Online Supplementary Methods

Immunohistochemistry

FFPE tissue sections of 4-μm thick were deparaffinized and rehydrated followed by heat-mediated antigen retrieval using either TE or Citrate buffer depending on primary antibody to be incubated (see Supplementary Table 1). Slides were blocked using SuperBlock (PBS) Blocking Buffer (Thermo Scientific, Cat# 37515) for 30 minutes at RT. Antibodies were diluted in PBS/BSA in predetermined optimal dilutions (see Supplementary Table S1). Tissue sections were incubated overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, slides were incubated with poly-HRP (Thermo Scientific, Cat# 21140) for 60 minutes and developed with DAB chromogen (1:50, DAKO #K3468). Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin (DiaPath #C0305). Slides were dehydrated and mounted with Micromount (Leica #3801731). Consecutive slides were used for the different antibodies. Stained slides were assessed by a pathologist. A simplified semi-quantitative IHC scoring was applied to all markers corresponding to Figure 2 of the paper, distinguishing completely negative (0), intermediate (1), including weak and/or heterogeneous staining, and positive (2), for homogeneous, positive staining.

Imaging Mass Cytometry

Antibody conjugation and immunodetection were performed as described in detail by Ijsselsteijn et al. A complete list of antibodies used for immunophenotyping of the current cohort is provided in Supplementary Table S2. Consecutive sections of 4 µm were cut from the same ESDs as those profiled using GeoMx-DSP. First, FFPE tissue sections were incubated with anti-CD4 and anti-TCRdelta overnight at RT, which were subsequently detected using metal-conjugated secondary antibodies (goat anti-rabbit IgG and goat anti-mouse IgG, respectively; Abcam). Second, sections were incubated with 20 antibodies for five hours at RT. Third, sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with the remaining 19 antibodies. Fourth, sections were incubated with 0.125 µM Cell-ID intercalator-Ir (Fluidigm) to detect the DNA, and stored dry until measurement. For each sample, 1000x1000µm regions were selected based on the selected regions of interest in our spatial transcriptomics experiment and ablated using the Hyperion Imaging system (Fluidigm).

IMC data was normalized using semi-automated background removal in ilastik as described previously to control for variations in signal-to-noise between FFPE sections. The phenotype data was normalized at pixel level. Cell segmentation masks were created for all cells in ilastik and CellProfiler. In ImaCyte, cell segmentation masks and normalized images were combined to generate single-cell FCS files containing the relative frequency of positive pixels for each marker per cell. Cells forming visual neighbourhoods in a t-distributed Stochastic Neighbour Embedding (t-SNE) in Cytospore were grouped and exported as...
separate FCS files. The resulting subsets were imported back into ImaCyte and visualized on
the segmentation masks. Major immune lineages were identified at the overview level of a
hierarchical stochastic neighbour embedding (HSNE) analysis with 5000 iterations and as
number of scales 2 in CytSplore⁶. Cells forming visual neighbourhoods in the H-SNE
embedding were grouped into epithelial cells, lymphocytes, myeloid cells and stromal cells at
sigma 8. Clusters were exported as separate FCS files, imported back into ImaCyte and
visualized on the segmentation masks to confirm phenotypes. Similar cluster were further
grouped in ImaCytE. Different subsets of macrophages were identified based on the
expression of CD163, CD204, and HLA-DR. Plots were generated in R (v4.0.3 or later) using
ggplot2 (v 3.3.5).

**Single-cell RNA-sequencing analysis**

We downloaded the publicly available scRNA-seq CRC dataset of Pelka et al.⁷ using the
Single Cell Portal (https://portals.broadinstitute.org/crc-immune-hubs/). This dataset consists
of 62 CRC samples, and adjacent healthy tissue (n=36). Briefly, all macrophages (cluster
MidWay “Macro”) were extracted for all samples. Initial re-clustering using the cells of this
cluster revealed presence of cells that were of different lineage than macrophages (n= 1,556)
which were therefore excluded. Re-clustering of all macrophages (n = 18,847) was performed
with resolution parameter 0.3 using Seurat (v4.0.3). Non-linear dimensional reduction with
tSNE was used to visualize the clustered cells split by variable SpecimenType (tumor or
normal). A DotPlot was generated to identify clusters that were expressing MSR1 (gene
corresponding to CD204 protein) and SIRPA. To compare CD47 expression between
epithelial cells from tumor and adjacent normal samples in this dataset, the Broad Single Cell
Portal was used (https://singlecell.broadinstitute.org/single_cell/study/SCP1162/human-
colon-cancer-atlas-c295). All epithelial cells were included (i.e., no subsampling) and
distribution plots were generated. Summary statistics were exported and data was re-plotted
using ggplot in R.
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