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*Lactobacillus gallinarum* modulates the gut microbiota and produces anti-cancer metabolites to protect against colorectal tumourigenesis
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**ABSTRACT**

**Objective** Using faecal shotgun metagenomic sequencing, we identified the depletion of *Lactobacillus gallinarum* in patients with colorectal cancer (CRC). We aimed to determine the potential antitumourigenic role of *L. gallinarum* in colorectal tumourigenesis.

**Design** The tumour-suppressive effect of *L. gallinarum* was assessed in murine models of CRC. CRC cell lines and organoids derived from patients with CRC were cultured with *L. gallinarum* or *Escherichia coli* MG1655 culture-supernatant to evaluate cell proliferation, apoptosis and cell cycle distribution. Gut microbiota was assessed by 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing. Antitumour molecule produced from *L. gallinarum* was identified by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) and targeted mass spectrometry.

**Results** *L. gallinarum* significantly reduced intestinal tumour number and size compared with *E. coli* MG1655 and phosphate-buffered saline in both male and female murine intestinal tumourigenesis models. Faecal microbial profiling revealed enrichment of probiotics and depletion of pathogenic bacteria in *L. gallinarum*-treated mice. Culturing CRC cells with *L. gallinarum* culture-supernatant (5%, 10% and 20%) concentration-dependently suppressed cell proliferation and colony formation. *L. gallinarum* culture-supernatant significantly promoted apoptosis in CRC cells and patient-derived CRC organoids, but not in normal colon epithelial cells. Only *L. gallinarum* culture-supernatant with fraction size <3 kDa suppressed proliferation in CRC cells. Using LC-MS/MS, enrichments of indole-3-lactic acid (ILA) was identified in both *L. gallinarum* culture-supernatant and the gut of *L. gallinarum*-treated mice. ILA displayed anti-CRC growth *in vitro* and inhibited intestinal tumourigenesis *in vivo*.

**Conclusion** *L. gallinarum* protects against intestinal tumourigenesis by producing protective metabolites that can promote apoptosis of CRC cells.

**INTRODUCTION**

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most commonly diagnosed malignancy and the second leading cause of cancer death in the world.1 There are many risk factors associated with CRC carcinogenesis including genetic alterations, lifestyle and environmental factors.2 Over the last decade, gut microbiota has been shown to play a key role in CRC development. Certain probiotic bacteria such as *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* have demonstrated anticarcinogenic properties.3 4

Most *Lactobacillus* species are classified as lactic acid bacteria (LAB). LAB are generally found in fermented food, such as decomposing plants and milk products, and they are widely accepted to be used as probiotics for humans.5 The beneficial effects of LAB for diseases have been reported extensively6 7 and preclinical studies have shown its abilities to reduce chronic inflammation associated with...
cancer development. Using shotgun metagenomic sequencing, we identified a probiotic species *Lactobacillus gallinarum* being significantly depleted in the stool of patients with CRC, suggesting that it might play a role in suppressing CRC. In this study, we showed that *L. gallinarum* could abrogate colorectal tumourigenesis in mouse models, human CRC-derived organoids and CRC cell lines through promoting apoptosis. This tumour-suppressing effect was attributed to indole-3-lactic acid (ILA), a metabolite generated by *L. gallinarum*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal experiments**

Male and female ApcMin+ C57B/6 mice, which can faithfully recapitulate the human familial adenomatous polyposis, was used as a mouse model of spontaneous CRC. ApcMin+ mice at 5–6 weeks old were divided into three groups with or without CH-223191 treatment: (1) phosphate-buffered saline (PBS); (2) Escherichia coli MG1655 and (3) *L. gallinarum*. *Lactobacillus casei* was further used as a LAB control. *L. gallinarum* was cultured in MRS broth (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Minnesota, USA) and *L. casei* and *E. coli* MG1655 were cultured in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth. After 1 day, each of the bacteria was collected and resuspended in PBS and then gavaged to mice (1×10⁸ colony-forming unit (CFU)/100 µL PBS per mouse). Male and female mice were gavaged once daily for 8 and 12 weeks, respectively, for the development of neoplastic lesions. Body weight and stool were examined weekly.

The azoxymethane (AOM)/dextran sulfate sodium (DSS) model, which can mimic human colorectal adenoma-carcinoma (CAC), was also established. Male and female C57BL/6 mice at 5–6 weeks old were intraperitoneally injected with a single dose of 10 mg/kg AOM (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), followed by 2% DSS (MP Biomedicals, Solon, Ohio, USA) administration for 1 week. AOM/DSS-induced CRC mice were gavaged with *L. gallinarum* and *E. coli* MG1655 suspension following the same schedule.

Mouse colonoscopy (Karl Storz Endoskope, Tutlingen, Germany) was performed prior to sacrifice. The colonoscope was inserted into anus and advanced proximally under direct visualisation, facilitated by air insufflation, and representative pictures of the colon tumour from each group were recorded. After neoplastic lesions developed, mice were anaesthetised and sacrificed. Small intestines and colons of mice were longitudinally opened and rinsed with PBS. Total number of tumours in small intestine and colon were recorded. Size of each tumour was measured using previous published formula.

16S rDNA gene sequence analysis

Raw de-multiplexed FASTQ files were preprocessed in Mothur. Contigs were created using Needleman-Wunsch alignment algorithm with default parameters, and aligned against the SILVA database (V.123) using NAST algorithm. We removed any contigs with homopolymers of >8 nucleotides and retained all that mapped within the identical coordinates. Any sequence pairs with mismatch difference of ≤2 were preclustered to reduce amplicon sequencing noises. Chimeric sequences were culled using de novoUCHime. Postquality controlled sequences were classified using Greengenes 16S rRNA database (V.13.8). We discarded any sequences of eukaryotic, archaea, mitochondrial, chloroplasmatic or unknown origins before binning them into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% identity threshold. The lowest taxonomic annotation for an OTU was defined as having a consensus assignment score of ≥80. Sequence count table was rarefied to the smallest number of reads per sample (i.e., 44,845 reads) to reduce the effects of variable sequencing depths on downstream analyses. The significance of Alpha diversity was assessed by Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Beta diversity was visualised by principal coordinate analysis. P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Differential abundance analysis was performed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Average fold change for each OTU and heatmap was computed in the R Project for Statistical Computing. The p values obtained were adjusted for multiple comparisons by the false discovery rate method. The corresponding q<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Bacterial strains and culture conditions**

*L. gallinarum* (ATCC 33199) and *L. casei* (ATCC BAA-2843) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, Virginia, USA). *E. coli* strain MG1655 (ATCC 700926), a non-pathogenic human commensal intestinal bacterium, was included as a negative control. They were cultured in MRS broth or BHI broth as appropriate at 37°C under aerobic condition.

**CRC patient-derived organoid culture**

CRC organoids derived from 2 patients (74 and 816) were obtained from Princess Margaret Living Biobank (Toronto, Ontario, Canada), and embedded into Matrigel (Corning, Corning, New York, USA). Culture medium was changed every 2 days. After 5 days of culture, Matrigel was removed to expose organoids by mechanical stress and/or TrypLE digestion (Sigma-Aldrich). Organoids were then collected for further experiments. The detailed information about these two patients with CRC is listed in online supplemental table 1.

**Cell viability assay**

Cell viability was measured by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazoly-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) (Sigma-Aldrich) assay. Cells were seeded on 96-well plates at 1.0×10⁴ cells per well and incubated for 24 hours before treatment. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium with addition of bacterial supernatants at different concentrations (5%, 10% or 20%) or the same amount of ILA (Sigma-Aldrich) comparable to that of 20% *L. gallinarum* culture supernatant (LGCS). Cell proliferation was measured by MTT assay for five consecutive days. The amount of MTT formazan product was determined by measuring absorbance at a wavelength of 570 nm (OD₅₇₀) with a microplate reader (Multiskan GO Microplate Spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, Vantaa, Finland). For pharmacological inhibition of aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR), CH-223191 (100 nm) was added 12 hours before the treatment with bacteria culture supernatant.

**Apoptosis assay and cell cycle analysis**

Cells were plated on 6-well plates 24 hours prior to treatment, and cultured in medium containing 10% LGCS, *E. coli* culture supernatant (ECCS) or BHI. CRC patient-derived organoids were cultured on 6-well plates, and 10% LGCS, ECCS or BHI was added to Matrigel and growth medium. After 5 days of treatment, cells and organoids were digested in 0.25% trypsin-EDTA (Gibco-Invitrogen, Grand Island, New York, USA) and TrypLE, respectively. For apoptosis assay, the proportion of apoptotic cells was evaluated by dual staining with Annexin V-PE and 7-aminoactinomycin D (7-AAD) (BD Pharmingen, San Jose, California, USA). Combination of Annexin V-PE and 7-AAD stained cells were manually counted by using a Leica DM6000B microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) at 60× magnification. The data were analysed by the Leica Application Suite X (LAS X) software package. The total number of cells with Annexin V fluorescence was counted in 8–10 random fields at the same magnification for each condition tested.
The processing of LC-MS/MS information to acquire tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) spectra on an AB Sciex, Foster City, California, USA) spectrometry (MS/MS) was used. A UPLC BEH Amide column. The analysis was carried with elution gradient using a 1290 Infinity series UHPLC System (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, California, USA), equipped with a UPLC BEH Amide column. The analysis was carried with elution gradient as follows: 0–0.5 min, 75 µL of supernatant was transferred to a fresh glass vial for liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis. Ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) separation was carried out using a 1290 Infinity series UHPLC System (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, California, USA), equipped with a UPLC BEH Amide column. The analysis was carried with elution gradient as follows: 0–0.5 min, >95% B; 0.5–7.0 min, 95%–65% B; 7.0–8.0 min, 65%–40% B; 8.0–9.0 min, <40% B; 9.0–9.1 min, 40%–95% B; 9.1–12.0 min, 95% B. The TripleTOF 6600 mass spectrometer (AB Sciex, Foster city, California, USA) was used to acquire tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) spectra on an information-dependent basis during LC-MS/MS experiment. The processing of LC-MS/MS raw data was achieved using R package XCMS (V3.2). Peak annotation was processed by CAMERA package implemented in the R language. In-house MS2 database, Human Metabolome Database (HMDB, www.hmdb.ca), and METLIN metabolite database (metlin.scripps.edu) were used for a more reliable metabolite identification. Significantly enriched metabolites were identified by a two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test. Compared with BHI or ECCS, specific metabolite enriched in LGCS with adjusted p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Statistical analysis**

Values are expressed as mean±SD for both *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments. Comparisons between two groups were performed using a two-sided Student’s t-test. ANOVA was used to compare differences among multiple groups, and post hoc analysis was performed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. P value <0.05 indicates statistical significance.

**RESULTS**

*L. gallinarum* protects against intestinal tumourigenesis in *Apc*<sup>Min/+</sup> mice

To investigate the effect of *L. gallinarum* on colorectal tumourigenesis, we first used male *Apc*<sup>Min/+</sup> mice. We gavaged the mice with *L. gallinarum* (1.0×10<sup>8</sup> CFUs per mouse), a non-tumourigenic
E. coli strain MG1655 (1.0×10⁸ CFUs per mouse) as bacteria control, or PBS once daily for 8 weeks (figure 1A). During the period of gavage, there was no difference in body weight among groups (online supplemental figure 1A). The incidence of bloody stool in L. gallinarum group was lower than that of the PBS group (p<0.05) after gavage for 8 weeks (online supplemental figure 1B). Colonoscopy identified that colon tumour sizes in L. gallinarum group were visually smaller than the E. coli MG1655 or PBS groups (figure 1B). After sacrifice (figure 1C), significant reductions in tumour number (E. coli, p=0.034; PBS, p=0.005) (figure 1D) and tumour size (E. coli, p<0.05; PBS, p<0.05). L. gallinarum also significantly decreased tumour number (E. coli, p=0.003; PBS, p<0.05) (figure 1D) and tumour size (E. coli, p=0.0012; PBS, p<0.05) (figure 1E) in the small intestine of ApcMin/+ mice (figure 1F).

To determine if the protective effect of L. gallinarum against CRC is gender-specific, we repeated the experiment in female ApcMin/+ mice (figure 1G). L. casei, a well-known LAB which has been reported to inhibit CRC progression through releasing small molecules,20 was used as a positive control. We observed consistent results as in the male ApcMin/+ mice, including decreased tumour development as captured by colonoscopy (figure 1H) and tumour incidence in colon (figure 1I) and small intestine (figure 1J) in L. gallinarum-treated female ApcMin/+ mice. Significant reductions of both total tumour number (L. gallinarum vs E. coli, p<0.01; L. gallinarum vs PBS, p<0.01) and total tumour size (L. gallinarum vs E. coli, p<0.05; L. gallinarum vs PBS, p<0.05) were also observed in L. gallinarum-treated female ApcMin/+ mice as compared with controls (figure 1K and L, online supplemental figure 2). Accordingly, L. casei slightly inhibited tumour formation in female ApcMin/+ mice (figure 1K), but its effect was not as strong as that of L. gallinarum. These results suggested that L. gallinarum abrogates intestinal tumourigenesis in both male and female ApcMin/+ mice.

L. gallinarum protects against intestinal tumourigenesis in AOM/DSS-induced CRC mice

To validate the tumor-suppressive effect of L. gallinarum on colorectal tumourigenesis, we established a colitis-associated CRC model, in which C57BL/6 mice aged 6 weeks were intraperitoneally injected with 10 mg/kg AOM, followed by 2% DSS administration for 1 week (figure 2A). L. gallinarum significantly reduced colorectal tumour number (E. coli, p<0.05; PBS, p=0.007) and tumour size (E. coli, p=0.019; PBS, p=0.0013) (figure 2B and C) in AOM/DSS-induced male CRC mice. Consistently, same results were observed in AOM/DSS-induced female CRC mice (figure 2D–F). During the period of gavage, there was no difference in body weight among different groups of mice (online supplemental figure 3 and online supplemental figure 4), indicating that L. gallinarum suppresses intestinal tumourigenesis in AOM/DSS-induced CRC in both male and female mice.

### Figure 2

L. gallinarum protects against intestinal tumourigenesis in AOM/DSS-induced CRC mice.

To determine if the protective effect of L. gallinarum against CRC is gender-specific, we repeated the experiment in female ApcMin/+ mice (figure 1G). L. casei, a well-known LAB which has been reported to inhibit CRC progression through releasing small molecules,20 was used as a positive control. We observed consistent results as in the male ApcMin/+ mice, including decreased tumour development as captured by colonoscopy (figure 1H) and tumour incidence in colon (figure 1I) and small intestine (figure 1J) in L. gallinarum-treated female ApcMin/+ mice. Significant reductions of both total tumour number (L. gallinarum vs E. coli, p<0.01; L. gallinarum vs PBS, p<0.01) and total tumour size (L. gallinarum vs E. coli, p<0.05; L. gallinarum vs PBS, p<0.05) were also observed in L. gallinarum-treated female ApcMin/+ mice as compared with controls (figure 1K and L, online supplemental figure 2). Accordingly, L. casei slightly inhibited tumour formation in female ApcMin/+ mice (figure 1K), but its effect was not as strong as that of L. gallinarum. These results suggested that L. gallinarum abrogates intestinal tumourigenesis in both male and female ApcMin/+ mice.

L. gallinarum protects against intestinal tumourigenesis in AOM/DSS-induced CRC mice

To validate the tumor-suppressive effect of L. gallinarum on colorectal tumourigenesis, we established a colitis-associated CRC model, in which C57BL/6 mice aged 6 weeks were intraperitoneally injected with 10 mg/kg AOM, followed by 2% DSS administration for 1 week (figure 2A). L. gallinarum significantly reduced colorectal tumour number (E. coli, p<0.05; PBS, p=0.007) and tumour size (E. coli, p=0.019; PBS, p=0.0013) (figure 2B and C) in AOM/DSS-induced male CRC mice. Consistently, same results were observed in AOM/DSS-induced female CRC mice (figure 2D–F). During the period of gavage, there was no difference in body weight among different groups of mice (online supplemental figure 3 and online supplemental figure 4), indicating that L. gallinarum suppresses intestinal tumourigenesis in AOM/DSS-induced CRC in both male and female mice.

L. gallinarum modulates the gut microbiota of ApcMin/+ mice

To investigate the effects of L. gallinarum on gut microbiota, we performed 16S rDNA gene sequencing on ApcMin/+ mouse stool samples after gavage of L. gallinarum for 8 weeks. The microbial abundance in L. gallinarum group significantly increased compared with PBS (p<0.05), while there was no difference between L. gallinarum and E. coli groups (figure 3A). Similarly, although the β-diversity of stool samples from L. gallinarum-gavaged mice had a distinct trend compared with other two groups, there was no statistical significance (figure 3B). However, L. gallinarum could enhance abundances of some well-characterised commensal probiotics including Lactobacillus helveticus, Lactobacillus reuteri and OTUs from the Bacteroidetes phylum (figure 3C). Moreover, some genera, such as Allistipes, Allobaculum, Dorea, Odoribacter, Parabacteroides and Ruminococcus with species of pathogenic potentials, exhibited significantly decreased abundances in mice treated with L. gallinarum compared with control groups (figure 3C).
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Figure 3  L. gallinarum modulates the gut microbiota of ApcMin/+ mice. 16S rDNA gene analysis of intestinal microbiome. (A) Alpha-diversity analysis of luminal microbiota OTU at various taxonomic ranks. (B) Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of β-diversity based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix of OTU-level compositional profiles. Ellipses represent 95% CIs. Solid diamond-shaped points in black denote species scores, which were calculated using the vegan R-CRAN package. (C) Heatmap of differentially abundant bacterial OTUs using one-way analysis of variance, at p<0.05. L. g, L. gallinarum; OTU, operational taxonomic unit.

together, although L. gallinarum could not change the overall gut microbiota composition, it could enrich abundances of probiotics and potentially deplete gut pathogens.

L. gallinarum supernatant inhibits the viability of colon cancer cells

To validate the tumor-suppressive effect of L. gallinarum in vivo, we performed in vitro functional analyses using two CRC cell lines (HCT116 and LoVo) and a normal colonic epithelial cell line (NCM460) as control. Treatment with the culture supernatant of L. gallinarum significantly reduced the viability of CRC cell lines in a concentration-dependent manner, but not in normal colonic epithelial cell line as determined by cell viability assay (figure 4A–C). Similar results were observed in colony formation assay in which HCT116 (ECCS, p=0.0002; BHI, p=0.0003) and LoVo (ECCS, p=0.0001; BHI, p=7.6×10⁻⁵) showed significant decrease in colony compared with ECCS or BHI groups (figure 4D). These data indicated that the secreted molecules from L. gallinarum could suppress viability and colony-forming ability of CRC cells.

L. gallinarum supernatant promotes apoptosis in CRC cells

To determine the mechanism by which LGCS suppresses CRC cell viability, the effects of LGCS on apoptosis and cell cycle distribution were assessed quantitatively by flow cytometry with Annexin V-PE and 7-AAD staining. We found that LGCS significantly promoted apoptosis in CRC cell lines, HCT116 (ECCS, p=3.3×10⁻⁶; BHI, p=5.1×10⁻⁶) (figure 5A) and LoVo (ECCS, p=1.4×10⁻⁶; BHI, p=3.6×10⁻⁷) (figure 5B), while it had no effect on normal epithelial colonic cells, NCM460 (figure 5C). Moreover, we confirmed this apoptosis-inducing property of LGCS on CRC organoids derived from 2 patients, 74 (ECCS, p=1.2×10⁻⁵; BHI, p=0.0001) and 816 (ECCS, p=0.0013; BHI, p=0.0016) (figure 5D and E). By contrast, LGCS had no effect on cell cycle distribution in CRC cells (figure 5F–H).
Antitumour molecules produced from *L. gallinarum* are non-protein with a molecular weight <3 kDa

To investigate the features of anti-CRC molecules produced from *L. gallinarum*, bacterial culture supernatant was separated into low molecular weight (LMW) (<3 kDa) and high molecular weight (HMW) (>3 kDa) fractions using 3 kDa filter units. We found that decrease in viability of CRC cells was observed only in those treated with LGCS LMW fraction, while LGCS HMW fraction had no suppressive effect on CRC cells (figure 6A). Meanwhile, both heat-inactivated LGCS (figure 6B) and proteinase K-treated LGCS (figure 6C) retained the ability to reduce cell viability of CRC cells. Collectively, these results indicated that the anti-CRC properties of *L. gallinarum* could be induced by non-protein molecules with a molecular weight <3 kDa.
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We next performed non-targeted LC-MS/MS to identify anti-CRC metabolite(s) in the LGCS-LMW fraction (online supplemental table 2). Score plots of principal component analysis (PCA) showed clear separations among LMW fractions of LGCS, ECCS and BHI groups (figure 6D). Differential abundance analysis showed the critical products generated from L. gallinarum that may contribute to the anti-CRC effects (figure 6E). To verify if L. gallinarum-produced LMW molecules are responsible for the anti-CRC effect in vivo, we then examined the intestine metabolomics by using faecal samples from ApcMin/+ mice under different treatments (online supplemental table 3). Daily administration of L. gallinarum caused a significant overall compositional alteration of the gut metabolites as revealed by principal coordinate analysis (figure 6F). We found that metabolites including L-tryptophan, palmitic acid, 4-pyridoxic acid and gamma-L-glutamyl-L-glutamic acid were significantly upregulated in mice after L. gallinarum gavage as compared with control groups (figure 6G). Among them, L-tryptophan was the most upregulated metabolite secreted by L. gallinarum. L-tryptophan is commonly converted into its downstream metabolites by gut microbiota.21 We anticipated that L. gallinarum-produced L-tryptophan and its downstream metabolites could be responsible for the anti-CRC effect exhibited by L. gallinarum.

L. gallinarum produces and catabolises L-tryptophan to release indole-3-lactic acid to protect against CRC

To further confirm L-tryptophan production by L. gallinarum and its conversion into downstream metabolites, we performed high-throughput targeted L-tryptophan metabolic profiling using culture supernatant at the late-stage stationary phase of L. gallinarum to ensure sufficient release and metabolic conversion of the metabolites (online supplemental table 4), as well as faecal samples from ApcMin/+ mice (online supplemental table 5). The PCA plot regarding L-tryptophan and its downstream metabolites showed obvious separations among LGCS, ECCS and BHI (figure 7A). Stool samples from L. gallinarum-treated ApcMin/+ mice also displayed clear separations from E. coli-treated or PBS mice (figure 7B). Differential abundance analysis showed that, in contrast to the log-phase culture supernatant, L-tryptophan was decreased in the stationary-phase LGCS (figure 7C), suggesting that L. gallinarum could catabolise L-tryptophan. In particular, we found ILA, which is one of the downstream catabolites from L-tryptophan, was highly enriched in both LGCS (figure 7C) and stool samples from L. gallinarum-treated mice (figure 7D).

We then performed functional investigation of ILA in CRC cell growth in vitro and in CRC tumourigenesis in vivo. As shown in Figure 5 L. gallinarum supernatant promotes apoptosis instead of cell cycle arrest in CRC cells. (A) LGCS significantly promoted apoptosis including both early and late phases in two CRC cell lines HCT116, and (B) LoVo, but not in the normal colonic epithelial cell line (C) NCM460. (D) The size and number of CRC patient-derived organoids was visually reduced in medium containing 10% LGCS. (E) LGCS significantly promoted apoptosis including both early and late phases in CRC patient-derived organoids. (F) LGCS had no effect on cell cycle distribution in HCT116, (G) LoVo and (H) NCM460. P values are calculated by one-way analysis of variance. **P<0.01, ****p<0.0001. BHI, brain heart infusion; CRC, colorectal cancer; ECCS, Escherichia coli culture supernatant; LGCS, Lactobacillus gallinarum culture supernatant; PI, propidium iodide.
Figure 6  Antitumour molecules produced from L. gallinarum are non-protein with a molecular weight <3 kDa. (A) LMW-LGCS but not HMW-LGCS significantly suppressed cell growth of HCT116 and LoVo. (B) Decrease in proliferation of CRC cells was observed in heat-inactivated LGCS. (C) Decrease in proliferation of CRC cells was observed in PK-inactivated LGCS. (D) Score plots of PCA revealed clear separations of metabolites in culture supernatant of L. gallinarum MG1655 and PBS- into and not normal colonic epithelial cell NCM460 was substantially reduced when exposing to ILA at a concentration comparable to that of LGCS. Consistent with the effect of LGCS, ILA-treated CRC cells showed an increase in apoptosis (figure 7F). For in vitro experiments, gavage of ILA (20 mg/kg) into ApcMin/+ mice (figure 7G) significantly reduced tumour number (figure 7H) and tumour size (figure 7I). The amount of cells with positive TUNEL staining was also increased in ILA-treated tumour tissues, but not in adjacent normal tissues (figure 7J). Moreover, we have performed additional experiments to investigate if antagonising ILA could abrogate L. gallinarum mediated anticancer effect. As shown in online supplemental figure 6A, blocking ILA receptor, AhR, using AhR antagonist (CH-223191), abolished the effect of L. gallinarum in suppressing CRC in vitro (online supplemental figure 6B) and in ApcMin/+ mice (online supplemental figure 6C-6H). Collectively, these data suggested that L. gallinarum could produce L-tryptophan, and at the same time, convert it to its downstream ILA and other metabolites. Thus, ILA could contribute, at least in part, to the tumor-suppressive effect of L. gallinarum.

Figure 6A: (A) HCT116 (<3kDa) BHI -1.0 1.00
Figure 6B: (B) HCT116 (heat-inactivated) LGCS +/− BHI -1.0 1.00
Figure 6C: (C) HCT116 (PK-inactivated) LGCS +/− BHI -1.0 1.00
Figure 6D: (D) OrthoScore (to1) BHI LGCS -10 0 10

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrated for the first time that oral administration of L. gallinarum reduced intestinal tumour number and size in ApcMin/+ mice and confirmed in AOM/DSS-induced CRC mouse model, indicating that L. gallinarum suppresses CRC tumourigenesis in both male and female mice. From in vitro experiments, we found that small non-protein metabolites produced by L. gallinarum suppressed the growth of CRC cells and CRC patient-derived organoids by promoting apoptosis.

Certain probiotics can suppress the progression of CRC in preclinical experiments. For example, both living and heat-killed L. rhamnosus GG (LGG) have anti-CRC effect by promoting apoptosis in human CRC cells. In an animal model, LGG could suppress CRC development by increasing expressions of various pro-apoptotic proteins such as Bax, casp3 and p53. Several studies have suggested that regular consumption of probiotics may improve the imbalanced intestinal microbiota, thus reducing the chance of chronic inflammation and production of carcinogenic compounds during intestinal dysbiosis.

In the present study, we found that L. gallinarum significantly enriched the abundance of well-characterised commensal probiotics, such as L. helveticus and L. reuteri. While some
potential pathogenic species, such as Alistipes, Allobaculum, Dorea, Odoribacter, Parabacteroides and Ruminococcus, were significantly depleted in mice treated with L. gallinarum, L. reuteri is known to suppress inflammation-associated colon carcinogenesis by producing histamine. Thus, L. gallinarum suppresses CRC at least in part through enriching abundances of probiotics and depleting potential CRC pathogens. Gut microbiota plays a critical role in CRC tumourigenesis. Our previous study showed that transplantation of faeces from patients with CRC can promote tumourigenesis in germ-free mice and AOM-treated mice. Another study demonstrated that transplantation of faecal samples from AOM/DSS mice to germ-free mice led to increased tumour development compared with those harbouring faecal samples from naïve healthy mice. These studies suggest that gut dysbiosis contributes to tumour susceptibility and alteration of the intestinal microbiota is an important determinant of colon tumourigenesis. Meanwhile, some studies found that probiotics can alter the composition of microbiota to alleviate cancer progression. For example, Lactobacillus salivarius Ren could suppress CRC tumourigenesis via modulating intestinal microbiota. These findings collectively inferred that probiotics like L. gallinarum suppress CRC development through modulating gut microbial composition.

We also demonstrated that metabolites produced by L. gallinarum could suppress CRC cell viability through inducing apoptosis. Using metabolomic analysis, we found that L. gallinarum could produce L-tryptophan and convert L-tryptophan to its catabolites. One of the L-tryptophan catabolites, ILA, was significantly increased in both LGCS and faecal samples of L. gallinarum-treated ApcMin/+ mice. ILA inhibited CRC cell viability in vitro and suppressed intestinal tumour development in vivo. A recent report suggested that L-tryptophan catabolites generated by the gut microbiota are important contributors in maintaining intestinal homeostasis. ILA was identified as a metabolite of breastmilk tryptophan, secreted by the probiotic Bifidobacterium longum to prevent inflammation. Production of ILA from gut microbes was reported to alleviate colitis in mice through inhibiting epithelial autophagy. ILA was also found to have regulatory effect on intestinal innate immunity, which plays a specific role in host-microbe crosstalk. CRC is influenced by the balance between microbial production of health-promoting metabolites (eg, short-chain fatty acids) and

Figure 7  L. gallinarum produces and catabolises L-tryptophan to release ILA to protect against CRC. Targeted metabolomics on L-tryptophan were performed on different culture supernatants and faecal samples from ApcMin/+ mice under different treatments. (A) Score plots of PCA revealed clear separations among culture supernatant of L. gallinarum, E. coli MG1655 and BHI groups. (B) Score plots of PCA revealed clear separations among faecal samples from L. gallinarum-treated, E. coli MG1655-treated and PBS ApcMin/+ mice. (C) Heatmap analysis revealed the abundance of different metabolites in LGCS, ECCS and BHI groups. (D) Heatmap analysis revealed the abundance of different metabolites in the gut of ApcMin/+ mice under different treatments. (E) The cell growth of CRC cells was significantly suppressed by ILA. (F) The cell apoptosis of CRC cells was significantly increased by ILA. (G) Schematic diagram showing the experimental design, timeline and representative macroscopic images of colons from of ILA-treated ApcMin/+ mouse model. (H) Colon, small intestinal and total tumour number (colon+small intestinal) in ApcMin/+ mice with or without ILA treatment. (I) Colon, small intestinal with or without ILA treatment. (J) TUNEL-positive staining cells in colon tissues of ApcMin/+ mice with or without ILA treatment. Each black triangle indicates one tumour location. P values are calculated by two-way analysis of variance or Student’s t-test as appropriate. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. BHI, brain heart infusion; CRC, colorectal cancer; ECCS, Escherichia coli culture supernatant; ILA, indole-3-lactic acid; LGCS, Lactobacillus gallinarum culture supernatant; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; PCA, principal component analysis.
potentially carcinogenic metabolites (eg, secondary bile acids).44 Previous studies demonstrated the anticarcinogenic attributes of probiotic metabolites, especially for those produced by LAB.45,46 For example, metabolites produced by Lactobacillus plantarum exhibited selective cytotoxicity via provoking anti-proliferative activity and inducing apoptosis against malignant cancer cells.47 Ferrichrome derived from L. casei has a strong tumor-suppressive effect on CRC cells by inhibiting the JNK signalling pathway.48 Interestingly, we also observed stronger tumor-suppressive effect of LGCS as compared with LCCS (online supplemental figure 5). Thus, the anti-CRC feature of L. gallinarum could be also at least in part attributed to its released protective metabolites. However, whether ILA secreted from L. gallinarum is the main metabolite for CRC suppression requires further investigation.

In conclusion, to our understanding, this is the first study to demonstrate the anti-CRC effect of L. gallinarum. L. gallinarum protects against intestinal tumourigenesis. Such action is associated with modulation of the gut microbiota composition and secretion of protective metabolites including ILA to promote apoptosis of cancer cells. These findings may facilitate the development of therapeutic strategy using probiotics for prevention of CRC.
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