In 2020 (Mar-Oct), among cancers diagnosed via endoscopic procedures on the suspected GI cancer pathway, 40 of 68 (58.8%) patients had imaging as their first investigation (n=5 Barium swallow, n=13 CT abdomen, n=22 CT colonography) compared to 21 of 81 (25.9%) patients in 2019 (n=11 CT abdomen, n=9 CT colonography). Following the start of clinical triage (May-Oct 2020), 36 of 54 (67%) patients were triaged as ‘very urgent’, 17 of 54 (31%) patients were triaged as ‘urgent’. 1 patient was not prioritised as their barium swallow suggested a diagnosis of achalasia which was later found to be an oesophageal malignancy (referral to endoscopy 95 days). There was no significant difference in referral to endoscopy time in 2020 (Mar-Oct) [mean 45 days, SD 40.2] compared to 2019 [mean 41.6 days, SD 26.7] (mean difference 3.4 days p=0.55).

Conclusions The COVID-19 pandemic has led to a 28.5% reduction in GI cancer diagnoses. This worrying reduction in cancer detection will need to be ameliorated by an increase in endoscopy capacity. Radiological investigations were utilised more during this period to assess suspected GI cancer referrals. 98% of cancer patients were appropriately prioritised as very urgent or urgent based on clinical triage, and radiological investigations aided in triage. There was no significant difference in referral to endoscopy time. We highlight that achalasia diagnosed on barium swallow should always be visualised directly, even with curtailed endoscopy capacity.
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**COLONOSCOPY AND THE ELDERLY: SHOULD WE UTILISE CT COLONOSCOPY AS A FIRST-LINE TEST?**
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**Introduction** Colonoscopy is the gold-standard for investigation of lower gastrointestinal symptoms. However, in very elderly patients it may not be appropriate due to patient choice, sub-optimal fitness or increased peri-procedural risk. Computed tomographic colonography (CTC) poses an excellent alternative to colonoscopy with similar sensitivity for detection of colorectal cancer (CRC) and large polyps, which could prevent unnecessary colonoscopy. We analysed a very elderly patient cohort undergoing colonoscopy to determine the diagnostic yield and potential role for CTC.

**Methods** We conducted a retrospective analysis of 122 very elderly symptomatic patients (>85 years old) undergoing diagnostic colonoscopy in 2020 at Chase Farm Hospital, London. Patient demographics, indication, diagnosis, key performance indicators and subsequent management were determined from our endoscopy database.

**Results** Among 122 patients undergoing colonoscopy, average age was 87 years (85-100) and 50% had >2 major co-morbidities. Main indications for colonoscopy were an abnormal CT (27.0%), anaemia (26.2%) and altered bowel habit (20.5%). Findings were CRC (22;18.0%), polyps (49;40.2%), diverticulosis (62;50.8%), haemorrhoids (26;21.3%), normal (20;16.4%), other (8;6.6%), colitis (5; 4.1%), and two abandoned cases. Bowel preparation was inadequate in 11.5% and adjusted caecal intubation rate was 82.5%. Among those diagnosed with CRC eight underwent curative surgery, three declined, three had chemo/radiotherapy and eight received best supportive care. All cause one-year mortality, or at data completion, was 7.4%.

**Conclusion** Incidence of CRC and large polyps (>10 mm) among very elderly symptomatic patients was 18.0% and 19.7%, respectively. This high diagnostic yield warrants endoscopic assessment. However, few patients were fit enough to undergo curative treatment and some patients declined. CTC represents a safer, better tolerated alternative to prevent unnecessary colonoscopy and provide prognostication among those amenable to further treatment. In our cohort, we would have prevented 57 colonoscopies with CTC screening if colonoscopy was performed for polyps ≥6 mm. Smaller polyps are unlikely to develop into significant pathology in this cohort’s lifetime. This approach would enable detection of extra-colonic lesions to increase identification of explainatory pathology and help inform patient choice. On the contrary, CTC screening can miss mucosal lesions (e.g. colitis/telangiectasia in our cohort) and the best strategy to deal with extra-colonic findings is unclear. This is a major barrier to its cost-effectiveness. Ultimately, due to the high volume of CIs leading to, or being completed after, colonoscopy, CTC screening should be considered in very elderly patients to prevent unnecessary colonoscopy.
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**Background** The British Society of Gastroenterology (BSG) published a guidance document to aid triaging during the COVID-19 outbreak. The document sets out the essential endoscopic procedures than can be carried out during the accelerated phase of the outbreak. This guidance was adopted in endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography (ERCP) practice in Manchester University Foundation Trust (MFT) from March 23 to June 7, 2020. ERCPs were only performed on patients presenting with acute biliary obstruction with jaundice, cholangitis or blocked biliary stent replacement.

**Aim** This study is to examine the impact of COVID-19 outbreak and introduction of BSG guidance on the key performance indicator outcomes of ERCPs, compared with non-COVID time in MFT.

**Method** A retrospective data analysis of all ERCP outcomes and key performance indicators during March 23 to June 7, 2020 was done and compared with the same time period in 2019.

**Results** All patients were tested for COVID-19 with nasopharyngeal swab. Patients who were COVID-19 positive had their ERCPs in theatre and those who were COVID-19 negative were done in endoscopy with full personal protective equipment. A total of 130 ERCPs were done from 23rd March to 7th June 2019. Only 51 patients whose ERCP were performed with similar indications during the COVID-19 period were included in this study. We have also included patients who required ERCP acutely for management of bile leak post cholecystectomy.

A table comparing the data collected from 2019 and 2020 are shown below: