Cross-talk between the gut microbiota and monocyte-like macrophages mediates an inflammatory response to promote colitis-associated tumourigenesis
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ABSTRACT
Objective Macrophages are among the most abundant cells in the colon tumour microenvironment, and there is a close relationship among monocytes, macrophages and the gut microbiota. Alterations in the gut microbiota are involved in tumour development, but the underlying mechanisms remain unclear. We aim to elucidate the temporal changes in macrophage subsets and functions, and how these dynamics are regulated by microbial cues in the initiation of colitis-associated cancer.

Design A mouse model of colitis-associated tumourigenesis was established to determine macrophage dynamics. The role of monocyte-like macrophage (MLM) was confirmed by targeting its chemokinesis. The effects of the gut microbiota were assessed by antibiotic treatment and faecal microbiota transplantation.

Results A selective increase in MLMs was observed in the initial stages of colitis-associated cancer, with an enhanced secretion of inflammatory cytokines. MLM accumulation was regulated by CCL2 expression of colonic epithelial cells, which was influenced by bacteria-derived lipopolysaccharide (LPS). LPS further stimulated interleukin 1β production from MLMs, inducing interleukin-17-producing T-helper cell activation to promote inflammation. These observations were also supported by altered microbial composition associated with human colitis and colorectal cancer, evolving transcriptional signature and immune response during human colitis-associated tumourigenesis.

Conclusions The gut microbiota uses LPS as a trigger to regulate MLM accumulation in a chemokine-dependent manner and generate a precancerous inflammatory milieu to facilitate tumourigenesis.

INTRODUCTION
Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most commonly diagnosed malignancies and the leading cause of cancer mortality worldwide.1 A key driver of CRC development is the inflammatory response pathway.2 Arising through this type of oncogenic pathway, colitis-associated cancer (CAC) represents a comparatively ideal model in tumour biology to unravel how inflammatory responses activate tumourigenesis in the normal colonic mucosa. Chronic inflammation is known to drive low-grade tumours into high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia (HGIN) and eventually full-blown CRC. Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), particularly ulcerative colitis (UC), has been suggested a predisposing
factor for CRC.\(^3\) Despite advances in medical treatment, a recent population-based study showed that the expected reduction in IBD-related intestinal resection rates was not achieved.\(^4\) A considerable number of patients with IBD still require colectomy for their refractory disease or treatment for intestinal neoplasia.\(^5\)\(^6\) Therefore, there is an urgent need to characterise the immunological mechanisms involved in UC and inflammation-driven colon tumourigenesis.

Macrophages are a heterogeneous collection of immune cell types that are involved in the maintenance of tissue homeostasis and bidirectional regulation of inflammation.\(^7\)\(^8\) Under homeostatic conditions, newly arrived monocytes are gradually conditioned in response to signals in the local microenvironment.\(^9\)\(^10\) This results in the differentiation from monocyte-like macrophages (MLMs) into mature colonic macrophages (MCMs).\(^11\) MCMs can maintain immune homeostasis in the colonic lamina propria (LP), mainly by their hyporesponsiveness to bacterial stimulation and promotion of local regulatory T-cell proliferation.\(^12\) However, on acute intestinal inflammation, this dynamic differentiation is disturbed in sites where there is enhanced accumulation of MLMs.\(^13\) MLMs can be persistently activated by sustained exposure to microbial metabolites, inducing a cascade of inflammatory immune responses and activation of chronic inflammation.\(^11\)\(^14\) Despite these observations, a detailed characterisation of macrophage subsets in the initiation of CAC is limited, and this hinders clinical translation of targeting heterogeneous macrophages. Specifically, the roles of macrophages in colon cancer are intricate, as they are influenced by environmental signals. Information regarding these aspects is critical for designing targeted immunotherapy.

We postulated that microbe-derived factors might mediate enhanced recruitment of MCM and contribute to colon tumourigenesis, particularly in the context of inflammation. To test this hypothesis, we conducted a comprehensive analysis of the macrophage compartment from intestinal architecture disruption to tumour formation. Moreover, we also aimed to address the following questions: (1) what is the role of macrophages in the early stage of CAC? (2) do environmental signals affect macrophage accumulation? and (3) how do bacterium derived factors stimulate a unique macrophage subset to promote inflammation and tumour cell proliferation? By integrating the answers to these questions, we reveal a link between microbiota-induced immune responses and inflammation-associated tumourigenesis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal studies**
Female wild-type C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal (Shanghai, China). Newly purchased 4-week-old C57BL/6 mice were housed for 1 week in order to normalise the gut microbiota and randomised into five individuals per cage. Mice were kept in specific pathogen-free conditions with free access to a standard diet and drinking water. Mice were maintained under a 12 hour light/dark cycle at room temperature (20°C–22°C). To establish azoxymethane (AOM)-induced colon tumourigenesis, particularly in the context of inflammation. To test this hypothesis, we conducted a comprehensive analysis of the macrophage compartment from intestinal architecture disruption to tumour formation. Moreover, we also aimed to address the following questions: (1) what is the role of macrophages in the early stage of CAC? (2) do environmental signals affect macrophage accumulation? and (3) how do bacterium derived factors stimulate a unique macrophage subset to promote inflammation and tumour cell proliferation? By integrating the answers to these questions, we reveal a link between microbiota-induced immune responses and inflammation-associated tumourigenesis.

**Antibiotic treatment and faecal transfer studies**
Mice were randomly allocated to each group for antibiotic (ABX) vs vehicle (H\(_2\)O) treatment, 4 weeks prior to induction with AOM-DSS. Mice in the ABX group received four-ABX cocktail in the drinking water containing metronidazole (1 g/L; Aladdin), ampicillin (1 g/L; Solarbio), vancomycin (0.5 g/L; Aladdin) and neomycin (1 g/L; Aladdin). To perform faecal transfer experiments, faecal samples from mice (five mice) were collected at random and resuspended in 1 mL of PBS (120 mg faecal sample per mL). An aliquot of 200 µL of faecal slurry was used for oral gavage into each mouse every other day for 2 weeks.\(^19\)\(^20\)

**Flow cytometry**
Isolated colonic epithelial cells (CECs) and LP mononuclear cells were resuspended in FACS buffer and stained with antibodies. Flow cytometry was performed on the CytoFLEX S flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). CytExpert software was used for data analysis. The details are provided in the online supplementary methods.

**RNA isolation and real-time quantitative-PCR**
Total RNA was extracted using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen) and reverse-transcribed into cDNA using PrimeScript RT Master Mix (TaKaRa). Real-time PCR was performed using the TB Green Premix Ex Taq II system (TaKaRa) with an Applied Biosystem 7500 instrument (Applied Biosystems).\(^21\) Primer sequences were listed in online supplemental table 1. Relative expression to β-actin was determined using the ΔΔCt method.

**Histology and immunofluorescence**
Colonic specimens were fixed with formalin, dehydrated, embedded with paraffin and stained with H&E. Disease diagnosis was evaluated blindly by an experienced pathologist. For immunofluorescence staining, the following primary antibodies were used: Epithelial Cell Adhesion Molecule (EpCAM) antibody (catalogue 21 050–1-AP proteintech), MCP-1 antibody (catalogue 66 272–1-lg, proteintech), 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, dihydrochloride (DAPI) was used as a nuclear stain. Images were collected using an orthofluorescent microscopy (Nikon), and analysed using the Image J software.

**Bacterial DNA sequencing**
The V3–V4 region of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene was amplified. Sequencing libraries were generated using Ion Plus Fragment Library Kit 48 rxns (Thermo Scientific) following manufacturer’s recommendations. The library was sequenced on an Ion S5 XL platform. The details are described in the online supplemental methods.
Human studies

Human gut metagenomic data collection and multiple microarray data sets integration are described in online supplemental methods.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism V8 (GraphPad Software). The significant differences between groups were determined by student’s t-test, one-way or two-way analysis of variance. Results were represented as mean±SEM, and p<0.05 was considered as statistically significant, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.

RESULTS

Colonic MLM accumulation precedes tumour formation

A murine model of inflammation-based tumorigenesis was induced with AOM and DSS (figure 1A). The histological analysis showed that the AOM-DSS model recapitulated progression from chronic inflammation to HGIN at different time points (online supplemental figure 1A). With disease progression, the size and number of macroscopic polyps significantly increased (figure 1A). Additionally, the expression of proinflammatory markers was considerably upregulated in the colon of mice 6 or 9 weeks after AOM-DSS induction, compared with that in healthy baseline mice (figure 1B).

As the infiltration of myeloid cells has been suggested to play a key role in carcinogenesis, we investigated myeloid cell subsets throughout disease progression to explore the immunologic mechanism underlying tumour formation.22 Cell surface markers were used as described in recent studies (online supplemental figure 1B).9 21 A prominent expansion of macrophages and neutrophils was observed in the stage of HGIN (figure 1C), but no significant changes were found in other subsets (eosinophils and dendritic cells). Macrophages expressed high levels of tumour necrosis factor α (TNF-α) and prointerleukin 1β (pro-IL1β) throughout disease progression (figure 1D, online supplemental figures 1CD,2A). The mRNA levels of TNF-α and interleukin 1β (IL-1β) in MACS-sorted colonic macrophages were significantly increased in mice with HGIN compared with that in healthy baseline mice (online supplemental figure 2B). The analysis of macrophage phenotype revealed that a reduction in anti-inflammatory CD206high macrophages was related to the temporal progression of the disease (figure 1E, online supplemental figure 2C).

We then verified whether inflammatory macrophage infiltration could be due to alterations in macrophage subpopulations. Consistent with previous studies, we identified three subsets of macrophages based on their expression of surface markers (Population 1 (P1), MLM: CD43lowCD11b+F4/80lowSiglec-FCD11cLy6C2highMHCIIC; P2, CD43lowCD11b+F4/80lowSiglec-FCD11cLy6C2highMHCIIC; P3, MCM: CD43lowCD11b+F4/80lowSiglec-FCD11cLy6C2highMHCIIC)9 10 All though all three subtypes of macrophages were substantially increased in AOM-DSS mice by week 9, the accumulation of MLMs could be observed as early as 6 weeks after AOM injection (figure 1F, online supplemental figure 2D). We then analysed the contribution of each subpopulation to inflammatory cytokine production. P1 and P2 gated cells expressed higher levels of TNF-α and pro-IL1β at the single-cell level (figure 1G, online supplemental figure 2E,F). Given the absolute number of macrophage subtypes and their cytokine-producing capacity, an increase in inflammatory macrophage differentiation can largely be attributed to MLMs. The phenotypic analysis revealed MLMs as CD115lowCD135lowCX3CR1intCCR2high cells (online supplemental figure 3A-D). These results indicate that the enhanced recruitment of MLMs may result in a chronic inflammatory microenvironment in the initial stages of tumourigenesis.

Besides the temporal changes, we investigated the differences in proinflammatory markers, myeloid cell subsets and macrophage dynamics between tumour and normal adjacent tissues in mice 6 or 9 weeks after AOM-DSS induction (online supplemental figure 3E-J, 4A-F). Indeed, an increase in intratumoural MLMs with an enhanced secretion of inflammatory cytokines was observed in the tumour site, indicating that the tumour microenvironment favors the recruitment and maintenance of MLMs.

Colonic MLM recruitment is mediated by CCL2/CCR2

The recruitment of monocytes to the inflamed colon is controlled by chemokine-chemokine receptor interaction. CCR2 expression, but not other chemokine receptors, correlated with monocyte-differentiation stages (figure 2A, online supplemental figure 5A). Indeed, the expression of CCR2 was increased approximately 4-fold in mice with HGIN. CCL2, one major ligand for CCR2, may act as a tumour-promoting factor to drive disease progression; we therefore investigated CCL2 level at different stages (figure 2B). As the CCL2 level was increased as early as week 6, we asked whether targeting CCL2/CCR2 could alter MLM accumulation and tumour formation. As expected, in vivo treatments using anti-CCL2 antibody or CCR2 inhibitor reduced MLM infiltration, contributing to the reduction in inflammation and epithelial proliferation (figure 2C and D, online supplemental figure 5B-H).

TLR4 activation leads to epithelial CCL2 upregulation and MLM recruitment

Recent studies have demonstrated that the gut microbiota is involved in colon tumourigenesis, and modulating commensal bacteria with ABX leads to tumour prevention.24 Given the fundamental role of MLM in the alterations of other macrophage subsets (P2 and P3),10 25 and pivotal effect of bacteria-derived signals for monocyte-to-macrophage switch (online supplemental figure 6A),9 we postulated that microorganism-derived signals might be essential for CCL2 upregulation. This might cause potent accumulation of MLMs and foster a chronic precancerous inflammatory microenvironment. The efficacy of ABX was verified (online supplemental figure 6B,C). Treatment with ABX reduced tumour load, CCL2 expression and MLM recruitment, as well as increased CD206high macrophages (figure 3A–D, online supplemental figure 6D). We also observed a reduction in inflammation and epithelial proliferation in AOM-DSS mice on ABX treatment (figure 3E,F).

Next, we investigated the main source of CCL2. CECs presented a considerably higher expression of CCL2, and ABX treatment did not affect CCL2 expression in non-CECs (figure 3G, online supplemental figure 6E). We further isolated CECs and found that there was almost a 10-fold increase of CCL2 mRNA in CECs from ABX-untreated mice compared with that in CECs from ABX-treated mice (figure 3H, online supplemental figure 6F). The increase of CCL2 in CECs was identified by immunofluorescent staining (figure 3I). Additionally, forced CCL2 expression in ABX-treated mice increased colonic MLMs (figure 3M).

Diverse pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) are upregulated in colon tumourigenesis and their activation exacerbates tumour formation.26 27 To identify whether PRR activation
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was involved in MLM recruitment, we first determined PRR-associated gene expression. CECs from ABX-treated mice exhibited a lower expression of PRR-related genes, most notably TLR4 and TLR7, than those from the control mice (figure 3J).

A previous study reported that the activation of epithelial TLR4 drove colon tumourigenesis; we therefore postulated that the excessive immune reactions facilitated by the gut microbiota were due to higher epithelial TLR4 activation. Faecal extract

Figure 1 Early onset of monocyte-like macrophage infiltration in the colon. (A) Scheme of the AOM-DSS model. Representative images of macroscopic polyps in the AOM-DSS model throughout disease progression (scale bar, 5 mm). The right panel indicates quantification of macroscopic polyps in mice (AOM-DSS baseline/wk3/wk6/wk9: n=6/6/6/11). (B) Normalised gene expression of inflammation-related genes in the colon (n=6 for each time point). Data were normalised to β-actin expression and represented as the ratio to value of baseline. (C) Absolute cell numbers of myeloid cells and distinct myeloid cell subpopulations in the colonic lamina propria (LP) as assessed by flow cytometry. A total of 100 000 live colonic cells were acquired to normalise the baselines for all samples (AOM-DSS baseline/wk3/wk6/wk9: n=16/6/10/10). (D) Intracellular TNF-α and pro-IL1β levels of colonic macrophage throughout disease progression (AOM-DSS baseline/wk3/wk6/wk9: n=5/6/5/5). (E) The ratio between CD206hi (blue) and CD206low (red) subpopulations of macrophage in the colonic LP at different time points (AOM-DSS baseline/wk3/wk6/wk9: n=5/6/10/10). (F) Absolute cell numbers of each macrophage subset (AOM-DSS baseline/wk3/wk6/wk9: n=16/6/10/10). (G) Intracellular TNF-α and pro-IL1β levels in macrophage subsets in mice of 9 weeks after AOM-DSS induction (n=9 for each subset). Data are represented as means±SEM, and each symbol represents one mouse; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001; ****p<0.0001, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). AOM-DSS, azoxymethane-dextran sodium sulfate; IL1β, interleukin 1β; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor α.
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from tumour-bearing mice contained a high level of LPS, and its promoting effect on epithelial CCL2 could be compromised by TLR4 inhibition (figure 3K,L, online supplemental figure 6G).

Next, we attempted to connect these findings to MLM accumulation and tumour growth. TLR4 ligation reversed ABX-induced MLM down-regulation and tumour inhibition. However, blocking CCL2 or CCR2 eliminated the effects of TLR4 ligation on tumour growth (figure 3N,O, online supplemental figure 6H). These data suggest that microbiota-derived LPS acts as a candidate for epithelial CCL2 upregulation and leads to the accumulation of MLMs in the colon.

Diverse effects of stage-specific microbiota on MLM accumulation and tumourigenesis

Although the initial microbial structure plays a key role in determining susceptibility to CAC, potential mechanisms involved in this process remain unclear.29 Therefore, we first determined the temporal changes in the microbial structure and their roles in oncogenesis. Dynamic comparisons of the microbiome in AOM-DSS mice indicated that members of the Bacteroides were increased in the later stages of disease (online supplemental figure 7A-G). At the organism-level, the enriched proportion of gram-negative microbes was found at later time points (figure 4A, online supplemental figure 7H). Next, we repopulated mice gut bacteria using stools derived from different stages of tumourigenesis. Repopulation of the gut microbial flora with faeces derived from tumour-bearing mice (9 weeks after AOM-DSS induction), but not colitis-associated (3 weeks after AOM-DSS induction) or healthy baseline mice, accelerated MLM infiltration and tumour growth (figure 4B–D, online supplemental figure 8A-D).

To corroborate these findings in human disease, we used GMrepo, a database known for highly accessible and reusable human metagenomic data, to evaluate the species differences in patients with UC or CRC compared with healthy donors.30

---

**Figure 2** Colonic MLM accumulation is controlled by CCL2/CCR2. (A) Expression of chemokine receptors in each macrophage subset in mice of 9 weeks after AOM-DSS induction (n=4–9). *p<0.05; ****p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA. (B) Normalised gene expression for chemokine and chemokine receptor in the colon throughout disease progression (n=6 for each time point). Data were represented as the ratio to baseline. Two-way ANOVA. (C, D) Effect of CCR2 inhibitor (C) or αCCL2 (D) on MLM accumulation and colon tumourigenesis (scale bar, 5 mm; n=6–9 per group). *p<0.05; **p<0.01, Student’s t-test. AOM-DSS, azoxymethane-dextran sodium sulfate; ANOVA, analysis of variance; CCR2, C-C chemokine receptor type 2; MLM, monocyte-like macrophage.
Figure 3  TLR4 activation mediates CCL2 upregulation in CECs, MLM accumulation and tumour formation. (A) Representative images of tumours in the colon of mice treated with H2O or ABX (scale bar, 5 mm). The right panel indicates quantification of tumours in mice (AOM-DSS wk9: n=6; AOM-DSS +ABX wk9: n=10). ****p<0.0001, Student’s t-test. (B) CCL2 expression in colon tissues (AOM-DSS wk9: n=8; AOM-DSS +ABX wk9: n=10). *p<0.05, Student’s t-test. (C) CD206 expression in colonic macrophages (AOM-DSS wk9: n=14; AOM-DSS +ABX wk9: n=10). ****p<0.0001, Student’s t-test. (D) Colonc MLMs in mice treated with H2O or ABX (AOM-DSS wk9: n=6; AOM-DSS +ABX wk9: n=8). **p<0.01, Student’s t-test. (E, F) Normalised gene expression of inflammation-related genes in colon tissues (E), and CD68 expression in CECs (F) from vehicle or ABX-treated AOM-DSS wk9 mice (n=5–8 per group). *p<0.05; **p<0.01, Student’s t-test. (G) Intracellular CCL2 levels in different cell types as assessed by flow cytometry (AOM-DSS wk9: n=6; AOM-DSS +ABX wk9: n=6). ****p<0.0001, two-way ANOVA. (H) CCL2 expression in CECs isolated from mice treated with H2O or ABX (AOM-DSS wk9: n=9; AOM-DSS +ABX wk9: n=7). *p<0.05, Student’s t-test. (I) Immunofluorescence staining of CCL2 and EpCAM in colon sections from mice treated with H2O or ABX. (J) PRR-related gene expression in H2O- or ABX-treated mice (AOM-DSS wk9: n=7; AOM-DSS +ABX wk9: n=7). **p<0.01, ****p<0.0001, two-way ANOVA. (K) Isolated CECs from normal mice were cultured in the presence of faecal extracts, or a combination of TLR4 inhibitor. CCL2 production was measured by flow cytometry (n=10 for each group). ****p<0.0001, one-way ANOVA. (L) Faecal LPS levels (AOM-DSS wk9: n=12; AOM-DSS +ABX wk9: n=6). *p<0.01, Student’s t-test. (M) ABX-pretreated AOM-DSS mice were additionally administered with rCCL2 or TLR4 ligand. Tumor size was measured by flow cytometry (n=10 for each group). ****p<0.0001, one-way ANOVA. (N-O) ABX-treated AOM-DSS mice receiving rCCL2 or TLR4 ligand. In some experiments, TLR4 ligand-treated mice also received CCR2 inhibitor. Representative images (N) and quantification (O) of tumours in different treatments (scale bar, 5 mm; n=8–11 for each group, 9 weeks after AOM-DSS induction). *p<0.05, one-way ANOVA. ABX, antibiotic; AOM-DSS, azoxymethane-dextran sodium sulfate; ANOVA, analysis of variance; CCR2, C-C chemokine receptor type 2; CECs, colonic epithelial cells; IL1β, interleukin 1β; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MLM, monocyte-like macrophage; TLR4, Toll-like receptor 4; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor α.
Figure 4  Diverse effects of stage-specific microbiota on MLM accumulation and tumourigenesis. (A) The proportion of gram-negative bacteria within microbiomes of stools from mice at different time points, as predicted by BugBase (n=4 for each time point). (B) Mice pretreated with ABX for 4 weeks were (i) repopulated with faeces from healthy baseline mice, (ii) repopulated with faeces from 3 week, 6 week or 9 week AOM-DSS mice. Mice were then induced with AOM-DSS. TLR4 and CCL2 levels in CECs, and colonic MLM levels were determined by flow cytometry (n=7–11 for each group). (C, D) The ABX- or vehicle-pretreated mice were repopulated with faeces from different stages of tumourigenesis, or sham-repopulated. Mice were subsequently induced with AOM-DSS. Representative images (C) and quantitative analysis (D) of tumours in different treatments (scale bar, 5 mm; n=7–12 for each group). Data are represented as mean±SEM; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, one-way ANOVA. (E, F) Species differences in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) (E) or colorectal cancer (CRC) (F) compared with healthy donors. Species name in red: known gram-negative bacteria. Runs indicate objects containing sequencing run data files, and each dot in plots represents one related run. ‘Related runs’ refer to the total number of runs that are detected positive for specific bacterial species. Multiple t-test with two-stage step-up method of Benjamini, Krieger and Yekutieli. ABX, antibiotic; AOM-DSS, azoxymethane-dextran sodium sulfate; ANOVA, analysis of variance; CECs, colonic epithelial cells; MLM, monocyte-like macrophage.
The sequencing data revealed an increased abundance of gram-negative organisms in patients with UC, including "Fusobacterium perfoetens, Bacteroides luti, Bacteroides dorei, Simorizobium meliloti, Prevotella marshallii, Porphyromonas somerae, Cellulosilyticum lentocellum, Veillonella atypica and Fusobacterium nucleatum," whereas bacterial species enriched in healthy donors were mainly characterised by members of the "Bifidobacterium" (figure 4E). Similarly, bacterial species enriched in patients with CRC covered a range of gram-negative microbes, including "Moraxella atlantae, Sphingomonas sanguinis, Sphingomonas paucimobilis, Ralstonia solanacearum, Prevotella intermedia, Escherichia coli, Comamonas badia, Acinetobacter sp. CIP 101934, Cloacibacterium normalense, Paraburkholderia kuriensis, Paracoccus sp. MBIC4017 and Chryseobacterium molle" (figure 4F). These results may represent, at least partially, evidence in favour of the involvement of gram-negative microbes in colitis-associated tumorigenesis.

TLR4 ligands derived from microbiota induce the accumulation and activation of MLMs

Given the enrichment of LPS-producing microbes in tumour-bearing mice, we hypothesised that phenotypic variance in colitis-associated tumour progression might be attributed to the level of LPS. We found that the LPS biosynthesis pathway and faecal LPS level were associated with the temporal progression of the disease (figure 5A,B). In murine CECs treated with multiple concentrations of LPS, CCL2 expression was increased at higher LPS levels (figure 5C). Similarly, the promoting effects of LPS in CCL2 regulation were validated in vivo and abrogated by TLR4 inhibition (figure 5D, online supplemental figure 9A).

The proinflammatory pathways, including COX-2 and IL-17-producing T-helper (Th17), are critical for cell proliferation and colorectal neoplasia development. We sought to determine whether they were involved in the activation of MLMs by microbial stimuli. LPS induced COX-2 and IL-1β expression in MLMs, which could be reversed by TLR4 inhibition (online supplemental figure 9B,C). Consequently, MACS-sorted CD4+ cells produced more IL-17 when cultured with the supernatant from MLMs treated with LPS, and this could be reversed by IL-1β neutralisation (figure 5E). Moreover, the in vivo inhibition of TLR4 or COX-2 signalling compromised the LPS-induced increase in pro-IL-1β+ MLMs, Th17 cells, Ki-67+ CECs, and tumour load (figure 5F–Hi, online supplemental figure 9D-F). This suggested that TLR4/COX-2 might be a key mediator in regulating IL-1β and further contributing to Th17 expansion. Together, these data show the importance of microbe-derived LPS in the accumulation and activation of MLMs.

Evolving transcriptional signature and immune response during human colitis-associated tumorigenesis

The transcriptional signature showed that numerous genes were highly upregulated in both UC and UC with neoplasia (UCN) specimens compared with those in healthy controls (N) (figure 6A, online supplemental figure 10A-F). The GO analysis revealed an overrepresentation of genes involved in the immune response to microbial stimuli, and in cell migration and chemotaxis (figure 6B). The gene set enrichment analysis further revealed a notable enrichment of genes correlated with TLR and chemokine signalling pathways, Th17 cell differentiation and IL-17 signalling pathways in both UC and UCN in the leading-edge subset (figure 6C and D). Importantly, the robust increase of LPS-responsive genes in UC or UCN provided evidence that they experienced bacterial stimulation from the gut microbiota (figure 6E). Subsequently, we developed a pathway heatmap by selecting altogether 30 most typical gene sets for N-UC-UCN, indicated by distinct gene sets enriched in each group (online supplemental figure 10G,H). Finally, the relative abundance of different immune-cell types was assessed using ‘Cell type Identification By Estimating Relative Subsets Of RNA Transcripts’ algorithm, and an increase of inflammatory macrophages was observed in UC and UCN compared with healthy controls (figure 6F,G).

DISCUSSION

The intestinal immune microenvironment is a complicated ecology of cells that displays potential tumour-initiating capacity by orchestrating persistent inflammatory responses. A microbially programmed inflammatory processes is suggested in the colon, highlighting the complexity of microbiota-immune interactions. Therefore, understanding the biology of tumour migration in the gut requires an exposition of the crosstalk between microbial and immune microenvironment in premalignant lesions, and possible factors that affect the functional polarisation of immune cells. In this study, we found a distinct macrophage subset, MLM, associated with inflammation-driven colon tumourigenesis. The number of MLMs was apparently increased in the earliest stages of CAC and its accumulation was dependent on CCL2 signal transduction. Blocking CCL2 signalling reduced MLM recruitment and dramatically inhibited tumour growth. Moreover, we depicted a mechanism by which dysregulated microbes activated MLMs, which in turn produce IL-1β to promote Th17 cell expansion. This may further aggravate dysbiosis and inflammation, resulting in a vicious cycle that contributes to tumourigenesis.

The established function of macrophage in promoting tumourigenesis occurs through direct or indirect inhibition of cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses. Nevertheless, our understanding of macrophages as primary immunosuppressive cells is generally derived from studies on advanced tumour, which may not adequately apply to the early stage of tumour formation. Studies in preclinical models and human specimens have demonstrated the diverging role of macrophages in the early stage and later stage of cancers, indicating the importance of thorough characterisation of stage-specific macrophage function. Similarly, previous studies on the role of macrophages did not distinguish between heterogeneous subsets. Here, our AOM-DSS model tended to closely reflect early-stage CAC. Therefore, we investigated the microenvironment landscape in tumour formation, with an emphasis on exploring macrophages. We observed enhanced MLM accumulation occurring before tumour formation that largely resulted in inflammatory macrophage polarisation and protumour inflammatory mediator generation, fostering an inflammatory microenvironment. Furthermore, blocking MLM recruitment considerably delayed tumour formation, highlighting the therapeutic potential of selectively targeting recruited pro-tumour macrophage subsets, while favourably retaining resident macrophages associated with homeostasis in CAC prevention.

Chronic inflammation is a hallmark of cancer, caused by persistent inflammatory responses following exposure to microbial metabolites. In response to microbial dysbiosis, an altered immune homeostasis can trigger immunopathology such as IBD. Similarly, microbial product release caused by barrier disruption correlates with inflammatory myeloid cell accumulation and activation, which links to tumour-elicited inflammation. A key problem involved in these processes is to...
identify how microorganisms influence immune cell recruitment. Evidence indicate the important role of chemokines in chronic inflammation and colorectal cancer; it is plausible, from inflammation to tumour formation, that the alteration in microbial composition leads to the production of chemotactic factors that recruit monocytes, which further differentiate into potentially tumour-promoting cells. Indeed, TLRs are capable of sensing microbial stimuli, thereby offering an opportunity to study the interaction between the gut microbiota and chemokine production. Studies have reported that TLRs and chemokines are closely involved in cancer development, but with less attention on how the gut microbiota interacts with CECs and favours chemokine production. In this study, we identified CECs as a major CCL2 source and suggested that the crucial role played by the LPS/TLR4 pathway in triggering CCL2 production ultimately leads to MLM accumulation.

Figure 5  TLR4 ligands derived from microbiota induce the accumulation and activation of MLMs. (A) Functional profiling of microbiome throughout disease progression (n=4 for each time point). (B) Faecal LPS levels (AOM-DSS baseline/wk3/wk6/wk9: n=8/7/6/12). (C) CCL2 expression in CECs isolated from normal mice treated with multiple concentrations of LPS for 6 hours. control: n=10; n=3 for each group of LPS treatment. (D) Intracellular CCL2 levels in CECs from AOM-DSS mice treated with LPS, or a combination of TLR4 inhibitor (n=5–6 for each group, 9 weeks after AOM-DSS induction). (E) Mice were treated with 2.5% DSS for 7 days. Colonic MLMs were sorted by flow cytometry on day seven and then cultured in the presence of LPS, or a combination of TLR4/COX-2 inhibitor for 36 hours. MACS-sorted splenic CD4+ cells from normal mice were cultured with supernatant from MLM-drug cocultures under Th17 polarising conditions. As indicated, antimouse IL-1β was additionally added (n=8–15 for each group). (F–H) AOM-DSS mice with LPS or vehicle treatment. In some experiments, LPS (2 mg/kg)-treated mice were additionally administered with a TLR4 or COX-2 inhibitor. (F) Pro-IL1β+ MLMs, IL-17A+CD4+cells and Ki-67+CECs in mice (9 weeks after AOM-DSS induction) were determined by flow cytometry (n=6–8 for each group). Representative images (G) and quantitative analysis (H) of tumours (scale bar, 5 mm; n=4–20 for each group). Data are represented as mean±SEM; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, one-way ANOVA. A/D, AOM-DSS. ABX, antibiotic; AOM-DSS, azoxymethane-dextran sodium sulfate; ANOVA, analysis of variance; CCR2, C-C chemokine receptor type 2; CECs, colonic epithelial cells; IL1β, interleukin 1β; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; MLM, monocyte-like macrophage; Th17, interleukin-17-producing T-helper; TLR4, Toll-like receptor 4.
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For example, the observation of elevated LPS in CRC specimens and the enrichment of the CRC-associated pathway related to LPS suggest its important role in CRC. In particular, the initial structure of microbiota has been shown to determine the rate of colitis-associated tumourigenesis, indicating the protumoural effects of several bacteria and suggesting that a specific group of bacteria does have the potential to drive inflammation and promote disease progression. This may also, in turn, be affected by the accumulation of mutations during tumourigenesis, forming a dynamic network.

Figure 6 Evolving transcriptional signature and immune response during human colitis-associated tumourigenesis. (A) Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) involved in TLR and chemokine signalling pathways, as well as Th17 cell differentiation. (B) Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of DEGs between UC and normal healthy controls (N), or between ulcerative colitis with neoplasia (UCN) and N. (C–E) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) plot showing the enrichment of genes upregulated in TLR and chemokine signalling pathways (C), Th17 cell differentiation and IL-17 signalling pathways (D), as well as immune responses to LPS stimulation (E). (F, G) Evolving polarisation of macrophages during colitis-associated carcinogenesis. (F) The relative abundance of immune cell from gene-expression profiles depicting the immune contexture. (G) Box plots with jittered points showing macrophage subfractions in each developmental stage (N/UC/UCN: n=24/159/11). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, one-way ANOVA. ANOVA, analysis of variance; IL-17, interleukin 17; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; Th17, interleukin-17-producing T-helper; TLR, Toll-like receptor.
aberrant microbiota-immune crosstalk promotes inflammation and tumour cell proliferation remains unclear. We demonstrated that repopulation using faeces derived from tumour-bearing mice significantly accelerated tumour growth and that the administration of bacterial products (LPS) profoundly stimulated MLMs. In addition, we discovered the presence of microbiota-induced proinflammatory immune responses across pre-neoplastic and early stages of cancers in the colon. Combined with the therapeutic implications of targeting MLM, these findings suggest that microenvironment and suitable timing are critical factors for the treatment of CAC.

We evaluated the function of a microbiota-driven factor in the regulation of proinflammatory responses in preclinical model that may not fully reflect cancer initiation events in patients. Furthermore, we did not assess the contributions of other TLRs or bacterium-derived mediators in facilitating uncontrolled inflammation in these environments. However, in response to these limitations, recent investigations by our group and others are shedding light on the precise mechanisms of the described interplay. The combination of LPS, MLMs, and inflammatory cytokines may increase intestinal permeability, allowing the excessive release of commensal bacterial products. This promotes M2 macrophage differentiation, creating a positive feedback loop to recruit immunosuppressive cells and generate a tolerogenic environment. Further studies are required to confirm our findings and elaborate possible mechanisms involved in colitis-associated tumorigenesis.

Overall, our findings establish a potential link between the gut microbiota, its products, and inappropriate immune responses in the colon (online supplemental figure 11). These results offer conceptually novel insights into the pathogenesis of CAC by uncovering the role of the gut microbiota in shaping the protumoral immune response, and they have therapeutic implications on colitis-associated tumour formation.
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