

1 **Supplementary Materials for**
2 **Suppressor CD4⁺ T cells expressing HLA-G are expanded in the peripheral**
3 **blood from patients with acute decompensation of cirrhosis**

4
5 Wafa Khamri^{1*}, Cathrin L. Gudd¹, Tong Liu¹, Rooshi Nathwani¹, Marigona Krasniqi¹, Sofia
6 Azam¹, Thomas Barbera¹, Francesca M. Trovato², Lucia A. Possamai¹, Evangelos
7 Triantafyllou¹, Rocio Castro Seoane¹, Fanny Lebosse¹, Arjuna Singanayagam¹, Naveenta
8 Kumar¹, Christine Bernsmeier^{1,2}, Sujit Mukherjee¹, Mark J.W. McPhail², Christopher J.
9 Weston³, Charalambos G. Antoniades^{1¶} and Mark R. Thursz^{1¶}

10 ¶ **Authors share last co-authorship**

11 * **Corresponding author:**

12 Dr Wafa Khamri
13 Imperial College, Liver Immunology Laboratory
14 Division of Digestive Disease
15 Department of Metabolism, Digestion & Reproduction
16 10th Floor QEOM Wing, St Mary's Campus
17 South Warf Road
18 W2 1NY London, UK
19 Tel: +44 (0) 203 3126454
20 Email: w.khamri@imperial.ac.uk

21
22 **Supplementary information Content:**

- 23 • **Supplementary Material and Methods**
24 • **Supplementary Figures and Figure legends (S1-S6)**
25 • **Supplementary Table (Table S1)**

26 **Patients characteristics**

27 Informed consent was obtained from patients or if the patient lacked capacity, assent
28 was sought from the next of kin. All patients with a diagnosis of cirrhosis, made either
29 clinically and/or biochemically and/or radiologically and/or histologically, admitted to
30 hospital were screened for study suitability within 72 hours of admission. Exclusion criteria
31 were the following: patients younger than 18 years; current viral infection (Hepatitis A, B, C
32 and E virus or Human Immunodeficiency Virus); malignancy; *Clostridium difficile* infection;
33 immunosuppression (excluding low dose steroids or steroid sparing agents for autoimmune
34 hepatitis treatment - < 20mg or equivalent of prednisolone), estimated glomerular filtration
35 rate (eGFR) < 30 on screening \pm randomisation, end-stage/severe cardiac, pulmonary or
36 kidney disease, Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus, colitis or coeliac disease and pregnancy. Inclusion
37 criteria were clinical \pm biochemical \pm radiology \pm histological diagnosis of cirrhosis, hospital
38 admission with complication of cirrhosis including alcoholic hepatitis, sepsis, variceal
39 haemorrhage, ascites, renal dysfunction and commencement of antimicrobial therapy.

40 Primary infections on admission, and second infections defined as infective episode
41 following an initial infection, were defined by published criteria from the North American
42 Consortium for the Study of End-Stage Liver Disease (NACSELD)^{45,46}.

43 **Peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) isolation and flow cytometry**

44 PBMCs were isolated from 50 ml of heparin-anticoagulated whole blood through Ficoll-
45 paque™ Plus (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Sweden) density-gradient centrifugation,
46 cryopreserved and stored at -80°C. Following fixable viability dye (FVD) staining (Thermo
47 Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), PBMCs were surface stained using fluorochrome-
48 labelled mouse anti-human monoclonal antibodies (Supplementary Table S1). For the
49 detection of intracellular levels of IL-35 and IL-10, PBMCs were stained extracellularly for CD3,
50 CD8, CD4 and HLA-G, fixed and then permeabilized according to the manufacturer's
51 instructions using the eBioscience™ Intracellular Fixation & Permeabilization Buffer Set
52 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Subsequently, intracellular cytokine staining (ICCS) for IL-35
53 and IL-10 expression was performed. The same staining was also performed on tTregs using
54 CD4, CD25 and CD127 surface staining to detect CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127^{low} tTregs (gating strategy
55 in Supplementary Figure 2B). Fluorescence minus one (FMO) were used as controls as
56 depicted in Supplementary Figure 1B. Acquisition of data was performed on the LSR
57 Fortessa™ flow cytometer using BD FACSDiva™ software (Becton Dickinson Ltd, Oxford, UK)

58 and analyses were performed using FlowLogic software (Inivai Technologies, Pty Ltd).

59 **Quantification of HLA-G expression by real-time PCR**

60 Qiagen RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Manchester, UK) was used to extract RNA from magnetic
61 bead-isolated CD4⁺ T cells (depleted of CD8a, CD14, CD15, CD16, CD19, CD36, CD56, CD123,
62 TcRγ/δ, and CD235a positive cells) (purity was greater than 96%, with less than 1% CD14⁺
63 contaminant). This was followed by cDNA synthesis with Bio-rad iScript cDNA synthesis kit
64 (Bio-Rad, Hertfordshire, United Kingdom), according to the manufacturers' instructions. The
65 real-time expression of *HLA-G* was measured by TaqMan gene expression assay using *HLA-G*
66 probe (assay identification number Hs00365950_g1) and compared to paired CD4-negative
67 fractions. Human *GAPDH* (assay identification number Hs02786624_g1) was used as the
68 endogenous control. Quantitative amplification was carried out according to the
69 manufacturer's instructions by using a Step One Plus Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher).
70 Gene expression levels were normalized to *GAPDH* and expressed as fold-change (ratio of
71 $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$, $\Delta\Delta CT = \Delta CT_{\text{Patient CD4}^{+/-} \text{ T Cell}} - \Delta CT_{\text{Healthy CD4}^{+} \text{ T Cell}}$).

72 **NanoString gene expression profiling**

73 Prior to Nanostring analyses, PBMCs were subjected to flow-based cell sorting. Surface
74 staining was carried out as described using FVD, CD3, CD4, CD8, HLA-G, CD25 and CD127
75 (antibodies listed in Supplementary Table S1 and gating strategy in Supplementary Figure
76 S1A). PBMCs from patients with AD (AD-ACLF; n=4) were stained and sorted. First,
77 CD25⁺CD127^{low} tTregs were isolated. Then, HLA-G⁺ and HLA-G⁻ populations were sorted from
78 the CD25^{low}CD127^{high} fraction. The sorted cells were lysed using RLT lysis buffer (Qiagen,
79 Germany) and were stored at -80°C. The NanoString assay was performed at the UCL
80 NanoString Facility (University College London, UK). Analyses of 770 immune-related genes
81 were performed in HLA-G⁺ T cells and compared to transcriptional profile from purified tTregs
82 and HLA-G⁻ T cells. Gene expression was reported as log₂ fold change of detected mRNA
83 expression levels, normalised to baseline values of tTregs or HLA-G⁻ T cells. Statistical
84 significance was considered for p < .05 and a log₂ fold change of 50% higher or lower.
85 Obtained read-count data including quality controls, differential gene expression and volcano
86 plot generation were analysed using the NanoString nSolver™ Analysis Software 4.0 with
87 NanoString Advanced Analysis Module 2.0 plugin (NanoString MAN-C0011-04), following the

88 NanoString Gene Expression Data Analysis Guidelines (MAN-C0011-04, 2017, MAN-10030-03,
89 2018).

90 **Immunohistochemistry (IHC)**

91 Liver explants were obtained from liver transplantation of AD patient with AD-ACLF and
92 patient with SC. Single and double heat-induced epitope retrieval immunohistochemistry
93 (IHC) on formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) liver tissue was performed to assess the
94 expression of IL-35 (Epstein-Barr virus induced gene 3; EB13) [(Novus Biologicals, USA) at 1:200
95 dilution, 12 hours incubation at 4°C] and CD68 [(Dako, Agilent Technologies, USA), ready-to-
96 use, 1 hour incubation at room temperature]. Signal was detected using the EnVision™ G|2
97 doublestain system – rabbit/mouse (DAB+/permanent red) (Agilent Technologies, Cheshire,
98 UK) detection kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Images were captured with
99 Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope and double epitope pseudo-fluorescent IHC was used to
100 demonstrate co-localisation by Nuance 3.0.2 multispectral imaging technology (PerkinElmer,
101 Beaconsfield, UK).

102 **Primary human Kupffer cell (KCs) cultures**

103 Cryopreserved primary human Kupffer cells (KCs) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hemel
104 Hempstead, UK) were plated on Corning CellBIND 24-well plate (Corning Inc, Tewksbury, USA)
105 at a density of 5×10^5 cells in DMEM medium (Gibco, Hemel Hempstead, UK) with Primary
106 Hepatocyte Maintenance Supplements (Gibco) and cultured at 37°C in 5% CO₂ following
107 manufactures instructions. KCs were then stimulated for 48 hours in the presence of 100
108 ng/mL *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK) or 100
109 ng/mL human High-mobility-group-box 1 (HMGB1) (R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK). Prior to LPS
110 or HMGB1 stimulation, KCs were treated with or without 10 µg/ml of anti-Toll-Like Receptor
111 4 (α-TLR4) (Invivogen, Toulouse, France) or α-CD14 (R&D Systems, Abingdon, UK) blocking
112 antibodies for 45 minutes. Cell culture supernatants were collected for assessment of IL-35
113 concentrations using ELISA.

114 **Meso scale discovery (MSD) multiplex cytokine detection system**

115 MSD assay was carried out according to the V-PLEX proinflammatory panel 1 (human)
116 protocol for the following cytokines: IFN-γ, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-13 and TNF-
117 α and the Th17 Panel 1 kit for the following cytokines: IL-17, IL-21, IL-22, IL-23, IL-27, IL-31
118 and MIP3-α (Meso Scale Discovery System (MSD), Rockville, USA). The assays were carried

119 out according to the manufacturer's instructions. Prior to the assay, the calibrator dilutions
120 were prepared, and the cytokines were assessed in the cell culture supernatants. The plate
121 was washed three times with 150 μ l/well wash buffer (1X PBS and 0.05% Tween-20 (Sigma)),
122 followed by 50 μ l/well of standards or samples. The plate was then sealed and incubated for
123 2 hours with shaking using the Luckham model R100 (Luckham Ltd, Sussex, UK) at room
124 temperature. Subsequently, the plate was washed again and 25 μ l of the detection antibody
125 solution added. The plate was sealed again and incubated as before. The plate wash steps
126 were repeated and 150 μ l/well of 2X read buffer T was added. The plate was acquired in the
127 SECTOR[®] S 600 imager using the MSD discovery workbench software (Meso Scale Discovery).

128 **Supplementary Table S1.** Markers used for the phenotyping of T cells and monocytes

Laser-Bandpass filter	Flow panels for markers of:	
	T cells	Monocytes
Violet 405-450/50	CD3-eFluor 450 ¹	CD1a-eFluor 450 ¹
Violet 405-525/50	CD4-Brilliant Violet 510 ²	-
Violet 405-780/60	PD-1-Brilliant Violet 786 ²	-
Violet 405-660/20	-	CD86-Brilliant Violet 650 ¹
Blue 488-530/30	HLA-G-FITC ¹	-
Blue 488-575/26	CTLA-4-PE ¹ / IL-35-PE ³	CD11c-PE ³
Blue 488-610/20	Tim3-PE-CF594 ² / CD25-PE-CF594 ²	-
Blue 488-780/60	CD127-PE-Cy7 ¹	CD14-PE-Cy7 ²
Red 640-670/14	CD8 –APC ¹ / CD40L-APC ¹ / IL-10-eFluor 660 ¹	HLA-G-APC ¹ / IL-T4-APC ¹
Red 640-780/60	Fixable Viability Dye (FVD)-eFluor 780 ¹	

129 ¹ Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hemel Hempstead, UK130 ² Becton Dickinson Ltd, Oxford, UK131 ³ BioLegend, London, UK

132 **Supplementary Figure S1.** Gating strategy used to identify or isolate HLA-G⁺ cell populations
133 in/from PBMCs. (A) Gating strategy for flow-based cell sorting in preparation for Nanostring
134 analyses. (B) Representative dot plots to define T cell populations expressing HLA-G (Top
135 panel). Lymphocytes were first gated according to the forward and side scatter profile.
136 Doublets were excluded from the analyses using forward scatter height (FSC-H) versus area
137 (FSC-A) discrimination. Dead cells, which were determined by positive staining for the cell
138 viability dye, were then excluded. CD3 then CD4 and CD8 markers were used to determine
139 the lymphocyte primary populations. Monocytes were gated using HLA-DR and CD14 (middle
140 and bottom panels). The double positive population was then gated using CD1a, CD11c and
141 CD86 according to the corresponding FMO controls. (C) Representative histograms of HLA-G
142 expression in CD8⁺ T cells (left panel) and in monocytes (right panel) from HCs and patients
143 with SC and AD. (D) CD4⁺ T cells were isolated from PBMCs of HC (n=3) (left panel) and AD
144 patients (AD No-ACLF; n=3, AD-ACLF; n=3) (right panel) and expression of HLA-G mRNA was
145 measured by real-time PCR. Data expressed as fold-change (ratio of $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$). (E) Correlation
146 coefficients (r) and correlation p values were tested using non-parametric correlations
147 Spearman test to explore the relationship between the age of the subjects (n=118) and the
148 frequency of CD4⁺HLA-G⁺ T cells. Wilcoxon-matched-pairs signed rank test was used for all
149 paired non-parametric tests. Non-parametric (Mann-Whitney) statistical analysis was used.
150 Data are presented as median values with IQR. SSC: side scatter, FSC: forward scatter.

151 **Supplementary Figure S2:** Distribution of HLA-G⁺ T cells in patients with AD according to the
152 number and the type of precipitating events (PE). (A) Distribution of HLA-G⁺ T cells according
153 to the number of PE (1 PE, and ≥ 2 PE) to all AD patients, AD-No ACLF and AD-ACLF (left, middle
154 and right panel, respectively). (B) Proportions of HLA-G⁺ T cells in all patients with AD based
155 on the type of PE (infection vs GI bleed vs active alcohol consumption) alone (top panel) or in
156 combination (bottom panel). (C) Proportions of HLA-G⁺ T cells in AD-No ACLF based on the
157 type of PE alone (top panel) or in combination (bottom panel). (D) Proportions of HLA-G⁺ T
158 cells in patients with AD-ALCF based on the type of PE alone (top panel) or in combination
159 (bottom panel).

160 **Supplementary Figure S3.** Further phenotypic assessment of HLA-G⁺ cells in patients with AD.
161 (A) CTLA-4 in HLA-G positive vs negative CD4⁺ T cells from patients with AD. Representative
162 flow cytometry dot plots/histograms of CTLA-4 expressing cells in CD4⁺HLA-G⁺ vs CD4⁺HLA-G⁻

163 T cells (left panel). Proportion of the CD4⁺HLA-G⁺ vs CD4⁺HLA-G⁻ T cells expressing CTLA-4 in
164 patients with AD (right panel). (B) Representative histograms of inhibitory markers (Tim3, PD-
165 1 and CD40L) in HLA-G expressing CD4⁺ T cells (top panel). Levels detected in patients with
166 AD (n=17) compared to HCs (n=10) (bottom panels). (C) Representative dot plots of gating
167 strategy to identify CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127^{low} tTregs using corresponding FMO controls (left panel).
168 Levels of IL-35 detected using ICCS in HLA-G⁺ compared to tTregs from patients with AD
169 (n=11). Non-parametric (Mann-Whitney) statistical analysis was used. Data are presented as
170 median values with IQR.

171 **Supplementary Figure S4.** Quantitative microarray gene expression analysis of FACS-sorted
172 HLA-G⁺ T cells compared to tTregs and HLA-G⁻ cells using NanoString Technologies. (A) Tables
173 present raw data of statistically significantly differentially expressed genes including
174 downregulated (left table) and upregulated (right table) genes in HLA-G⁺ T cells compared to
175 tTregs and/or HLA-G⁻ T cells. p value threshold < .05, log2 fold change >1.5. (B) HLA-G⁻ subset
176 collected as the non-HLA-G⁺ fraction following cell isolation using MACS from patients with
177 AD were tested for their suppressive capacity. Representative flow histograms of proliferating
178 live CD3⁺ responder T cells in the presence of HLA-G-depleted fraction (as suppressor cells)
179 (N=2) tested at increasing ratios (left panel). Percentage of suppression was measured by
180 assessing CPD-labelled responder T cell proliferation at in the presence of α-CD3 stimulation
181 after 5 days of co-culture (right panel). (C) Comparison of the suppressive capacity between
182 HLA-G⁺ cells and their HLA-G negative counterparts at the lowest ratios where the HLA-G⁺
183 cells percentages of suppression were most potent.

184 **Supplementary Figure S5.** Evaluation of HLA-G⁺ phenotype following pre-treatment in sera
185 and the role of IL-35 in inducing CD4⁺HLA-G⁺ suppressor cells. (A) Assessment of the effect of
186 sera at inducing HLA-G⁺ phenotype in cultured CD4⁺ T cells from HCs following 48hrs of culture
187 in the presence of 25% sera from SC and AD (n=15 per group). (B) Concentrations of IL-35 in
188 sera samples from liver disease patients (SC; n=25 and AD; n=25). (C) Assessment of the effect
189 of IL-35 in driving this phenotype was tested by pre-incubating sera in the presence or
190 absence of α-IL-35 neutralising antibody (10 µg/ml) prior to CD4⁺ T cell exposure to sera from
191 HC or SC (n=3 and n=4, respectively) (D) Sera-induced-HLA-G expressing CD4⁺ T cells that
192 resulted from sera-conditioning in the presence or absence of IL-35 blockade were tested for
193 their effect on proliferating healthy control PBMCs (n=7). (E) Proportions of sera-induced HLA-

194 G expressing CD4⁺ T cells following culture in the presence of sera from SC (n=4) or AD (n=5)
195 patients in the presence or absence of α -IL-10 neutralising antibody (1 μ g/ml). Mann-Whitney
196 test for two group comparison and Wilcoxon-matched-pairs signed rank test was used for all
197 paired non-parametric tests. Data are presented as median values with IQR. ns; no
198 significance.

199 **Supplementary Figure S6.** Functional investigation of the capacity of HLA-G⁺ cells to suppress
200 proliferation in healthy allogeneic PBMCs in the presence of blocking antibodies. (A) Pre-
201 conditioned CD4⁺ T cells in HC sera tested for their capacity to suppress PBMC proliferation in
202 the absence or presence of CTLA-4 blockade. Representative histograms of proliferating
203 healthy PBMCs in the absence or presence of α -CTLA-4 (left panel). The effect of blocking
204 CTLA-4 in proliferation assays were tested in 6 independent experiments (right panel). (B)
205 Role of HLA-G (left panel) and IL-35 (right panel) blockade in mediating the suppressive
206 capacity of HLA-G-expressing cells. Co-cultured PBMCs with HLA-G expressing cells
207 [generated through preconditioning in sera from AD (n=8) or HC (n=7)] were assessed for their
208 effect on the proliferative capacity of healthy PBMCs in the presence of neutralising antibody
209 against HLA-G and IL-35 (used at 10 μ g/ml). (C) Profile of secreted cytokines within the Th17
210 pathways assessed after blockade of CTLA-4 (top panels) or IL-35 (bottom panels). Wilcoxon-
211 matched-pairs signed rank test was used for all paired non-parametric tests. ns; no
212 significance.