ROC-king onwards: intraepithelial lymphocyte counts, distribution & role in coeliac disease mucosal interpretation
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Counting intraepithelial lymphocytes (IEL) is central to the histological diagnosis of coeliac disease (CD), but no definitive ‘normal’ IEL range has ever been published. In this multicentre study, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to determine the optimal cut-off between normal and CD (Marsh III lesion) duodenal mucosa, based on IEL counts on >400 mucosal biopsy specimens.

Design The study was designed at the International Meeting on Digestive Pathology, Bucharest 2015. Investigators from 19 centres, eight countries of three continents, recruited 198 patients with Marsh III histology and 203 controls and used one agreed protocol to count IEL/100 enterocytes in well-oriented duodenal biopsies. Demographic and serological data were also collected.

Results The mean ages of CD and control groups were 45.5 (neonate to 82) and 38.3 (2–88) years. Mean IEL count was 54±18/100 enterocytes in CD and 13±8 in normal controls (p=0.0001). ROC analysis indicated an optimal cut-off point of 25 IEL/100 enterocytes, with 99% sensitivity, 92% specificity and 99.5% area under the curve. Other cut-offs between 20 and 40 IEL were less discriminatory. Additionally, there was a sufficiently high number of biopsies to explore IEL counts across the subclassification of the Marsh III lesion.

Conclusion Our ROC curve analyses demonstrate that for Marsh III lesions, a cut-off of 25 IEL/100 enterocytes optimises discrimination between normal control and CD biopsies. No differences in IEL counts were found between Marsh III a, b and c lesions. There was an indication of a continuously graded dose–response by IEL to environmental (gluten) antigenic influence.
What is already known on this subject?

- Increased population of intraepithelial lymphocytes (IEL) provides a sensitive histological pointer towards coeliac disease (CD) diagnosis.
- IEL lying within the epithelium, and surveying both lumen and lamina propria, are implicated in disease activity, but their functions and prognostic value still remain somewhat undecided.
- Submicroscopic changes of epithelial cells and the differential diagnosis of lymphocytic infiltrates were collectively defined as microscopic enteritis.

What are the new findings?

- We show that an effective cut-off can be obtained with receiver operating characteristic curve analysis, which substantially reduces the overlap between CD and normal controls.
- We confirm that counting of IEL performed on H&E-stained material provides optimal results, compared with CD3/γδ immunostained sections.
- We have graphically represented the cumulative totals of IEL across all our biopsies, revealing a continuous ‘dose–response’ curve reflective of the IEL response to luminal antigen, rather than a biphasic or clonal response that might have distinguished controls from coeliac. This has never been demonstrated before.
- Finally, with a reliable, large sample, our differential counts revealed no differences between Marsh III a, b and c, indicating that the subdivision of Marsh III has no practical value.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

- Our differential IEL counts offer unexpected insights into mucosal interpretation that will improve diagnostic accuracy. These include bringing clarity to the IEL’s implications in CD histogenesis, subdivision of Marsh III, assessing the diagnostic role of immunohistochemistry and proposing an effective cut-off to separate disease control from CD.

The earliest paper used very thick H&E sections (5–7 μm) which are not ideal for making accurate counts, while suggesting that IEL populations are normally distributed. An extremely high cut-off of 40 IEL/100 enterocytes was proposed. Various immunohistochemical approaches have also been deployed since that time, but never incorporated into routine practice. Moreover, despite its apparent sophistication and derived insights, the use of immunohistochemistry can be time consuming, requiring additional laboratory techniques and technologies which, when services are under severe time and financial constraints, may not necessarily be ideal. Moreover, since these techniques have not yielded increased degrees of diagnostic precision, they have not yet become cemented into routine clinical practice.

There is therefore a very strong case for re-examining existing laboratory procedures based on H&E-stained sections, thus to improve diagnostic accuracy beyond currently held perceptions. This study aims to bring clarity to the situation. In addition, our differential IEL counts offer further unexpected insights into mucosal biopsy interpretation.
A definitive diagnosis of CD was defined as those patients demonstrating Marsh III histological abnormalities with positive serology in line with the current and previous guidelines, whereas those with seronegative Marsh III lesions were excluded. A multi-institutional collaborative effort to define optimal cut-offs to diagnose CD in patients with milder mucosal changes (Marsh I–II) is being planned as follow-up to our current study.

**Ethical considerations**

This study involved re-scoring of archived histology slides, and all identifiable medical information was removed and all analyses were performed using anonymised data. The data collection was in line with good clinical practice policies with approval by research and development/audit departments of countries involved. The study was also fully approved by the ethical committee of Research Institute for Gastroenterology and Liver Disease, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Science, Tehran under the following ethical number: IR.SBMU.RIGLD.REC.1395.87.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are reported as mean (±SD) for continuous variables and as proportion for categorical variables. Comparisons were performed using Student’s t-test or χ² test, as appropriate. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to evaluate sensitivity and specificity for different cut-off levels of IEL/100 in detecting CD. Statistical significance was set at α = 0.05.

**RESULTS**

The mean ages of DC and CD groups were 38.3 (range: newborn to 82) and 45.5 years (range: newborn to 82), respectively, of which 59% and 71% were women. The mean IEL count was $54±18/100$ enterocyte nuclei for CD compared with $13±8$ for DC ($p=0.0001$). We present data (figure 1) from IEL counts based on the largest series of intestinal biopsies analysed to date, obtained from eight countries across three continents (North America, Europe and Middle East). In total, 401 specimens were studied, of which 198 came from well-defined patients with CD who were either endomysial antibodies (EMA) or tissue transglutaminase antibodies (tTG) antibody positive (table 1). Of the 198 patients with CD with Marsh III, 27% had iron deficiency anaemia, 13% abdominal pain, 34% diarrhoea and 6% weight loss (table 1).

The mean±SD for the DC was $13±7$ IEL/100 enterocyte nuclei (95% CIs 1–27). The normality of the variable was assessed visually using a histogram/assessed using a Shapiro-Wilk test which confirmed that the data are skewed to the right and distinctly non-normal. The mean IEL count for CD was $54±18$ (95% confidence limits 19–54), differing significantly from DC ($p<0.0001$). The ‘normalised’ CD mean was $51±14$ (95% confidence limits 24–78). Overall, using numerical data, CD and DC biopsies showed an overlap of 56 biopsies comprising 14% of the total specimens analysed. More interestingly with log-transformation, the distributions were ‘tightened’, so that the gross overlap was then reduced to 1%, or 38 biopsies. The literature presents a variety of optimum cut-off points ranging between 20 and 40 IEL/100 enterocyte nuclei, as criteria in the diagnosis of CD (table 2). However, these levels were, surprisingly, determined from means and SD originating from some extremely small groups. In this study, we had the advantage of large numbers of CD and DC biopsies which were further evaluated through ROC curve analysis (figure 2). This offered an optimal cut-off point of 25 IEL/100 enterocytes, with a sensitivity of 0.990 and specificity of 0.931, respectively; the area under the ROC curve was 99.5%. Here, each point on the ROC curve represents a sensitivity/specificity pair for any threshold value chosen. With perfect discrimination (no overlap in the two distributions), the ROC curve should pass through the upper left-hand corner, indicating a sensitivity and specificity of 100%. Our curve does not fulfil that criterion exactly, pointing to the inevitable overlap of IEL populations between both groups.

Using our derived ROC curve, we were able to further evaluate the currently existing published extremes (20–40 IEL) in the literature (table 2). Thus, for a high cut-off of 40 IEL, the sensitivity was reduced to 0.80 with a specificity of 1.000. At this level, the false-negative rate was 19.7%, representing a total of 31 patients with CD who would have been missed. Conversely, with the lower cut-off of 20 IEL, the sensitivity was increased to 0.995, although the specificity was now reduced to 0.081. This led to an 18.2% false-positive result, which would have represented 37 DC biopsies being incorrectly labelled as ‘possible CD’. Since the actual numbers of cases misdiagnosed at these outer IEL counts are very high, their inaccuracy as histopathological guidelines is clearly evident.

Both the numerical overlapping (figure 1) and the shape of the ROC curve (figure 2) confirmed our previously published assertion1 that IEL do not represent bimodally distributed populations. This was further confirmed by our cumulative IEL count, biopsy-on-biopsy (figure 3), indicative of a graded dose-response by IEL to environmental (gluten) antigenic influence. By taking 25 IEL/100 enterocytes as the optimal distinguishing value, a significant reduction was seen in the numerical overlap between patients with CD and DC patients (figure 1).

In most previously published series, this overlap has not previously received much in-depth analysis. Given that the IEL are not bimodally distributed, any proposed cut-off between DC and CD biopsies should be seen as arbitrary. In this series, the ROC-based overlap consisted of 3 CD women as false negatives and 12 DC as false positives. Although we had expected to have

---

**Figure 1** The numerical IEL counts (non-transformed) for the entire series of 401 specimens are shown for coeliac and disease control intestinal mucosae. The overlap of 56 between IEL counts for each population is stressed. Although when the same data are accumulated, biopsy-on-biopsy (figure 3), a perfectly shaped dose–response curve is obtained. IEL, intraepithelial lymphocytes.
seen an increase in IEL counts in eastern biopsies, this impression was not borne out in practice (figure 4).

There were significant differences in the results between the UK and Romania (p=0.011) and between Italy and Romania (p<0.0001). We did not pursue these correlations since the numbers of biopsies per group were too small to deduce any insightful conclusions that could be safely drawn.

All 198 specimens analysed in this study fulfilled Marsh III criteria, of which 132 (66.7%) specimens were graded as IIIa, IIIb or IIIc. In graded specimens, a high proportion (60; 45%) were graded to Marsh IIIc. Conversely, 34 Marsh IIIa specimens comprised only 26%, whereas the remaining specimens (29%) were classified as Marsh IIIb. The grading report was not reportable for 66 of 198 specimens, because six pathologists had already ceased grading this lesion and two others graded biopsies as a+b or b+c or even a+b+c. The IEL populations between these three groupings, however, were not significantly different (table 3).

Six groups submitted their counts as CD3+ totals, allowing the possibility of determining percentage counts for each Marsh III subdivision. Again, no differences were found (table 3) with the arithmetic mean, being 63 immunolabelled cells/100 enterocyte nuclei.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this multicentre study across three continents indicated that: (1) the optimal cut-off point between CD and DC mucosa was 25 IEL/100 enterocyte nuclei, (2) there was no substantial difference in IEL counts from countries across the risk factors.

**Table 2**  Summary of literature on IEL counts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Number of biopsies</th>
<th>Upper range</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferguson and Murray</td>
<td>H&amp;E staining, IEL/100 enterocytes, 7 µm sections</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Used controls, coeliac &amp; autoimmune conditions, incorrect about normally distributed IEL, highest IEL count recorded: 155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batman et al</td>
<td>H&amp;E staining, 5 µm sections</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Study of HIV enteropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayat et al</td>
<td>H&amp;E staining, 4 µm sections</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Counts made on uninterrupted length of epithelium &gt;500 EC, controls defined only by a ‘normal’ sugar permeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahadeva et al</td>
<td>H&amp;E staining, 3 µm sections</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Major interest in normal villi with IEL infiltrate. Really difficult to infer group numbers here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kakar et al</td>
<td>H&amp;E staining</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Interest in normal villi with IEL infiltrates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veress et al</td>
<td>H&amp;E staining, 3 µm CD3+</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>H&amp;E: 20 CD3+: 5–9</td>
<td>In H&amp;E sections, if IEL to EC ratio &gt;5:1, do CD3 count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biagi et al</td>
<td>H&amp;E staining</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Major interest in promoting villous tip counts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lähdeaho et al</td>
<td>H&amp;E staining</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>No reasons given for this cut-off value: referred to Ferguson and Murray and Kuitunen et al who give no reference range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassen-Moghaddam et al</td>
<td>H&amp;E staining, CD45+ cells</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>H&amp;E: 46 CD45+: 47</td>
<td>Establishing normal criteria by histology and immunocytochemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sriweera et al</td>
<td>H&amp;E staining</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Retrospective study on 38 control and 37 coeliac specimens. Inexplicably small upper ranges for both groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Here are tabulated some of the principal papers concerned with IEL counts in normal and diseased intestinal mucosae. Once identified in this way, it comes as a surprise that our perceptions of normality and of the accepted distinctions between normal and abnormal are so precariously based. Furthermore, the emphasis in some papers has been on the abnormal (HIV enteropathy), or with reference to a specific aspect (such as villus tip IEL), whereas in others, the actual numbers of patients are unclear, or a worked mean is not borne out in practice (figure 4).

**Figure 2**  The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for all 401 biopsies employed in this study is shown here. If there had been no relationship between the two samples, the curve would have followed the diagonal line. Our ROC graph shows considerable between-group homogeneity, the area under the curve being 99.5%. However, the sensitivity axis does not pass through the upper-left corner, indicative of the overlap which is further examined in detail elsewhere.

**Figure 3**  The cumulative IEL count, biopsy-on-biopsy, is illustrated in this diagram. The curve does not reach 401 on account of the overlapping counts between CD and DC mucosae, the overlap between biopsies being indicated by the two vertical parallel lines. The shape of the curve resembles a dose–response (to luminal antigen) and clearly demonstrates the absence of any bimodality between the two IEL populations. CD, coeliac disease; DC, disease control; IEL, intraepithelial lymphocytes.
highlighted this advance by Ferguson and Murray \(^4\) contains several misconceptions. First, the false conclusion that IEL are normally distributed. The data from our study reveal that error, together with the skewing in the CD distribution, as shown previously.\(^19\) It should be noted that there was a marked difference between the overlap as expressed by the numerically bulked data (figure 1), compared with our log-transformed results (data not illustrated). Log-transformation does ‘tighten up’ the distributions, reducing overlapping from 47 to 38. However, irrespective of the theoretical importance of this observation, from a practical point of view, the question arises whether, in routine practice, all suspected CD counts need to be log-transformed to provide the most critically based diagnostic information. Our analyses confirm that this is unnecessary, since log-transformation does not afford any materially different result. For example, the difference for DC distribution was only 2 IEL/100 enterocytes (figure 1), and for CD was 3 IEL. This is an important outcome of our study, and certainly an important message for histopathologists.

Second, Ferguson and Murray took 40 IEL as their upper limit of normal which is one of the highest results published (table 2). Based on our ROC curve analysis, a count of 40 IEL/100 enterocytes has a markedly reduced sensitivity of 0.790, compared with 0.995, when using a 25 IEL cut-off. Our established value corresponds to that of Hayat et al.\(^12\) study but whose outcome was based on an exceptionally low mean count of 11 IEL/100 enterocytes. Indeed, their range was 2–26 IEL, so that with a SD of 7, their upper calculated limit of normal was 25 (11+14). It is interesting to note that they studied only 20 biopsies obtained from apparently ‘healthy’ subjects, defined by a ‘normal’ sugar permeability test. Their counts were also based on enumerating IEL along an uninterrupted length of 500 enterocytes, which to us seems a technical impossibility. Nor were there any comparisons with CD biopsies, so on these three grounds, their study cannot be regarded as a critical evaluation.

Third, Ferguson and Murray introduced the methodology of counting IEL against epithelial cells, the inaccuracy of which was demonstrated by a point-counting technique.\(^20\) These revelations were subsequently confirmed by computerised morphometric analysis which showed that IEL counts were likely to be overestimated twofold.

By calculating both the number of enterocytes and IEL present in a controlled unit of villous volume, the numerical discrepancy of the earlier counting technique was exposed.\(^19\) \(^21\) The flaw is in trying to obtain a meaningful result when both parameters (epithelial cell and IEL populations) are independently changing. The absolute IEL count in untreated CD mucosae is not increased, although it appears elevated since the number of enterocytes on the surface of a Marsh stage III lesion is, comparatively, markedly reduced. Conversely, with Marsh I and Marsh II stage lesions, the increase in IEL is absolute, representing a truly elevated population. Several groups have tried to establish definitive cut-off points. However, these papers exemplify the precarious background on which current practice rests, and which is clearly in need of revision. The question of a cut-off, and how to locate it, is of both theoretical and practical importance.

As evident from the published data, a range of values lying between the extremes of 20 and 40 IEL has been proposed. Beyond that, there have been no further attempts to obtain a more reliable answer. Using our ROC curve (figure 2), we were able to investigate IEL values published in the literature. At the highest notional cut-off value of 40 IEL, we predicted that there would have been 31 false negatives (coeliac cases undiagnosed), but without any false positives. On the other hand, at the lower 20 IEL level, there would have been 37 false positives and 1 (coeliac) false negative. In

---

### Table 3  Breakdown of Marsh III mucosal lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Biopsies (n)</th>
<th>H&amp;E IEL (mean±SD)</th>
<th>Biopsies (n)</th>
<th>CD3+ IEL (mean±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>54±14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>52±17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>67±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIC</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>55±21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>62±31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>54±18</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>63±23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics: H&E: IIA vs IIB, p=0.91; IIA vs IIC, p=0.96; IIB vs IIC, p=0.96. CD3+: IIA vs IIB, p=0.84; IIA vs IIC, p=0.92; IIB vs IIC, p=0.98.

Note. The subclassification of the Marsh III lesion has, to our knowledge, never been subject to critical analysis despite its widespread adoption, as almost a ‘matter of faith’. Here we show that the IEL counts across each of these subdivisions reveal no statistical differences. This is most worrying, since the subdivisions were supposed to represent specific, detectable and progressively deteriorating pathologies during the terminal phases of mucosal flattening. Moreover, despite smaller numbers, no detectable differences in CD3+ IEL were detected between the IIA, b and c subdivisions of the specimens analysed, in parallel with other findings.\(^28\) IEL, intraepithelial lymphocytes.
comparison with our results (with 12 disease controls and 3 coeliac
misdiagnosed), the values given in the literature fare badly. The
important point to remember is that any cut-off is an arbitrarily
fixed point. Nevertheless, given the large numbers of specimens
examined in this study, together with their respective IEL popula-
tions, the results of our analysis probably represent the best result
achievable and hence the most effective cut-off level of 25 IEL on
H&E-stained sections for working practice.

However, in another recent publication, IEL counts were accu-
mulated from the preceding 40-year literature, yielding 607 sepa-
rate data points. In combining H&E-derived IEL counts from both
studies (giving a total of 255 CD and 271 DC biopsies), ROC curve
analysis provided an optimal cut-off of 26 IEL with 95.81% of the
combined sample correctly identified. Marsh and Heal 22 also
found that separate ROC curve analyses for CD3+ and γδ-IET, IEL
counts yielded less satisfactory cut-off points. Therefore, their results
are consistent with the current Bucharest conclusion that H&E-de-
derived IEL counts are adequate for its purpose. These are extremely
interesting new insights and of great help to routine histopatholo-
gists in being reassured that immunohistochemistry does not offer
improved diagnostic certainty.

IEL populations represent graded intestinal responses to antigenic
challenge. This has been experimentally demonstrated in treated
patients after gluten challenge.23 Here we have again confirmed
and revealed the dose–response curve to gluten across all the specimens
studied (figure 3). On inspecting our data, we were a little surprised that
IEL counts did not increase across the globe from America to the
near Middle East (figure 4), which we had suspected.

The statistical differences that were demonstrable are probably
of little significance and were not evaluated further. It is, however,
noteworthy that the IEL count is dependent on a surprisingly large
number of internal and external factors1 including age, genetic back-
ground, ethnicity, geographical factors, diet, food sensitivities, para-
sites, chronic liver disease, infections, drugs, the maternal and local
intestinal microbiomes, and recent travel.

The large numbers of biopsies employed in this work helped to
reveal the extent to which false-negative and false-positive
misdiagnoses are incurred. A histologically negative result for CD
appears to have less impact, since the clinician can employ addi-
tional assessments including Human Leucocyte Antigen (HLA)
typing, EMA, increased anti-tTG titres or even deamidated
lymphogram in terms of the subclassification of Marsh stage III
mucosa could be found. That would be inconsistent with the original
proposal that each subdivision supposedly represents a progressively
greater degree of mucosal involvement and damage. Previous
detailed morphological studies with transmission and scanning
electronic microscopy have further degraded this subclassification by
demonstrating their lack of relevance to mucosal architecture
interpretation. A recent study from Australia which employed prin-
cipal component and discriminant analyses24 found that defined
algorithms could accurately distinguish the major Marsh grades,
but were unable to discriminate between any of the so-called Marsh
IIIa, b and c sublusions. Together, this growing body of information
seems to indicate that attempted subclassifications of Marsh stage
III lesions have no intrinsic status or value, and therefore would best
be abandoned.

In that regard, six contributory groups within this study had
already abandoned this subclassification, whereas two other groups
classified their biopsies with multiple combinations of
a+b+c, indicating the impossibility, if not gross frustration, of
forcing one subclassification to fit into one or the other category.

This might be an explanation for the anomalous finding that
almost 50% of biopsies were classified as Marsh IIIc, with
far less weight put on the other two subcategories. Clearly, if
morphological, immunohistochemical or mathematically based
analyses fail to reveal any major differences in the progressive
degrees of tissue damage implied by this subclassification, then
what value can emerge from its continued use? Equally strained
is the growing habit of equating Marsh IIIa with so-called 'partial
t villous atrophy’, Marsh IIb with ‘subtotal villous atrophy’ and
Marsh IIIc with ‘total villous atrophy’. Interestingly, in flat
lesions, there is no evidence of an atrophic process, but only
hypertrophic mucosal responses,1 and a striking absence of villi.

In summary, based on a large intercontinental study of IEL,
involving over 400 biopsies, we have firmly established that a
cut-off point of 25 IEL/100 enterocyte nuclei provides a very
effective criterion for distinguishing CD Marsh III stage biopsies
from DC tissues. No obvious trends in IEL counts were found in
biopsies sampled from across the three continents. The CD IEL
count is not normally distributed yet, as we have shown, this does
not make any substantial difference to laboratory counting in the
routine work of histopathologists. We found no differences when
examining IEL populations throughout the three proposed subdi-
visions of the Marsh III lesion, suggesting that these subdivisions
(a, b, c) are spurious, lacking any useful histopathological content,
while offering no diagnostic or prognostic assistance whatsoever to
the gastroenterologist.
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