Where should ascitic drains be placed? Revisiting anatomical landmarks for paracentesis

We welcome the recent publication of the BSG/BASL guidelines on the management of ascites in cirrhosis which will serve as a framework for patient management across the world.1 Following clinician feedback on Twitter,2 we wish to respectfully highlight concerns regarding their guidance on landmarks for therapeutic paracentesis.

Our first concern relates to the description of the landmarks. The authors recommend this to be ‘at least 8 cm (laterally) from the midline and 5 cm above the symphysis (pubis)’.1 This was predicated on three studies (two laparoscopic; one cadaveric) on the anatomical course of the inferior epigastric artery.3–5 While this approach avoids puncturing the vessel, there remains a risk of injury to underlying solid organs which can result in haemorrhage or perforation. Of note, these studies may not be generalisable to patients with distortion of the abdominal cavity due to gross ascites.

Second, the figures depicting the landmarks for paracentesis require clarification. In Figure 4A of the published paper,1 the umbilicus appears closer to the subcostal plane than the symphysis pubis; this is not anatomically representative. The safe zones (denoted in green) appear too superior and lateral; these exceed the ‘5 cm above the symphysis’ description which is ambiguous, and should stipulate an upper bound distance. In Figure 4B of the published paper,1 the paracentesis site photographed corresponds to the diagram but appears too superior and lateral to be considered safe without ultrasound guidance, as this carries a risk of splenic injury and bowel perforation.

Patient safety must always be prioritised. Ultrasound guidance mitigates the risks of paracentesis-related complications, but its availability is limited in resource-poor settings and in some UK hospitals. Training in bedside ultrasound is also variable. Moreover, with the COVID-19 pandemic, transporting ultrasound machines between patients and across sites risks transmission. Thus, it remains important to teach paracentesis landmarks in a clear and safe manner without sole reliance on ultrasound.

Conventional teaching places emphasis on the following landmarks for paracentesis: (i) in relation to the anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS): 5 cm superiorly and medially; (ii) left or right lower quadrants: 2–3 cm lateral to the inferior rectus sheath border; (iii) midline approach within the linea alba: 2 cm below the umbilicus (this is unconventional in the UK).6 However, the use of absolute measurements is suboptimal as abdominal dimensions inevitably vary (eg, in children, morbid obesity or distortion due to chronic ascites). As such, a relative approach for landmarks would seem more appropriate.

We propose several alterations to the authors’ landmarks for paracentesis (figure 1). We advocate the use of McBurney’s point as a rough surface landmark, guided by abdominal percussion, with the patient in supine position. This is located one-third of the distance between the right ASIS and the umbilicus, and is traditionally used to localise appendicitis. The contralateral (left) McBurney’s point is favoured, as the abdominal wall here is thinner, with deeper ascitic pool and lower theoretical risk of perforation as the sigmoid colon is more mobile than the fixed caecum.7 Care should be taken to avoid far lateral sites, engorged veins or previous scars.7 The landmarks can be shifted slightly laterally to account for scarring from regular paracentesis. We believe this approach to be safer and more anatomically correct in the absence of ultrasound guidance, and encourage a revision to Figure 4. However, this caveat should by no means detract from the commendable efforts by the authors in formulating these guidelines.
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Figure 1 Anatomical landmarks for paracentesis. ASIS, anterior superior iliac spine.
twitter.com/drkeithsia/status/1319682365082533890 [Accessed 16 Nov 2020].


