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ABSTRACT

Functional gastrointestinal disorders—recently renamed into disorders of gut–brain interaction—such as irritable bowel syndrome and functional dyspepsia are highly prevalent conditions with bothersome abdominal symptoms in the absence of structural abnormalities. While traditionally considered as motility disorders or even psychosomatic conditions, our understanding of the pathophysiology has evolved significantly over the last two decades. Initial observations of subtle mucosal inflammation with immune cells, especially mast cells and eosinophils, have been recently being backed up by mechanistic evidence demonstrating increased release of nociceptive mediators by immune cells and the intestinal epithelium. These mediators can activate sensitised neurons leading to visceral hypersensitivity with bothersome symptoms. The interaction between immune activation and an impaired barrier function of the gut is most likely a bidirectional one with alterations in the microbiota, psychological stress and food components as upstream players in the pathophysiology. Only few immune-targeting treatments are currently available, but an improved understanding through a multidisciplinary scientific approach will hopefully identify novel, more precise treatment targets with ultimately better outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Functional gastrointestinal (GI) disorders are defined by abdominal symptoms in the absence of a structural abnormality explaining the problems. Among the most frequent conditions are irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) characterised by abdominal pain in association with an altered stool pattern and functional dyspepsia (FD) with upper abdominal symptoms (figure 1).1,2 FD symptoms can be triggered by a meal in the postprandial distress syndrome (PDS) or present as epigastric pain or burning not related to food intake in case of the epigastric pain syndrome.3 In the absence of a biomarker, diagnosis relies on symptom criteria of which the Rome IV criteria are the most recent and best validated.3 In a recent large-scale population study by the Rome foundation, the prevalence of IBS and FD was estimated at 4.1 and 7.2%, respectively, using the strict Rome criteria,4 even if for IBS the current criteria have been criticised for being too restrictive in terms of symptom description (only pain, not discomfort) and frequency.3

The research focus on the pathophysiology of functional GI disorders has significantly evolved over the last 50 years. Functional GI disorders were initially considered to be purely psychosomatic disorders without a disease substrate in the GI tract. Subsequently, with the development of new tools to examine the function of the GI tract in the 70s and 80s including manometry, GI scintigraphy and breath testing, attention shifted to changes in GI motility including an exaggerated gastrocolonic reflex in IBS and delayed gastric emptying in FD.5–8 Disappointingly, the link between symptoms and disordered motility is limited and has not translated into efficacious treatment options. For example, even if delayed gastric emptying can be found in up to 25% of patients with FD, the correlation to symptoms is inconsistent9–11 and the efficacy of currently available prokinetics is suboptimal and therefore not recommended as first-line therapy in the American, Canadian and European guidelines.12–15 The recognition of the interplay between central (eg, psychological comorbidity including stress and anxiety, altered brain processing) and peripheral, GI alterations (eg, disordered motility, visceral hypersensitivity, immune activation, …) led to the renaming of ‘functional gastrointestinal disorders’ to ‘disorders of gut-brain interaction’ (DGBI) in the Rome IV consensus definition (figure 2).5 In the past 20 years, visceral hypersensitivity, rather than dysmotility, has emerged as a central theme in the pathophysiology of DGBI. Visceral hypersensitivity,
that is, abnormal pain signalling to chemical stimuli and/or mechanical distention, has been reported in a variety of patient populations ranging from patients suffering from non-cardiac chest pain, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, FD, and IBS. In the current review, we will discuss recent advances in basic and translational research in humans which help us to understand how changes in the GI microenvironment contribute to the development of visceral hypersensitivity in IBS and FD, with a focus on immune activation and neuroimmune interactions. In particular, we will critically discuss the literature on potential mechanisms leading to activation of eosinophils and mast cells, including impaired barrier function, reactions to food, diet–microbiome interactions and psychological comorbidity, and how improved insight in the pathophysiology could be potentially translated into novel treatments.

Visceral pain signalling and visceral hypersensitivity

Although sensory neurons located in the enteric nervous system represent key sensors in local neural circuits involved in secretion, absorption, motility and so on, stimuli triggering visceral sensations are mainly conveyed by extrinsic sensory pathways that link the gut to the central nervous system. These extrinsic nerve fibres have their cell body in the dorsal root ganglia, synapse in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, and can be classified into different subtypes of sensory endings depending on...
the layer they innervate; mucosal, muscular, muscular/mucosal, vascular, serosal and mesenteric afferents.77 78 Visceral pain in particular is detected by pain sensing neurons or nociceptors dedicated to detect harmful thermal, mechanical or chemical stimuli. To this end, their peripheral nerve terminals are equipped with a variety of receptors and ion channels that can be classified into pronociceptive (or excitatory) and antinociceptive (or inhibitory) (figure 3). Transient receptor potential (TRP) channels (TRPV1, TRPV4), acid-sensing ion channels, purinergic and histaminergic receptors, protease activated receptors (PAR1-2) are examples of pronociceptive receptors while typical examples of antinociceptive receptors are opioid and cannabinoid receptors. Depending on the balance between pronociceptive and antinociceptive signals, the nociceptor will signal to the spinal cord transmitting pain to the brain stem, thalamus and cortex. While acute pain is a physiological mechanism of protection informing the organism on a potentially harmful condition or injury, chronic visceral pain is debilitating and can result from either peripheral or central mechanisms affecting pain signalling. Central mechanisms include sensitisation at the level of the spinal cord and abnormal pain processing in the brain. The latter has been elegantly demonstrated mainly by functional MRI revealing abnormal local and global connectivity in the areas related to pain processing.79 In this review, we will focus on peripheral mechanisms affecting the function of visceral nociceptors in patients suffering from functional GI disorders.

Visceral hypersensitivity consists of a painful response to a normally innocuous stimulus (allodynia) and/or an exaggerated response to a painful stimulus (hyperalgesia). This condition can result from increased levels of pronociceptive or decreased levels of antinociceptive mediators in the tissue, upregulation of excitatory receptors or sensitisation of these receptors located on nerve terminals.

Increased release of soluble mediators

Although decreased release by peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of antinociceptive mediators, in particular opioids, has been described in patients with constipation-predominant IBS,30 further evidence supporting this mechanism of aberrant pain signalling is rather limited. In contrast, increased levels of pronociceptive mediators activating sensory neurons has been repeatedly reported in supernatant of biopsies collected from patients with IBS. IBS supernatant indeed contains more histamine and trypptase than supernatant of healthy control biopsies and activates murine visceral afferents or human submucosal neurons, a phenomenon blocked by histamine antagonists and serine protease inhibition.13-15 Intestinal epithelial cells of patients with IBS produce and release increased levels of the active protease trypsin-3, able to signal to enteric neurons and induce visceral hypersensitivity.34 Of interest, increased levels of the TRPV4 agonist 5,6-epoxyeicosatrienoic acid, a metabolite of polyunsaturated fatty acids, have also been reported in IBS biopsies (figure 3).35 The evidence indicating altered synthesis and release of pronociceptive mediators in FD is limited, although increased spontaneous release of histamine and serotonin by gastric biopsies has been reported, in particular in patients suffering from postinfectious FD.36 Besides immune cells, enteroendocrine cells (EEC) represent another source of released mediators such as serotonin. Increased numbers of EEC have been reported in colonic biopsies of patients with diarrhoea-predominant IBS (IBS-D) which was linked to symptom severity, but evidence on EEC activation in DGBI is missing.37 38 Besides production of soluble mediators, subgroups of EECs, labelled as neuropod cells, have been demonstrated to synapse directly with neurons.79 These neuropod cells may function as sensors for luminal signals, but their role in pathophysiology and symptom generation in DGBI remains to be investigated.

Not only in the gut, but also increased release of pronociceptive mediators in peripheral blood of patients has been reported. Medium collected from cultured PBMCs from patients with IBS-D or FD contains more interleukin (IL)−1b, IL-10, tumor-necrosis factor (TNF)-α and IL-6 than that of healthy controls.30 40 Of note, murine visceral afferents respond with direct activation to IL-6 and IL-10, not to TNF-α.30 To what extent peripheral blood cells behave similar to tissue resident immune cells remains however to be confirmed.

Increased excitability of pronociceptive receptors

Increased firing of visceral afferent can also result from increased expression (upregulation) of pronociceptive receptors or ion channels in the plasma membrane or sensitisation of these sensors. Increased expression of TRPV1 and TRPV2 has been reported in gastric biopsies of patients with FD,41 while TRPV142–44 and the purinoreceptors P2Y1 and P2Y242 are increased in rectosigmoid biopsies of patients with IBS (figure 3). A recent study also found elevated expression of TRPV1 and TRPV3 in duodenal biopsies of patients with IBS which correlated with abdominal pain scores in case of TRPV1.45 Inflammatory mediators such as nerve growth factor or inflammatory cytokines can induce increased ion channel expression and transport to the cell membrane of visceral afferents. Of interest, also exposure of DRG neurons to serotonin or histamine results in increased expression of TRPV4 receptors at the plasma membrane surface. This effect was already observed 5 min after treatment and blocked by a specific mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) inhibitor indicating that this effect was not due to new synthesis of TRPV4 but rather to redistribution to the plasma membrane, a phenomenon involving a MAPK pathway.46 Sensitisation of nociceptors involves a reduction in the threshold of activation associated with an increase in the response to noxious stimuli. Using live Ca2+ imaging, we previously demonstrated that submucosal neurons in rectal biopsies from patients with IBS were significantly more excited by
TRPA1, TRPV1 and TRPV4 agonists than neurons of healthy controls. Of note, gene expression of these receptors was similar between patients with IBS and controls, indicating that the respective TRP channels are sensitised rather than upregulated, and may contribute to aberrant pain signalling in IBS. In contrast to IBS, structural abnormalities and decreased responses of duodenal submucosal neurons to general stimuli, including high concentrations of potassium and electrical stimulation, were noted in FD, which correlated with the degree of duodenal eosinophil and mast cell infiltration.

Besides neurons, enteric glial cells are a second important cell type of the enteric nervous system and are involved in the modulation of neuronal function, but also in epithelial barrier integrity increasing pain signalling in these patients.

Interestingly, administration of IBS supernatant into the colon of healthy controls with histamine results in sensitisation of TRPV1 on submucosal neurons, suggesting the possible involvement of histamine in the hyperexcitability of pain signalling pathways. Obviously, other mediators such as cytokines, serotonin, proteases and metabolites of polysaturated fatty acids, present in supernatant of colonic/rectal biopsies can all contribute.

Aberrant visceral pain signalling induced by biopsy supernatant

Finally, preclinical studies involving both in vivo assessment of visceral pain and in vitro recording of visceral afferents have provided convincing evidence that supernatant, in particular collected from IBS biopsies, contains mediators that excite nociceptors and induce visceral hypersensitivity. For example, Cenac et al elegantly demonstrated increased trypsin and TBS expression and release in colonic biopsies of patients with IBS and sensitisation of murine sensory neurons in vitro. Interestingly, administration of IBS supernatant into the colon of mice resulted in increased pain responses evoked by colorectal distension. These pronociceptive effects were blocked by serine protease inhibitors and a PAR2 antagonist and absent in PAR2-deficient mice, clearly demonstrating the involvement of proteases. Along the same line, application of IBS supernatant to murine visceral nociceptive afferents or human submucosal neurons results in increased neuronal firing, effects mediated by histamine, serotonin and proteases.

Taken together, the above evidence clearly indicates that the microenvironment in the intestine of IBS and most likely also of functional dyspeptic patients possesses pronociceptive properties increasing pain signalling in these patients.

IMMUNE ACTIVATION

The most likely source of nociceptive mediators in the intestinal microenvironment described above is the mucosal immune system. Even if obvious macroscopic or microscopic inflammation is not compatible with a diagnosis of a functional GI disorder following the Rome criteria, cumulative evidence from the last two decades suggests an important role for mucosal immune activation in both IBS and FD.

Immune cell infiltration and activation

Immune cell infiltration in DGBI was first demonstrated in patients who developed IBS after an infectious gastroenteritis with a persistently elevated number of mononuclear cells and T lymphocytes in rectal biopsies. Since then, several groups reported similar findings and a meta-analysis confirmed increased T-cells in the lamina propria of the rectosigmoid. In contrast, gastroduodenal lymphocyte infiltration, including intra-epithelial lymphocyte counts, does not come up as a consistent feature of FD, even if two small studies reported elevated CD8+ T cell counts and aggregates in post-infectious FD. Peripheral blood lymphocytes expressing gut-homing markers were increased in FD and IBS. Whether the circulating gut-homing lymphocytes have a role in the pathogenesis of the disease, including GI immune activation remains to be demonstrated.

More importantly, the most reproducible finding in the literature relates to the involvement of mast cells and eosinophils in the pathophysiology of IBS and FD, respectively (figure 4). In 2004, the Bologna group was the first to report increased mast cell numbers in rectal biopsies of 44 patients with IBS. These findings were corroborated by several other studies, mainly in the left hemicolon and to a similar extent in the different IBS subtypes, with some studies demonstrating an association between mast cell numbers and symptom. Nevertheless, the mast cell infiltration is not an undisputed finding as some groups have not detected a difference or even lower mast cell counts. These differences may relate to the patient selection, location of the biopsy but also the quantification methodology (eg, slide preparation, staining, counting). Moreover, it is unlikely that the subtle increase in immune cell numbers is relevant for symptom induction and may be an epiphenomenon in the context of immune cell activation. Indeed, mast cell activation—rather than just the numbers—demonstrated by degranulation and release of mast cell mediators such as histamine and tryptase in supernatant emerges as a more consistent finding with more relevance to symptom generation, based on a correlation between symptom severity and the distance of the mast cells to mucosal nerves. Moreover, as discussed above, IBS supernatant-induced hyperreactivity of neurons which could be blocked by histamine receptor H1 antagonists and protease inhibitors, underscoring the importance of mast cell derived soluble mediators in neuronal hyperreactivity. The role of mast cells in FD is less established, although a few studies have shown increased duodenal mast cell counts. Mast cell activation in FD is suggested by a study using electron microscopy showing more heterogeneous granules in duodenal mast cells in FD and evidence of increased release of histamine and tryptase from gastric biopsies. Similar to IBS, mast cells were more likely to be localised near mucosal nerves in the stomach and the duodenum.

In FD, however, a more prominent role has been attributed to duodenal eosinophil infiltration which has been reported by multiple groups (figure 4). Duodenal eosinophils as well as their activation and degranulation were often also linked to symptoms, although only based on statistical correlations and mechanistic evidence is still largely lacking. In the initial reports, it was suggested that duodenal eosinophils was a feature of PDS, but a recent meta-analysis showed similar counts in the FD subgroups. Nevertheless—similar to data on mast cell
Immune activation in irritable bowel syndrome and functional dyspepsia. Food and microbiota-derived antigens gain access to the subepithelial space through an impaired intestinal barrier function. These antigens and epithelium-derived proteases such as trypsin-3 can activate mast cells and eosinophils through a variety of mechanisms. Mediators from activated mast cells and eosinophils can subsequently activate sensory neurons. Finally, in conditions of psychological stress locally secreted CRH from eosinophils can activate mast cells. CRH, corticotropin-releasing hormone; CRH1, CRH receptor 1; ECP, eosinophil cationic protein; EDN, eosinophil-derived neurotoxin; Eo, eosinophil; EPO, eosinophil peroxidase; FcERI, high-affinity IgE receptor; MBP, major basic protein; MC, mast cell; MRGPRX2, Mas-related G-protein coupled receptor member X2; NK1/2, neurokinin receptor 1/2; PAR2, Protease-activated receptor 2; SP, Substance P; TLR4, Toll-like receptor 4; VPAC1, vasoactive intestinal peptide receptor type 1. Dashed line indicates a potential link.

Figure 4 Immune activation in irritable bowel syndrome and functional dyspepsia. Food and microbiota-derived antigens gain access to the subepithelial space through an impaired intestinal barrier function. These antigens and epithelium-derived proteases such as trypsin-3 can activate mast cells and eosinophils through a variety of mechanisms. Mediators from activated mast cells and eosinophils can subsequently activate sensory neurons. Finally, in conditions of psychological stress locally secreted CRH from eosinophils can activate mast cells. CRH, corticotropin-releasing hormone; CRH1, CRH receptor 1; ECP, eosinophil cationic protein; EDN, eosinophil-derived neurotoxin; Eo, eosinophil; EPO, eosinophil peroxidase; FcERI, high-affinity IgE receptor; MBP, major basic protein; MC, mast cell; MRGPRX2, Mas-related G-protein coupled receptor member X2; NK1/2, neurokinin receptor 1/2; PAR2, Protease-activated receptor 2; SP, Substance P; TLR4, Toll-like receptor 4; VPAC1, vasoactive intestinal peptide receptor type 1. Dashed line indicates a potential link.

Counts in IBS—elevated duodenal eosinophil counts were not detected in all cohorts: in the largest study to date, Järbrink-Seghal et al found no association between duodenal eosinophil counts and FD in 178 patients and 258 controls, while degranulation, that is, activation, was associated to FD and symptoms of early satiety.73 These discrepancies in the literature may again come down to patient selection, the variability in quantification methodology and stress that research should focus on activation of immune cells rather than quantification of cell numbers, similar to IBS. Another important confounder in the FD literature is also the use of comedication, most notably proton-pump inhibitors (PPIs), which is not appropriately addressed in most studies. In a recent prospective study, we have shown that the use of a PPI, which is still the first-line treatment for FD as recommended by guidelines,74 lowered duodenal eosinophil and mast cell counts in the short term, although prolonged treatment may provoke opposite, proinflammatory effects, potentially through duodenal dysbiosis by overgrowth of oropharyngeal flora.74 75 Indeed, the increased numbers of duodenal eosinophils after longer term PPI in patients without symptomatic benefit of the PPI and in healthy individuals treated with PPI for 4 weeks, were linked to increased abundance of Streptococci in duodenal brushings.75 Increased duodenal abundance of streptococci has been recently linked to symptom severity in FD.76 In IBS, a few studies have found eosinophil infiltration in the colon77 and a recent Swedish study found increased levels of faecal eosinophil-derived neurotoxin in patients with IBS on top of mucosal infiltration and degranulation as shown by histology.78 Surprisingly, few data are available on gastric immune activation in FD with only one study reporting higher mast cell counts in gastric biopsies of patients with FD.86

Unfortunately, the large majority studies described above have only considered histological cell counts rather than immune cell activation which is most likely the critical factor in DGBI. For example, healthy subjects treated with PPI also displayed increased duodenal eosinophil counts in the absence of abdominal symptoms,74 highlighting that the reported limited increases in cell counts cannot be hold accountable for symptoms just by their presence and that future research should focus on activation of immune cells and the produced mediators.

Circulating and tissue cytokines

The data on systemic and mucosal cytokine expression and release are contradictory and interpretation is hampered by small patient numbers and in most cases selective analysis of a limited number of cytokines. In a meta-analysis, a tendency towards increased circulating TNF-α and lower colonic release of IL-10 was the only signal that emerged in IBS, although the magnitude was limited.74 Another possible reason for the lack of a clear immune signal is the heterogeneity of the condition itself which is only defined by subjective symptoms. It is to be expected that only a subgroup of DGBI patients will exhibit a mild immune activation signature. Indeed, in several larger studies, 1/5 to 1/3 of patients with IBS demonstrated an ‘immuno-active’ phenotype in terms of systemic release or local expression of inflammatory mediators.80 82 In a recent study, we demonstrated that 1/3 of patients with IBS exhibited signs of immune activation in biopsies from the descending colon.82 This group showed higher expression of IL1β, prostaglandin synthase PTGS2 and the G-protein coupled receptor MRGPRX2 coupled receptor member X2. The latter is of interest since it is a receptor involved in mast
Recent advances in clinical practice

Aetiologic of GI immune activation
We selected four potential mechanisms leading to immune activation for which reasonable evidence is available in the current literature demonstrating a mechanism of action, beyond the existence of an associative link with immune activation.

Impaired barrier function
The intestinal barrier represents the largest interface between the environment and the internal milieu of the organism. The barrier has a dual function of protecting the organism from unwanted penetration of luminal, potentially noxious substances including pathogens and their secreted products, while at the same time allowing absorption of fluid and (micro)nutrients. The barrier also plays a critical role in suppressing immune activation towards orally ingested innocuous antigens, mainly food, in a process defined as oral tolerance. To achieve oral tolerance, luminal antigens are sampled by microfold (M) cells in Peyer patches, mucosal macrophages or goblet cells, subsequently acquired by tolerogenic dendritic cells which then migrate to mesenteric lymph nodes with induction of immunosuppressive regulatory T-cells.

Disruption of this tightly regulated barrier function has been described in a variety of conditions, including coeliac disease, inflammatory bowel disease, food allergy and functional GI disorders. However, measurement of intestinal barrier function is complex and contributes to the variability of the reported data. Direct measurement of permeability of endoscopic biopsies in Ussing chambers is still the gold standard, but less frequently used. In a recent systematic review, most of the 66 analysed studies in IBS found a reduced small intestinal or colonic barrier function, which was mainly present in postinfectious and diarrhoea-predominant IBS and to a lesser extent in constipation predominant IBS. Positive associations with altered stool pattern and pain were identified in the majority of the studies, although an inverse association has been reported as well. 

In a recent systematic review, most of the 66 analysed studies in IBS found a reduced small intestinal or colonic barrier function, which was mainly present in postinfectious and diarrhoea-predominant IBS and to a lesser extent in constipation predominant IBS. Positive associations with altered stool pattern and pain were identified in the majority of the studies, although an inverse association has been reported as well. 

The expression of several tight junction related proteins, which are the key determinants of epithelial barrier function, in different regions of the GI tract was shown to be altered in IBS and FD, although the reported changes were not consistent between studies. Colonic infusion of faecal supernatant of patients with IBS in mice or application of biopsy supernatant on cell lines resulted in lower barrier function, indicating the involvement of luminal mediators. The identity of these mediators is still unclear, but proteases, microbial products, food and bile acids are the main candidates. Several studies demonstrated that these proteases are from human origin, including the epithelium-derived trypsin-3. A recent elegant study demonstrated that high proteolytic activity in patients with postinfectious IBS was related to impaired suppression of the host-derived proteases by the microbiota.

It is often hypothesised that the impaired barrier function allows uncontrollable penetration of antigens in the lamina propria, inciting an immune response. However, whether the increase permeability plays a causal role in these conditions or is rather a consequence of the immune activation remains a topic of ongoing controversy. In support of the last option, tryptase released from mast cells and major basic protein from eosinophils have been shown to impair permeability in cell lines. Moreover, stabilising mast cells by ketotifen or blocking the receptors for vasoactive intestinal polypeptide on mast cells reduced transcellular passage of commensal and pathogenic bacteria in colonic biopsies of patients with IBS. We previously demonstrated a correlation between duodenal eosinophil levels and decreased protein expression of phosphorylated occludin and E-cadherin, but mechanistic data in humans with FD are lacking. Interpretation of the relevance of intestinal barrier function in the pathophysiology of DGBI is hampered by the lack of treatments which can stabilise the barrier. A possible exception is glutamine which improved symptoms and normalised barrier function in patients with postinfectious IBS, possibly through an upregulation of claudin 1. However, replication of these data in a larger multicentric study is warranted.

Food
It is well documented that a majority of patients with FD and IBS link their symptoms to food intake. Especially the role of FODMAPs or fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides and polyols in symptom generation is well established in IBS and is thought to mainly result from their osmotic effects and fermentation in the colon with gaseous distension. Of interest, recent studies have provided exciting evidence that certain foods can also trigger immune-mediated reactions in the GI tract. Bischoff et al injected food antigen extracts in the caecal mucosa of patients with chronic abdominal symptoms and suspected food allergy, 77% of whom reacted to at least one injection with a weal and flare reaction, which was associated with local eosinophil and mast cell activation. This concept was further developed by studies using CLE, using in vivo fluorescence microscopy after intravenous fluorescein administration, with food extract (wheat, yeast, gluten, cow’s milk) application to the duodenal mucosa. Frischer-Ravens et al demonstrated a positive reaction, with increases in intraepithelial lymphocytes, formation of interepithelial gaps and cell shedding, in 2/3 of patients in 2 cohorts of patients with IBS without elevated systemic IgE levels. Wheat was the trigger in more than half of the patients. Patients with a positive reaction had a favourable response to an exclusion diet, although a sham diet and a diet in the CLE negative group were lacking, hampering
interpretation of the relevance of the test-based diet in clinical practice. Of interest, even if the total number of eosinophils and mast cells were not different in patients with IBS with or without a positive reaction versus healthy controls, a positive reaction was associated with release of eosinophil-cationic protein, highlighting again the importance of released mediators rather than cell counts. This observation is somewhat surprising as the mucosal immune system is educated not to react against innocuous antigens such as dietary antigens, that is, oral tolerance. Only failure to develop or loss of oral tolerance to food antigens, for example, following an infection will lead to the development of food allergy, antigen-specific IgE antibodies directed against dietary antigens in food-induced symptoms in IBS and introduce a new mechanism explaining mast cell activation in IBS. We propose that IBS may be part of a spectrum of food-induced disorders mediated by mast cell activation with systemic food allergy at the extreme end of the spectrum. Similar data in FD are currently still lacking.

**Diet–microbiome interactions**

Altered microbial composition and metabolomic profiles have been found in patients with IBS compared with healthy controls. It is unclear, whether these changes are consequence or cause of altered bowel function, diet and symptoms, but accumulating data suggest that at least in some patients, the symptoms of IBS could be attributed to specific microbial neuro-active metabolites, such as serotonin or tryptamine. This is not surprising as gut bacteria can produce many neurochemical mediators that are found in mammals using their dietary precursors.

Histamine, previously linked to visceral hypersensitivity, increased permeability and altered motility, can be produced by the microbiome as many bacteria possess the enzyme histidine decarboxylase (HDC) that converts dietary histidine into histamine (figure 5). There are two main types of bacterial HDC, pyridoxal phosphate-dependent and pyruvoyl-dependent ones. While the former is found in Gram-negative bacteria (such as Enterobacter aerogenes, Raoultella ornytolytica or Morganella morganii), the latter is encountered in Gram-positive bacteria, especially in lactic acid producing strains such as Lactobacilli.

Bacterial histamine has been identified as a culprit in food poisoning, for example, after consumption of certain fish, which can contain high histamine producing bacteria. However, histamine producers can be a permanent part of the human microbiome, as recent studies found increased incidence of histamine producing bacteria in the gut of patients with inflammatory bowel disease, as well as those with asthma.

Several studies identified histamine as one of the key compounds that separates urine metabolomic profiles, which result from the metabolic activity of both the host and the microbiome, between patients with IBS and healthy controls. Furthermore, levels of urinary histamine seem to correlate with levels of IBS symptoms and abdominal pain.

In a dietary intervention study, GI symptoms and pain improved in patients with IBS after reducing intake of FODMAPs, which was associated with decrease in urinary histamine and changes in gut microbiota composition. A subsequent translational study, which used faecal microbiome from those patients to colonise germ-free mice, found that mice colonised with microbiota from

---

**Figure 5**  Food–microbiota interaction in visceral hypersensitivity. Bacterial histidine decarboxylase (HDC) in high-histamine producing species such as Klebsiella aerogenes metabolises dietary histidine into histamine which is stimulated by high intake of fermentable carbohydrates. Higher abundance of Lactobacilli reduces histamine production by lowering luminal pH through production of lactic acid. Bacteria-derived histamine can subsequently activate H4R on mast cells contributing to visceral hypersensitivity. FODMAPs, Fermentable Oligo-, Di-, Monosaccharides and Polys; H1R, histamine 1 receptor; H4R, histamine 4 receptor; HDC, histidine decarboxylase; MC, mast cell; SCFA, short-chain fatty acids.
patients with high urinary histamine developed visceral hyperalgesia compared with mice colonised with microbiota from patients with low urinary histamine or healthy controls. The increased visceral sensitivity was associated with colonic mast cell hyperplasia, increased density of neural fibres and frequent colocalisation of mast cells with neural cells, findings previously observed in patients with IBS. The microbiota from patients with high urinary histamine produced large amount of histamine, and a specific strain of Klebsiella aerogenes was identified as the main histamine producer, with the ability to generate 100× more histamine than other isolated bacterial strains. Histamine production was highly pH dependent, suggesting that acidity of the colonic milieu, which is largely determined by bacterial fermentation of dietary fibre, can regulate bacterial histamine production (figure 5). Indeed, placing mice colonised with high histamine producing microbiota on a low fermentable diet, which was associated with changes in luminal lactic acid, decreased histamine production.

Mice colonised with high histamine producing bacteria had increased expression of histamine 4 receptors (H4R), which was detected in multiple cell types, including mast cells. H4R is known to mediate mast cell migration and recruitment, as well as regulate visceral sensitivity. Administration of H4R antagonists to mice colonised with histamine producing microbiota prevented mast cell hyperplasia and visceral hyperalgesia. Thus, while in inflammation induced food hypersensitivity the H1R pathways are key, in case of bacterial histamine-to-host signalling the H4R dependent pathways play a major role. Bacterial histamine is therefore a likely trigger in a subset of patients with IBS, and increased urinary histamine or high abundance of bacterial histidine decarboxylase gene may serve as biomarkers to identify those subjects.

Altered microbiome in patients with IBS, who are on high fermentable diet, may also lead to production of other immunomodulatory mediators. Recent studies found that levels of faecal and serum lipopolysaccharide (LPS) are elevated in patients with IBS-D, and that faecal LPS decreases when the patients are placed on a low FODMAP diet. A mouse study then showed that faecal LPS induces impairment of the barrier function and mast cell activation through TLR-4 pathways, thus demonstrating another pathway by which microbiome could trigger symptoms of IBS.

Also in FD changes in the composition of the gastric and duodenal microbiota have been described, although the findings across studies are quite diverse. Increased abundance of Streptococci has been described by several groups, but this finding may be confounded by the effect of PPI treatment. In a recent study from our group, a lower abundance of duodenal mucosa-associated Porphyromonas correlated with increased symptoms and eosinophils, although causality remains to be demonstrated.

Psychological comorbidity
Psychological comorbidity, including stress, anxiety and depression, is common in patients with IBS and affects symptoms and outcome (figure 2). Two studies have suggested a link between anxiety and depression on the one hand and duodenal eosinophilia and mast cell degranulation on the other hand, although at this stage it is unclear whether this association was confounded by the presence of FD itself, which is known to be linked to both.

Stress may impact the intestinal barrier through a mast-cell dependent mechanism. A key mediator of this response is corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) which is not only secreted in the hypothalamus as part of the classical hypothalamo–pituitary–adrenal axis activation, but also by eosinophils in the GI tract which can then subsequently activate mast cells. CRH release by eosinophils is triggered by psychological stress, possibly through activation of NK1/2 purinergic receptors on eosinophils by nerve-derived Substance P. Moreover, increased expression of CRH in eosinophils correlated with symptom severity and life stress in patients with IBS-D. Mast cells carry CRH receptors and exogenous CRH has been shown to increase intestinal permeability in healthy individuals which was blocked by mast cell stabilisation and vagal nerve stimulation. Additionally, reduced expression of the anti-inflammatory, antinociceptive and barrier-stabilising CRH receptor 2 (CRHR2) in duodenal biopsies of patients with FD was recently reported and may also contribute to stress-related mast cell activation in FD. Polymorphisms in both CRHR1 and 2 have been associated with IBS and anxiety, but how this relates to mucosal immune activation is unexplored.

TREATMENTS TARGETING THE GI IMMUNE MICROENVIRONMENT

Despite the available evidence for mucosal immune activation in IBS and FD, there is a paucity of therapies targeting this mechanism (figure 6).

Previous attempts with broad antiinflammatory agents in IBS have been disappointing. Prednisolone 30 mg for 3 weeks did not improve symptoms in a placebo-controlled study with 29 patients with postinfectious IBS and there was no significant effect on rectal lymphocytes or mast cells. Similarly, a liquid formulation of budesonide, a corticosteroid with a high first-pass metabolism was not effective in lowering duodenal eosinophil counts or improving symptoms in a small pilot study in FD. Based on the observation that 5-aminosaliclyc acid was able to reduce release of histamine and prostaglandin D2 from mast cell cultures and reduced mast cell counts and histamine release in the rectum of patients with IBS, mesalazine was evaluated in two large multicentre trials in patients with IBS, which were both negative. A post-hoc analysis of the British study also failed to demonstrate increased mast cell counts or a gene expression signature indicative of inflammation in patients with IBS in comparison to healthy controls.
Because mediator release from specific immune cells is more likely to be involved in symptom generation than a classic inflammatory reaction as seen in, for example, inflammatory bowel disease, more specific mast-cell targeted therapies were trialled in IBS. The mast cell stabiliser disodium cromoglicate reduced abdominal pain and improved stool consistency in a small pilot study in patients with IBS-D, which was also associated with reduced signs of mast cell activation.160 Ketotifen, another mast cell stabiliser, decreased visceral hypersensitivity to rectal distention and improved symptoms, but had no impact on mast cell counts or measured mediator release from rectal biopsies.161 These results were confirmed in a more recent study from China with similar improvement of symptoms and reduced mast cell counts in the terminal ileum but not in the colon.161 More specific eosinophil depleting and mast cell inhibiting therapies have not been evaluated in IBS and FD yet, but a study of firen telimab, a monoclonal antibody against Siglec 8, in eosinophilic gastritis and duodenitis, showed promising results.162 Notably, case reports describing clinical improvement of IBS symptoms in patients with severe asthma treated with the anti-EG antibody omalizumab may indirectly support the role of IgE-mediated mast cell activation in IBS.163

An alternative approach to prevent mast cell or eosinophil activation is to block the nociceptive effect of their released mediators. Based on the observations that blocking the histamine receptor H1 prevented the neuronal excitation by supernatant from IBS biopsies, the histamine receptor H1 antagonist ebastine was evaluated in a pilot study showing improved symptom relief and reduced abdominal pain.47 Blockade of serotonin, another mast cell mediator known to activate and sensitize visceral afferents, is another interesting approach to treat abdominal pain.5-HT3 antagonists such as alosetron and ramosetron have indeed been repeatedly shown to be effective as treatment of diarrhoea-predominant IBS, but possibly also involves an effect on colonic transit.164 Protease inhibitors have proven efficacy in preclinical models of visceral hypersensitivity, but clinical studies are lacking.165

In eosinophilic esophagitis, PPI have been shown to exert direct anti-inflammatory effects, independent of the acid-suppressive effects, based on a reduction of ecto-ATP expression through inhibition of binding of STAT6 to its promoter, resulting in reduced eosinophil numbers.166 Starting from this observation, we investigated the effect of a 4-week treatment with 40 mg pantoprazole in patients with FD.73 Upper abdominal symptoms and duodenal eosinophils and barrier function improved. Of interest, the effect of pantoprazole on symptoms was mediated by the reduced eosinophil counts and not by changes in luminal pH, suggesting anti-inflammatory effects similar to its mechanism in eosinophilic esophagitis. Nevertheless, the effect of PPI on duodenal eosinophil activation—besides reduction in cell counts—has not been reported to date. However, in the longer term, the beneficial effect of PPI may be overshadowed by induction of dysbiosis through duodenal overgrowth of oropharyngeal flora (cf. supra).75

CONCLUSION
Recent insights in the mechanisms underlying aberrant pain signalling in patients with FD and IBS indicate a role for immune activation in the GI tract, but clearly we have just started to unravel the complex pathophysiology of functional bowel disorders. Accumulating evidence suggests immune activation of these conditions, but the underlying causes remain a hot topic for further research. Moreover, the therapeutic tools to address this immune activation in DGBI remain scarce. Intense collaboration between immunologists, neurogastroenterologists, neuroscientists, microbiologists, psychologists, bioinformaticians and many more is undoubtedly needed to be successful. Only with this multidisciplinary scientific approach, new targets can be discovered to improve clinical management of these challenging conditions.
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