Sex-specific alterations in autonomic function among patients with irritable bowel syndrome
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ABSTRACT

Background: Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is associated with increased psychological symptoms, early life stressors, and alterations in visceral perception and brain responses to noxious visceral stimuli. The autonomic nervous system (ANS) is a likely mediator for these brain-gut interactions. The few studies directly examining ANS measures have been suggestive of alterations in some IBS patients, but no studies to date have examined the potentially critical variables of sex differences or response to visceral stimulation. Aims: 1. To test differences in ANS function during rest and during a visceral stressor (rectosigmoid balloon distension) between IBS patients and healthy control subjects. 2. To examine the role of sex on the autonomic responses of IBS patients. Methods: Baseline autonomic measures were evaluated from 130 Rome I positive IBS patients and 55 healthy control subjects. Data was also collected from a subset of 46 IBS patients and 16 healthy control subjects during a sigmoid balloon distension study. Heart rate variability measures of peak power ratio (PPR) and peak power high frequency (PPHF) were analysed to assess sympathetic balance and parasympathetic response respectively. Peripheral sympathetic response was measured by skin conductance. Results: IBS patients show greater skin conductance response to visceral distension than controls. IBS patients had higher PPR and lower PPHF across conditions. Male IBS patients had higher skin conductance and PPR than females and lower PPHF. Conclusions: IBS patients have altered autonomic responsiveness to a visceral stressor, with increased sympathetic and decreased parasympathetic activity. These differences are predominantly seen in males.
INTRODUCTION

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a functional gastrointestinal disorder affecting up to 15% of the U.S. population, predominantly females.[1] It is characterised by abdominal pain or discomfort combined with alterations in bowel function. Despite major advances in the conceptualisation of IBS pathophysiology as a disorder of altered brain-gut interactions,[2] there is currently no general agreement on the relative roles of visceral perception and autonomic nervous system (ANS) dysfunction in the generation or maintenance of IBS symptoms. As early as 1928, it was hypothesized that a dysfunction of the ANS contributed to the symptoms seen in IBS.[3] The sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of the ANS mediate central nervous system (CNS) communication with the gut largely through modulation of the third ANS branch, the enteric nervous system. Through its three divisions, the ANS modulates and coordinates gastrointestinal motility, secretion, and immune function.[4] [5] Modulation of the ANS, including increased sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and decreased parasympathetic nervous system (PNS) activity is an important part of the organism’s response to stress. The resulting changes in gastrointestinal function may provide the mechanism linking life stress and affective states to IBS symptoms.

Heart rate variability (HRV) and skin conductance (SC) can be used to measure ANS function. The high frequency component of HRV predominantly reflects cardio-vagal activity, the ratio of low to high frequency reflects SNS balance, and SC reflects the SNS-mediated response of the eccrine sweat glands.[6] [7] While these measures of ANS activity do not directly describe ANS regulation of gastrointestinal function, Buysschaert et al have shown a close association between cardiac and gastric autonomic function.[8] Additionally, Emmanuel et al have shown that cardiovagal tone, as measured by HRV, correlates with rectal mucosal blood flow and colonic transit, further supporting the relationship between cardioautonomic measures and gastrointestinal function.[9]

Previous studies investigating ANS function in IBS patients have shown inconsistent results, possibly due to differences in sample characteristics, assessment parameters, and conditions under which measurements were taken.[10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] IBS is thought to be a stress responsive disorder, and the ANS output provides an easily measurable physiologic response to stress. As might be expected, increased SNS activity and decreased PNS activity are the most frequently noted differences when IBS patients are compared to healthy controls.[12] [15] [16] [21] [22] Studies of short term ANS activity in IBS patients have examined subjects at rest or during postural change, while other studies have examined longer term recordings throughout the day or during sleep. It is plausible that important differences between IBS and control subjects may be more prominent during a stressor.

Although recent evidence has confirmed that sex differences exist among IBS patients in terms of perception,[23] central response to visceral stimuli,[24] and colonic transit response to pharmacologic treatment,[25] sex differences in the autonomic response have not been reported. Sex differences in ANS responses have been reported for healthy subjects showing greater SNS responsiveness in males and greater PNS responsiveness in females.[26] [27] Previous ANS studies in IBS have either included only women or have not systematically examined sex, a potential explanation for the contradictory data that exists. Differences in ANS responses to specific stimuli may also play a role in producing sex-based variations in IBS symptom patterns and in differential responses to some pharmacologic agents.[28] [29]

Psychological state may alter autonomic responses and has not always been well controlled for in previous IBS studies. Reduced HRV, likely mediated by increased sympathetic/vagal
balance, has been described in stress, depression, and panic disorders.[30] One study in females has shown lower parasympathetic tone among IBS patients with co-morbid anxiety/depression,[10] indicating that psychological state must be addressed when assessing autonomic parameters.

In the current study, our aim was to test differences in ANS function during rest and with an acute visceral stressor (balloon distension) between IBS patients and healthy control subjects in the absence of concomitant depression or anxiety. Because of previously noted sex differences in IBS prevalence, response to visceral stimulation, and medication effectiveness, we also wanted to examine the role of subject sex on these responses. We hypothesised that: 1) IBS patients will have increased resting SNS and decreased resting PNS activity compared to control subjects; 2) IBS patients will have increased SNS and decreased PNS responsiveness to a relevant visceral stimulus compared to healthy control subjects; and 3) Male IBS patients will have a greater sympathetic/vagal balance compared to female IBS patients. Based on these hypotheses we examined autonomic function at baseline and autonomic responses to rectosigmoid balloon distension in male and female IBS patients and healthy controls. No specific predictions were made for bowel habit differences in ANS response, but these were examined in secondary analyses.
METHODS

Patient population
Baseline autonomic measures were evaluated from a total of 130 IBS patients and 55 healthy control subjects. A subset of 46 patients with IBS (as defined by the Rome I criteria)[31] and 16 healthy control subjects also participated in a sigmoid balloon distension study. Control or IBS subjects who had participated in a balloon distension study within the previous 6 months were excluded. No correlations were seen between ANS measures and whether subjects had a history of participation in a prior barostat study. Subjects were recruited by advertisement and from the Functional Bowel Disorders Clinic at UCLA. All subjects were screened for an affective disorder with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale (HAD)[32] [33] or the SCL-90.[34] Subjects with HAD scores >11 or SCL-90 scores for Global Severity Index >63 were excluded from the study. Bowel habit predominance was defined by responses to the Rome supportive symptoms question list.[31] Patients showing symptoms of both diarrhoea-predominant IBS (IBS-D) and constipation-predominant IBS (IBS-C) were classified alternating bowel habits (IBS-A). Symptom severity was measured on a scale of 1 to 4. Patients also rated the intensity and unpleasantness of their overall IBS symptoms over the past 6 months and the past 24 hours on a 20 cm visual analog scale with anchoring word descriptors.[35] All study protocols were performed after approval by the West Los Angeles Veterans Administration Institutional Review Board. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Rectosigmoid distension protocol
Patients underwent a standard barostat protocol as previously described.[36] A double balloon catheter was placed using sigmoidoscopic guidance with the proximal tip 40 cm from the anal verge. One latex balloon was close to the tip (sigmoid) and the second placed with the distal attachment 4 cm from the anal verge (rectum). The catheter was attached to a computer operated distension device (barostat), which allowed for rapid phasic distensions of each balloon. After balloon placement there was a 20-min rest period prior to beginning the balloon inflations. A rectal stimulus tracking protocol was used to determine a reliable discomfort threshold as previously described.[37] Briefly, this consisted of a series of fourteen 30-s inflations which were increased or decreased depending on a subject’s judgment of the previous inflation as either causing discomfort or not. The discomfort threshold was determined as the average of the last 6 tracking inflations. The sigmoid stimulation protocol consisted of a series of ten 30-s distensions at 60 mm Hg over 10 minutes.

ANS measurements
Autonomic measurements were recorded for 10 min at the end of the 20-min initial rest period in all subjects. In a subset of subjects, measurements were also taken during the last 10 min of a 20-min rest period following the sigmoidoscopic balloon placement, and during the 10-min period of phasic sigmoid distensions. A two lead ECG and SC were continuously sampled at 500 Hz during these periods using a Biopac recording system and software (BioPac Inc., Santa Barbara, CA). A custom designed peak detection algorithm was used to determine the interbeat intervals for assessment of both average HR and as raw data for the analysis of HRV. SC was recorded from the first and second fingers of the left hand using a constant current method and averaged over the entire period. SC levels were transformed using a log\(^{10}\) to correct for a positive skew. HRV measures included spectral analysis measures of high frequency peak power (PPHF) and the peak
power ratio of low to high frequency (PPR) using the procedure of Kamath.[38] PPHF assessed vagal tone and PPR was used to assess the sympathetic/parasympathetic balance.

Data analysis
An analysis of variance (ANOVA) comparing male and female, IBS and control subjects, was used to examine baseline differences in the ANS responses. Post-hoc, pair-wise, comparisons for the four separate groups were performed using the Bonferroni procedure. A mixed model approach was used to examine group differences in responsiveness to the visceral stressor. Compared to conventional repeated measures analyses, the mixed modelling approach provides greater statistical power, flexibility, parsimony, handles time-varying covariates and missing data more effectively, and is less prone to false positives than ANOVA and MANOVA.[39] Therefore, a mixed modelling approach for repeated measures specifying the best fitting covariance structure[40] [41] was applied using a 2 Group (IBS versus control) × 3 Condition (baseline, post-balloon placement, and sigmoid inflation) ANOVA design. For all of the analyses unstructured covariance was used (SPSS® 12.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Due to restricted sample size in the healthy controls group, the influence of sex was examined only for IBS patients using a similar mixed model approach. Group differences in demographics, symptoms and discomfort thresholds were assessed with t-tests for continuous variables and χ² tests for categorical variables. Significance for all tests was set as p<0.05.
RESULTS

Subjects with baseline data

Characteristics
The mean ages of IBS patients and control subjects were 41.1±10 years and 40.2±11 years, respectively. The control group had 56% males (n = 31); the IBS group had 50% males (n = 65). There were no significant age or sex differences between the IBS and control groups. The IBS patients included 22% IBS-C (39% male), 43% IBS-D (54% male), and 35% IBS-A (52% male). Autonomic data could not be analyzed for 4 control subjects (2 male and 2 female) and 3 IBS patients (1 male and 2 female) secondary to collection artefact.

Baseline autonomic measures in IBS patients versus controls: Group and sex comparisons
An overall significant effect of subject group was seen in baseline PPHF (p = 0.001). IBS males had the lowest values, with a significant difference between IBS males and both IBS females (p = 0.001) and control females (p = 0.044). A significant group effect was also seen in PPR (p<0.001), with IBS males showing a significantly higher sympathetic ratio compared to IBS females (p<0.001), control females (p = 0.003), and control males (p = 0.026). Mean values for PPHF and PPR are shown in Table 1. No effects for sex or presence of IBS was found in baseline skin conductance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Group</th>
<th>ANS Measure</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Subject Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>PPHF</td>
<td>41.6 ± 22.2</td>
<td>44.1 ± 18.9</td>
<td>31.5 ± 13.8</td>
<td>45.2 ± 20.8</td>
<td>p = 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPR</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.2 ± 2.6</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPHF</td>
<td>37.3 ± 17.6</td>
<td>44.0 ± 19.8</td>
<td>35.9 ± 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPR</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Baseline ANS measures. Mean baseline values of PPHF (parasympathetic) and PPR (sympathetic balance) are shown for male and female IBS and control subjects. A significant effect of subject group was seen for both PPHF and PPR.

Bowel Habits
There was no significant difference in percentage of specific bowel habits between male and female patients with IBS. No significant association between bowel habit and baseline ANS measures was found (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANS measure</th>
<th>IBS-A (n = 45)</th>
<th>IBS-C (n = 27)</th>
<th>IBS-D (n = 55)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>4.3 ± 4.0</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>3.9 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPHF</td>
<td>37.3 ± 17.6</td>
<td>44.0 ± 19.8</td>
<td>35.9 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPR</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.9 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Baseline ANS measures of IBS patients by bowel habit. Mean values for autonomic measures by bowel habit (IBS-C, IBS-D, IBS-A) are shown. There is no significant difference between bowel habit subgroups for SC (p = 0.32), PPHF (p = 0.18), or PPR (p = 0.12).
Subjects undergoing balloon distension protocol

Characteristics
IBS patients had a mean age of 40.8 years (range: 24-68, SD ± 10.6). The group included 17 females and 29 males. Evaluation of bowel habit predominance showed: 39.1% IBS-D, 17.4% IBS-C, and 43.5% IBS-A. The control group included 6 males, 10 females, and had a mean age of 38.0 years (range: 21-56, SD ± 11.5). There was no significant age difference between groups. The majority of patients (76%) reported their overall IBS symptoms as moderate to severe (mild 7.9%, moderate 42.1%, severe 34.2%, very severe 15.8%). No significant difference in self reported severity was observed between males and females. There were also no significant sex differences in the ratings of 6 month or 24 hour IBS symptom unpleasantness or intensity. Both male and female IBS patients had significantly higher mean scores for intensity and unpleasantness for the 6-month period than for the 24-hour period (p<0.001). The group of subjects with baseline data only was compared to the subgroup for whom balloon distension data was obtained, showing no differences in age, sex, symptom severity, or baseline values for SC, PPHF, and PPR. SC and HRV data was available for all 16 control subjects, however, SC data for 2 IBS patients and HRV data for 6 IBS patients could not be analyzed secondary to collection artefact.

ANS response to visceral stressor in IBS versus control subjects
SNS measures. SC increased after balloon placement and again during sigmoid stimulation in both groups, and this change was greater in the IBS group (within subjects Condition Effect, p<0.001 and Condition × Group Interaction, p = 0.0390) (fig 1). A trend towards higher SC in IBS subjects was seen during sigmoid inflation compared to controls (SC log 0.789±0.37 v 0.601±0.30, p = 0.06). IBS patients had overall higher PPR (Group Main Effect p = 0.0068) (fig 2).

PNS measures. There was a Group Main Effect with lower PPHF in IBS subjects at all time points (p = 0.044) (fig 3).

ANS response to visceral stressor in IBS male versus IBS female
SNS measures. SC showed both a significant Sex Main Effect (p = 0.010) and a significant Condition interaction (p<0.001). As shown in Figure 1 this was due to a greater increase in SC for the male IBS subjects over the course of the experiment. The PPR was also higher in males across conditions (p = 0.0031) (fig 2).

PNS measures. A significant Sex interaction (p<0.008) in PPHF appears to be due to greater parasympathetic tone in female patients at baseline, which subsequently declined with balloon placement and sigmoid distension (fig 3).

Bowel habits
No significant effect of bowel habit is seen on any of the ANS measures.

Perception of visceral stimuli: Tracking rectal discomfort threshold
Mean discomfort threshold was significantly lower in IBS patients compared to healthy controls (35.5±15 mm Hg v 44.9±11 mm Hg, p = 0.039). There were no significant correlations between the autonomic parameters and tracking discomfort threshold.
DISCUSSION

The current study, in a sample of IBS patients without affective comorbidity and healthy control subjects, demonstrated evidence for higher SNS activity and lower cardiovagal activity in the patient group. Within the IBS population there are significant sex differences with greater SNS activity and lower PNS activity in males compared to females. Taken together these findings suggest that the differences in ANS function measures between IBS patients and controls may be primarily due to a greater sympathetic/vagal balance in the male IBS population.

Autonomic response in IBS versus control subjects

The results indicate greater sympathetic responsiveness in IBS patients compared to controls as measured by PPR. IBS patients also show lower parasympathetic activity compared to controls as measured by PPHF. This shift towards greater sympathetic and lower parasympathetic balance is consistent with a recent study evaluating isometric handgrip, orthostatic testing, and inspiratory-expiratory ratio in IBS patients.[21] Recent hypotheses have suggested that parasympathetic responses serve as a ‘brake’ to control sympathetic responses to stress. The pattern of greater sympathetic responses with less parasympathetic activity in IBS males may therefore indicate a deficient parasympathetic ‘braking’ of the autonomic response to distension stress in the IBS patients.[42] Greater stress responsiveness with increased SNS activity may play a role in IBS symptom pathogenesis. Immune modulation occurs via neural mechanisms, thus a generalized shift in ANS function towards higher sympathovagal balance may lead to a relative pro-inflammatory state, as may be seen in post-infectious IBS. The stress response in animal models is associated with increased distal colonic motility and decreased upper gut motility. Similarly, alterations in GI motility may occur in IBS patients with increased sympathetic balance. Previously, patients with IBS alone were also found to have greater sympathetic/vagal balance during sleep compared to controls, but IBS patients with dyspepsia did not.[12] Subgrouping by upper gastrointestinal symptoms was not tested in this study.

Autonomic response in males versus females

The autonomic differences seen in IBS appear to be primarily due to changes in the male subjects. IBS males have lower parasympathetic tone, and show no parasympathetic responsiveness over varying experimental conditions compared to female IBS patients. They also have greater sympathetic responsiveness than their female counterparts as measured by SC and PPR. When compared to healthy male controls, male IBS patients have significantly higher sympathovagal balance, whereas no differences are noted between female controls and IBS females.

This dichotomy by sex is an important finding and may be one of the factors involved in differential responses to drug therapy seen in male and female IBS patients. It may also explain some of the inconsistencies in previous reports of autonomic function in IBS. Two studies have shown impaired vagal function in a group of IBS patients that was predominantly male,[19] [20] findings that were not seen in a female sample of IBS patients.[15] Increasingly, sex differences have been noted in other physiologic responses of IBS patients. Notably, rectal distension thresholds have been shown to be lower in females compared to males.[23] [43] Sexual dichotomy has been observed in cortico-limbic interactions as well. Specifically, a recent brain imaging study has shown that males with IBS compared to females have more dominant activation of the dorsal pons, periaqueductal gray (PAG), and insula during visceral stimulation.[24] The insula is thought to be involved in regulation of visceromotor activity, particularly sympathetic responses,[44] and the PAG integrates autonomic and anti-nociceptive stress responses.[45] These findings are
consistent with our current data, showing greater sympathetic responses in males with IBS. Additionally, previously reported higher rectal distension thresholds in male IBS patients\[23\] support the hypothesis of a more effective anti-nociceptive response to visceral stimuli. In response to visceral stimuli, females may be less likely to mobilize an anti-nociceptive response and in a rectal distension protocol have been shown to activate brain areas associated with hypervigilance (dorsal anterior cingulate cortex) and affective response to the visceral stimulus (ventromedial prefrontal cortex).\[24\] These cumulative findings suggest that males have autonomic dysregulation as a key feature of IBS, whereas females may have greater alterations in visceral hypersensitivity.

**Bowel habit differences**

No differences in ANS responses were found for the three bowel habit groups. Data from previous studies has been inconsistent regarding bowel habit effects, with complicating factors being difficulties in classification and possible multiple physiological pathways for a similar symptom picture (e.g., slow and normal transit constipation). SNS balance has been described as greater in IBS-D by one group\[22\] and greater in IBS-C by another group.\[15\] Elsenbruch found greater PPR and lower PPHF in females with IBS-D in response to a meal compared to both controls and IBS-C.\[17\] Comparisons between studies is difficult due to vast differences in the experimental conditions. Varying definitions, or inclusion of IBS-A patients in either IBS-D or IBS-C sub-groups may also effect ANS outcomes. Additionally, fluctuation between bowel habit is common, particularly in the poorly characterized IBS-A group.\[46\] Our data do not support an interaction between bowel habit and autonomic parameters, nor did a recent study by Waring et al, though neither study was specifically designed to study this interaction.\[21\]

**Symptom severity**

Different patterns of ANS activity in female patients with severe versus non-severe abdominal pain have been described. In one study, severe but not moderate or mild pain was associated with lower vagal tone.\[16\] In another, IBS-C patients showed lower vagal tone than IBS-D, but only in the subgroup with severe pain.\[15\] We did not find a relationship between symptom severity and our ANS measures. Methodological differences including acute versus 24-hr ANS measures, symptom assessment scale, and population differences may account for the variation in findings. It is relevant to note that the symptom reports in our sample for the last 24 hours were substantially less than those for chronic symptoms (which may reflect a global impression of symptom impact rather than a true average symptom level). Future studies of the role of ANS activity in symptom generation should examine both measures of acute symptom severity as well as subjective evaluations of chronic disease severity.

**Conclusions**

Decreased parasympathetic tone and increased sympathetic activity with altered ANS responsiveness to a visceral stimulus are prominent in IBS male patients, but not IBS females. This may be due to sex differences in cortico-limbic processing of visceral stimuli. These findings emphasize the need to take sex into account when studying physiologic parameters in IBS and may explain some of the discrepancies seen in previous studies. Further studies should include the use of multiple forms of visceral, somatic and psychological stressors to examine situation specific responses. Studies that also include measures of CNS activity (such as brain imaging or brain evoked potentials) and neuroendocrine variables will be especially useful in evaluating the role of autonomic response in IBS patients. Further research into the autonomic response with attention to
sex-based differences will be important in further elucidating the pathophysiology and potential treatments of IBS.

We have no competing interests to declare.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Supported in part by NIH grants NR007768 (BN) and P50 DK64539 (EM), and VA Medical Research (BN). The authors would like to thank Teresa Olivas for data management and editorial assistance in the preparation of the manuscript.
REFERENCES


FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1
Skin conductance (SC) scores with standard error bars are shown at baseline, after balloon placement, and during sigmoid distension for control (■) and IBS (●) subjects on the left, and male (♦) and female (▲) IBS subjects on the right. A significant Condition × Group Interaction (p = 0.039) is seen, with higher SC in the IBS group versus the control group. A significant Sex Main Effect (p = 0.010) is seen between male and female IBS patients, with higher SC in the male group.

Figure 2
Peak power ratio (PPR) scores with standard error bars are shown at baseline, after balloon placement, and during sigmoid distension for control (■) and IBS (●) subjects on the left, and for male (♦) and female (▲) IBS subjects on the right. A Group Main Effect (p = 0.0068) is seen for the IBS versus control group, with higher sympathetic balance in the IBS group. A Sex Main Effect (p = 0.0031) is seen between male and female IBS patients, with higher sympathetic balance in the male group.

Figure 3
Peak power high frequency (PPHF) scores with standard error bars are shown at baseline, after balloon placement, and during sigmoid distension for control (■) and IBS (●) subjects on the left, and for male (♦) and female (▲) IBS subjects on the right. A Group Main Effect (p = 0.044) is seen with lower parasympathetic tone in the IBS group compared to controls. A Sex Main Effect (p = 0.008) is seen between male and female IBS patients, with lower parasympathetic tone in the male group.
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