

Table 1S. Locations of the intestinal strictures (n=144) in 97 patients with symptomatic small bowel stricture associated with Crohn's disease

Site	Number of patients with 1 to 5 strictures					Total	
	1	2	3	4	5	Patients	Stricture
Duodenum	1					1	1
Jejunum	4					4	4
Jejunum, Ileum		3	1			4	9
Ileum	50	12	4	2	1	69	99
Ileocolonic anastomosis		2	2	2		6	18
Ileum, colon	13					13	13

Table 2S. Crohn's Disease Obstructive Score (CDOS): values [\pm standard deviations (SD)] and variations (Δ) from week 0 to week 24 and at the end of follow-up

CDOS*	All patients		Patients with success at week 24	
	week 0	week 24	week 0	week 24
0		13		11
1		3		3
2		3		3
3	7	18	6	15
4	31	23	15	15
5	37	11	28	11
6	22	6	13	1
n	97	77	62	59
mean\pmSD	4.76 \pm 0.89	3.20 \pm 1.81	4.77 \pm 0.90	2.97 \pm 1.77
Δ CDOS	week 24 – week 0		week 24 – week 0	
-6	1		1	
-5	6		4	
-4	4		4	
-3	10		9	
-2	11		10	
-1	23		19	
0	16		9	
1	4		2	
2	2		1	
n	77		59	
mean\pmSD	-1.55 \pm 1.76		-1.76 \pm 1.68	

*For those patients with failure, the CDOS values at week 24 and their variations relative to baseline were available in less than 20 cases and are not presented.

Table 3S. Values and variations from week 0 of the CDAI [mean \pm standard deviations (SD)] and CRP (mean \pm SD) up to week 24

		Week						
Patients	CDAI	0	8	8 - 0	16	16 - 0	24	24 - 0
All	n	97	90	90	85	85	77	77
	Mean \pm SD	173 \pm 85	121 \pm 93	49 \pm 100	129 \pm 115	43 \pm 120	92 \pm 92	72 \pm 100
Success at week 24	n	62	62	62	60	60	59	59
	Mean \pm SD	161 \pm 80	107 \pm 81	55 \pm 92	106 \pm 104	55 \pm 113	77 \pm 66	82 \pm 73
Patients	CRP	0	8	8 - 0	16	16 - 0	24	24 - 0
All	n	92	77	75	77	75	77	75
	Mean \pm SD	11.0 \pm 17.6	5.0 \pm 8.5	6.0 \pm 16.8	5.2 \pm 9.5	6.3 \pm 19.0	6.4 \pm 15.1	6.0 \pm 21.4
Success at week 24	n	60	55	53	57	55	59	57
	Mean \pm SD	9.8 \pm 17.9	3.4 \pm 3.0	6.9 \pm 17.3	3.2 \pm 4.6	6.3 \pm 16.8	3.5 \pm 7.8	6.8 \pm 15.9

Table 4S. The association between selected clinical and laboratory factors and success at week 24 (univariate analysis)

Factor	Rate of success (%)	Odds ratio of success Estimate [CI[†]_{95%}]	P[*]
Time since diagnostic (month) < 41 (24) vs ≥ 41 (73)	50 vs 68	2.17 [0.85 – 5.57]	0.10
Previous IFX never (n=90) vs previous (n=7);	66 vs 43	OR=.59 [0.73-3.47]	p=0.23
Steroid treatment Yes (n=22) vs No (n=75)	45 vs 69	2.71 [1.03 – 7.17]	0.040
Steroid treatment (mg/day) ≥ 20 (n=20) vs < 20 or absent (n=77)	40 vs 70	3.52 [1.27 – 9.76]	0.012
Immunosuppressant use no (n=55) vs yes (n=42)	53 vs 79	3.29 [1.33 – 8.15]	0.009
Duration of obstructive symptoms (weeks) ≥ 5 (n=74) vs < 5 (n=23)	59 vs 78	2.45 [0.82 – 7.33]	0.10
CDOS ≤4 (n=38) vs >4 (n=59)	55 vs 69	1.84 [0.79 – 4.30]	0.15
CDAI ≥ 220 (n=25) vs <220 (n=72)	52 vs 68	1.97 [0.78 – 4.97]	0.15
CRP (mg/dL) ≥ 5 (n=47) vs < 5 (n=45)	55 vs 76	2.50 [1.02 – 6.08]	0.042

* chi-squared test; † confidence interval

Table 5S. The association between selected magnetic resonance enterography (MRE) factors and success at week 24 (univariate analysis)

Factor	Rate of success (% of patients)	Odds ratio of success Estimate CI †_{95%}	P*
Maximum wall thickness (mm) >10 (n=20) vs ≤10 (n=77)	45 vs 69	2.70 [0.99 – 7.37]	0.048
Enhancement intensity in delayed T1 phase nil- mild-moderate (n=40) vs marked (n=53)	55 vs 74	2.28 [0.95 – 5.45]	0.062
Length (cm) ≥12 (n=48) vs <12 (n=49)	56 vs 71	1.94 [0.84 – 4.51]	0.12
Minimal luminal diameter (mm) < 2 (n=31) vs ≥ 2 (n=66)	55 vs 68	1.76 [0.73 – 4.24]	0.20
Maximum diameter proximal to stricture in those patients (n) with values of: 18-29 mm (n=50) <18 (n=22) vs ≥29 mm (n=25)	78 50 vs 48	3.55 [1.22 – 10.34] 0.92 [0.46 – 4.52]	0.012
Maximum ratio of proximal to normal diameters of those patients (n) with values of: 114-187 % (n=51) <114 (n=24) vs ≥187 % (n=22)	75 54 vs 50	2.47 [0.89 – 6.86] 0.85 [0.27 – 2.70]	0.070
Deep ulceration yes (n=26 patients) vs no (n=71)	54 vs 68	1.79 [0.71 – 4.48]	0.21
Fistula present (n=17 patients) vs absent (n=80)	35 vs 70	4.28 [1.42 – 12.90]	0.007
Comb sign yes (n=64 patients) vs no (n=33)	59 vs 73	1.82 [0.73 – 4.55]	0.19
Inflammatory change of mesenteric fat yes (n=4 patients) vs no (n=93)	25 vs 66	5.75 [0.57 – 57.24]	0.098
Fibro-fatty proliferation absent (n=38 patients) vs present (n=59)	55 vs 69	1.84 [0.79 – 4.30]	0.15

* chi-squared test; † confidence interval