% reduction in the number of patients referred to GI with a low faecal calprotectin (FCP) (Figure 1).
2. The number of IBS referrals to dietetics increased.
3. 222 patients were sent 1st line diet and IBS video link. 34 (15.3%) re-engaged with the dietetic service.
4. Patient evaluation on the 1st line advice was included with the video.
5. Gastroenterologist and GP feedback on the IBS Dietetic pathway was positive.

**Conclusions**

- An effective pathway for IBS diagnosis and dietetic treatment has been formulated.
- Increased opportunity for patient self-management.
- More timely IBS dietary advice.
- Practice has been standardised.

Reduced GI referrals for patients with low FCP.